

Transcript of Hearing

Date: November 16, 2021

Case: Fairfax County School Board -v- Tisler, et al.

Planet Depos

Phone: 888.433.3767

Email: transcripts@planetdepos.com

www.planetdepos.com

```
1
    VIRGINIA:
2
          IN THE CIRCUIT COURT FOR FAIRFAX COUNTY
3
4
    FAIRFAX COUNTY SCHOOL BOARD,
5
                       Plaintiff,
6
                                    Case No. 2021-13491
    v.
7
    DEBRA TISLER AND CALLIE
8
    OETTINGER,
9
                       Defendants.
10
11
                      Judge's Ruling
12
13
         Before the Honorable Richard E. Gardiner
                     Fairfax, Virginia
14
                Tuesday, November 16, 2021
15
                       10:02 a.m. EST
16
17
18
19
    Job No.: 414077
20
21
    Pages: 1 - 22
    Transcribed by: Bobbi J. Fisher, RPR
22
```

1	Judge's Ruling was held at:
2	Fairfax County Circuit Court
3	4110 Chain Bridge Road
4	Fairfax, Virginia 22030
5	
6	Pursuant to Notice, before Diamante Parrish,
7	Digital Court Reporter and Notary Public in and for
8	the Commonwealth of Virginia.
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	APPEARANCES
2	ON BEHALF OF THE PLAINTIFF:
3	RYAN M. BATES, ESQ.
4	HUNTON ANDREWS KURTH, LLP
5	2200 Pennsylvania Avenue, Northwest
6	Washington, D.C. 20037
7	(202) 955-1596
8	
9	ON BEHALF OF THE DEFENDANT:
10	KETAN BHIRUD, ESQ.
11	TROUTMAN PEPPER HAMILTON & SANDERS, LLP
12	401 9th Street, Northwest
13	Suite 1000
14	Washington, D.C. 20004
15	(202) 274-2890
16	
17	TIMOTHY SANDEFUR, ESQ. (Pro Hac Vice)
18	GOLDWATER INSTITUTE
19	500 E. Coronado Road
20	Phoenix, Arizona 85004
21	
22	

1	INDEX	
2	WITNESS/DESCRIPTION	PAGE
3	JUDGE'S RULING	6
4		
5		
6		
7	ЕХНІВІТЅ	
8	(None.)	
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		

1	PROCEEDINGS
2	THE COURT: All right. Swear in the
3	court reporter, please.
4	(The court reporter was duly sworn.)
5	THE COURT: All right. This is Fairfax
6	County School Board versus Debra Tisler, et al.
7	CL2021-13491.
8	I have counsel for the School Board.
9	Sir, if you could identify yourself for the record.
10	MR. BATES: Yes. Good morning, Your
11	Honor. Ryan Bates representing Fairfax County
12	School Board.
13	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Bates is
14	present in the courtroom.
15	MR. BHIRUD: Good morning. Ketan Bhirud
16	with Troutman Pepper Hamilton & Sanders here with
17	the defendant.
18	THE COURT: All right. And then
19	Mr. Sandefur, who was admitted pro hac vice, is
20	present by Webex.
21	I had asked the parties to appear today
22	because I want to give you my decision. There's

1	some motions and then, of course, the underlying
2	preliminary injunction request.
3	Let me start Mr. Sandefur, I'm going
4	to move you over a little bit here so I can see
5	people who are in the courtroom.
6	MR. SANDEFUR: Certainly, Your Honor.
7	Thank you.
8	THE COURT: Don't take it personally.
9	MR. SANDEFUR: And I appreciate the
10	Court's willingness to accommodate my schedule.
11	THE COURT: Yes, sir. Not a problem.
12	The first thing I wanted to rule on was
13	the Board's Motion to Strike, which was filed
14	let me pull that out. The Board's Motion to Strike
15	defendant's response to October 22nd, 2021, order
16	that was re-filed November 3rd, and I received a
17	response from Defendants on that.
18	My ruling on that is as follows: I'm
19	going to grant the Motion to Strike in part and
20	deny it in part. With regard to the legal
21	argument, since I did not ask the defendants for
22	

1	Motion to Strike to the extent it deals with the
2	legal or additional legal argument that defendants
3	made. I certainly heard all the legal arguments in
4	court. I had read the initial pleadings in the
5	case as well, so that part of the Motion to Strike
6	is granted.
7	With regard to the second part of the
8	Motion to Strike that is regarding what the
9	defendants said as to the various redactions, I'm
10	going to deny that part of the Motion to Strike. I
11	think, well, the answer is the question was why
12	or what I had asked for is why such redaction is
13	not legally justified. I'll accept what the
14	answers the defendants gave. That's what their
15	answers are. The plaintiff may not think they were
16	sufficient and maybe they weren't, but, in any
17	event, they were what the defendant's response was.
18	So the Motion to Strike as to that, the second part
19	of the Motion to Strike, is denied.
20	Now, turning to the merits of the Board's
21	motion for a preliminary injunction, the first
22	thing I wanted to note is that Virginia state

1	courts have a little bit different standard than
2	the federal standard. The Board, of course, cited
3	the federal standard, the now famous Winter case
4	from the U.S. Supreme Court. Although, I think, in
5	the end, it doesn't make any difference which
6	standard I apply, but I do want to make it clear
7	that Virginia standard, which is articulated in
8	Wright versus Castles, 232 Va. 218 at page 224
9	that's a 1986 case the Court said, to obtain the
10	injunction, Wright had to prove that he would
11	suffer irreparable harm if the injunction were not
12	granted and that he did not have an adequate remedy
13	at law.
14	Virginia Supreme Court has apparently
15	not, I believe, adopted the four-part federal
16	standard most recently articulated in Winter. But,
17	as I said, I think whether I use the federal
18	standard or the state standard, the result is the
19	same.
20	And so what I'm going to do, since the
21	federal standard is a little bit more inclusive, is
22	give my ruling based on the federal standard and

then I'll mention Virginia standard at the end.

The federal standard -- the first part of the federal standard is likely to succeed on the merits, and that really is, in my view, in this case, the key part of this case as whether the Board is likely to succeed on the merits. And of course the merits are whether the Court should restrain the defendants by ordering them to return the documents that they received and accepting in their place, excuse me, accepting in their place the second set of documents.

The Board argues vociferously that this is not a prior restraint. I equally vociferously disagree with that. This is about as much a prior restraint as there ever could be. The Board is coming to a government agency, the Court, that has the power to restrain and asking the Court to restrain the defendants in this case. So this is a prior restraint. No question about it, in my mind. And that means that we're governed by several cases from the U.S. Supreme Court, the most recent or the most applicable, I would say, is the Supreme Court

1 decision in the Florida Star versus BJF, which is 2 491 U.S. 524 from 1989. And the standard set out 3 there -- the first question that one has to ask in 4 a prior restraint case is whether the publisher --5 in that case, it was a newspaper; in this case, 6 it's two individual citizens -- lawfully obtained 7 truthful information about a matter of public 8 significance. 9 In my view, in this case, the publishers 10 did lawfully obtain truthful information. 11 made a Freedom of Information Act request. The 12 Board's designee or the Board's employee -- I'm not 13 exactly sure what the relationship was, whether it

Board's designee or the Board's employee -- I'm not exactly sure what the relationship was, whether it was employee or somebody else, but in any case, their agent -- the Board's agent released the information in response to the Freedom of Information Act request. The information wasn't stolen or otherwise unlawfully obtained. It was obtained quite lawfully, and it's clearly also about a matter of public significance because this

has to do with legal bills that are being paid by

the taxpayers of Fairfax County.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

1	And while it's not a matter of something
2	like the Pentagon papers where we're talking about
3	the war in Vietnam, certainly it's a matter of
4	public significance as to what the taxpayers
5	what bills the taxpayers are having to absorb.
6	So I find that the first part of the
7	Florida Star or the Florida Star test, which,
8	obviously, goes back to other cases, but it's most
9	reiterated in the Florida Star case, that that
10	first standard has been met.
11	The fact that these were disclosures that
12	were not required to be disclosed under the Freedom
13	of Information Act, as the Supreme Court said in
14	the Florida Star, does not make it unlawful for
15	the in this case, the defendants to receive them
16	when they were furnished by the government.
17	And I would say, generally, with regard
18	to the Freedom of Information Act and the
19	permitted the redactions that it permits, at
20	this stage in the proceedings, those permissible
21	redactions are simply not relevant anymore. We're
22	past the stage where I can consider possible

1	Freedom of Information Act redactions.
2	The issue now, as set out in Florida
3	in the Florida Star and of course the other cases
4	that proceeded it and came after it is whether this
5	information is such information concerning personal
6	privacy that the State can protect individuals from
7	intrusion by the press.
8	And the holding of Florida Star, I think,
9	is right on point here. This is at page the
10	last page of the opinion: "We do not hold that
11	truthful publication is automatically
12	constitutionally protected or that there's no zone
13	of personal privacy within which the State may
14	protect the individual from intrusion by the press.
15	We hold only that, where a newspaper publishes
16	truthful information, which it has lawfully
17	obtained, punishment may lawfully be imposed if at
18	all only when narrowly tailored to a State interest
19	of the highest order."
20	And, in this case, the State interest of
21	the highest order would be information relating to
22	personal privacy, and, therefore, it is the Court's

1	view that the Board is not likely to succeed in
2	this case with regard to virtually everything that
3	was not redacted. The only thing that I think the
4	board has any likelihood of success on is
5	information concerning bank account names and
6	numbers and tax IDs and federal IDs to the extent
7	those are in there. Everything else and I went
8	through every single redaction here. Everything
9	else here is not, the Court finds, within the zone
10	of personal privacy. Even information as to
11	routing numbers, which are public information and
12	SWIFT codes are not within the realm of personal
13	privacy. You can look up a routing number and a
14	SWIFT code on the internet for any bank probably
15	any bank in the world but certainly any bank in the
16	United States.
17	And but the information other
18	information that's in that was redacted does not
19	come within the zone of personal privacy.
20	In addition, the Board's argument about
21	attorney-client privilege and work product, at this
22	stage, is really is irrelevant in the

1	because, in the Court's view, both the
2	attorney-client privilege and any work product
3	privilege there might be has been waived by the
4	client by releasing the information.
5	Now, the Board makes what I think to be
6	almost a frivolous argument when they say, Well, it
7	wasn't the individual board members who made the
8	decision; it was their it was an employee or
9	their agent. But that, in my view, makes
10	absolutely no difference at all. They were the
11	ones the Board were the ones who gave that duty
12	to that person, and they are bound by what that
13	employee did.
14	So I find that, as to any attorney-client
15	privilege or work product privilege that may be
16	claimed that that has been waived and that,
17	therefore, any information dealing with that is
18	the board is not likely to succeed on the merits of
19	keeping that information requiring that
20	information to be restrained.
21	So the bottom line on the likelihood to
22	succeed on the merits prong is that I don't

believe, for the vast bulk of the redactions, other 1 2 than the bank account names and numbers and tax IDs 3 and federal IDs, that the Board is likely to 4 succeed on the merits. 5 With regard to irreparable harm, the 6 Board, in this case, is not -- I don't find that 7 there is irreparable harm to the Board. The Board, 8 for whatever reason -- maybe it was ineptness, I 9 don't know; I have no evidence on that -- made the 10 decision to turn over the information, and they're 11 stuck with that. And I don't believe there's any 12 harm to them other than disclosure of a tiny, tiny 13 percentage of the information which relates to bank account names and numbers and tax ID and federal ID 14 15 information. 16 With regard to the balance of the 17 hardships, again, in this case, since the Board is 18 asking me to impose a prior restraint on the 19 defendants in this case, I find that the balance of 20 hardship weighs strongly in favor of the defendants 21 and against the Board. 22 And with regard to the public interest, I

1	don't think there's any question in this case that
2	it's in the public this is in the public
3	interest. What we're doing here is what the
4	defendants are doing is enforcing their rights
5	under the First Amendment, and those rights,
6	enforcing their rights under the First Amendment,
7	is about as high in the public interest scale as
8	you can get.
9	So given given that, under the federal
10	standard, the Court is going to with the minor
11	exception of the bank account names and numbers and
12	tax ID and federal ID that's shown in there, that
13	was redacted, the Court is going to deny the
14	Board's motion for preliminary hearing under the
15	State standard, which requires only irreparable
16	harm and not having adequate remedy at law. I do
17	agree that, in this case, the Board does not have
18	an adequate remedy at law, so they meet the first
19	half of the State standard. But as I have
20	explained already with regard to the federal
21	standard, the Board has not will not suffer
22	irreparable harm so it doesn't meet it doesn't

1	qualify for an injunction under the State standard				
2	either.				
3	I'm going to ask Mr. Sandefur or, I				
4	guess, local counsel, please, to prepare an order				
5	with regard to the preliminary injunction, denying				
6	it in vast part but granting it in a very tiny area				
7	as I have explained. And, also, I'm going to ask				
8	counsel for the Board to prepare the order with				
9	regard to the Motion to Strike.				
10	All right. Are there any questions				
11	and one other matter: Judge Oblan has entered a				
12	temporary restraining order through calendar				
13	control on September 30th of 2021. That temporary				
14	restraining order is hereby vacated.				
15	All right. Let me start with counsel for				
16	the School Board.				
17	MR. BATES: Yeah, Judge, I don't think we				
18	have anything further.				
19	THE COURT: Okay. All right.				
20	Counsel in the courtroom for the				
21	defendants?				
22	MR. BHIRUD: Yeah, I'll defer to				

1	Mr. Sandefur substantively, but I just I'm new
2	to Virginia, so I had a technical question as to,
3	when you asked us to prepare the order, would you
4	like us to run it by opposing counsel?
5	THE COURT: Absolutely. It's got to be
6	endorsed by opposing counsel so that he can note
7	his actions if to the extent he has any.
8	MR. BHIRUD: Okay.
9	THE COURT: And I'm not going to
10	ordinarily we ask you to do it in court, but this
11	is a little bit more complicated than the ordinary
12	case, so I'm going to ask you to do that and get it
13	back to me this afternoon. Get it back to
14	Ms. Perez.
15	Mr. Sandefur, I believe you probably have
16	her email address: Karen.Perez.
17	MR. SANDEFUR: Yes, Your Honor.
18	THE COURT: Please get the order to her
19	after, though after counsel for the School Board
20	has had an opportunity to endorse it however he
21	deems appropriate.
22	MR. SANDEFUR: I will, Your Honor.

1	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Sandefur,				
2	your local counsel is deferring to you if there are				
3	any questions.				
4	MR. SANDEFUR: I had one question, which				
5	was part of our opposition, we also asked the Court				
6	to dismiss the case entirely. I would ask if the				
7	Court has a view on that. And if the Court would				
8	prefer, we could file a separate motion to that				
9	effect.				
10	THE COURT: Well, I'm not dismissing the				
11	case I'll act on that now. That motion to				
12	dismiss the case in its entirety is denied. I				
13	think the since there is a very, very, very				
14	limited amount of redacted information that can be				
15	restrained because it deals with personal privacy.				
16	That's going to be the order, restraining just				
17	that				
18	MR. SANDEFUR: I understand, Your Honor.				
19	THE COURT: extremely limited				
20	information.				
21	MR. SANDEFUR: I understand, Your Honor.				
22	THE COURT: All right. Mr. Sandefur, so				

1	
1	you're taking on the obligation to draft the order?
2	MR. SANDEFUR: I will do that, Your
3	Honor.
4	THE COURT: And then you'll have to have
5	local counsel endorse it, obviously, as well, and
6	then counsel for the School Board.
7	All right. Anything else from any other
8	parties then?
9	MR. SANDEFUR: Nothing from me, Your
10	Honor.
11	THE COURT: All right. Court is in
12	recess.
13	(At 10:20 a.m., the above hearing
14	concluded.)
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

1	CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER - NOTARY PUBLIC
2	
3	I, Diamante Parrish, the officer before
4	whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby
5	certify that said proceedings were electronically
6	recorded by me; and that I am neither counsel for,
7	related to, nor employed by any of the parties to
8	this case and have no interest, financial or
9	otherwise, in its outcome.
10	IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my
11	hand and affixed my notarial seal this 16th day of
12	November, 2021.
13	
14	Attion ()
15	
16	Diamante Parrish, Notary Public
17	for the Commonwealth of Virginia
18	
19	Notary Commission No. 7936707
20	Notary Commission Expires: 5/31/2025
21	
22	

1	CERTIFICATE OF TRANSCRIBER
2	
3	I, Bobbi J. Fisher, do hereby certify that
4	the foregoing transcript is a true and correct
5	record of the recorded proceedings; that said
6	proceedings were transcribed to the best of my
7	ability from the audio recording and supporting
8	information; and that I am neither counsel for,
9	related to, nor employed by any of the parties to
10	this case, and I have no interest, financial or
11	otherwise, in its outcome.
12	
13	Bobhi Fisher
14	
15	Bobbi J. Fisher, RPR
16	NCRA Registered Professional Reporter (RPR)
17	November 17, 2021
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	

A	again	anymore	bank
	15:17	11:21	13:5, 13:14,
ability	against	anything	13:15, 15:2,
22:7	15:21	17:18, 20:7	15:13, 16:11
about	agency	apparently	based
9:14, 9:19,	9:16	8:14	8:22
10:7, 10:20,	agent	appear	bates
11:2, 13:20,	10:15, 14:9	5:21	3:3, 5:10,
16:7	agree	applicable	5:11, 5:13,
above	16:17	9:22	17:17
20:13	al	apply	because
absolutely	5:6	8:6	5:22, 10:20,
14:10, 18:5	all	appreciate	14:1, 19:15
absorb	5:2, 5:5, 5:13,	6:9	been
11:5	5:18, 7:3,	appropriate	11:10, 14:3,
accept	12:18, 14:10,	18:21	14:16
7:13	17:10, 17:15,	area	before
accepting	17:19, 19:1,	17:6	1:13, 2:6, 21:3
9:9, 9:10	19:22, 20:7,	arques	behalf
accommodate	20:11	9:12	3:2, 3:9
6:10	almost	argument	being
account	14:6	6:21, 6:22,	10:21
13:5, 15:2,	already	7:2, 13:20, 14:6	believe
15:14, 16:11	16:20	arguments	8:15, 15:1,
act	also	7:3	15:11, 18:15
10:11, 10:17,	10:19, 17:7,	arizona	best
11:13, 11:18,	19:5	3:20	22:6
12:1, 19:11	although	articulated	bhirud
actions	8:4	8:7, 8:16	3:10, 5:15,
18:7	amendment	asked	17:22, 18:8
addition	16:5, 16:6	5:21, 7:12,	bills
13:20	amount	18:3, 19:5	10:21, 11:5
additional	19:14	asking	bit
6:22, 7:2	andrews	9:17, 15:18	6:4, 8:1, 8:21,
address	3:4	attorney-client	18:11
18:16	answer	13:21, 14:2,	bjf
adequate	7:11	14:14	10:1
8:12, 16:16,	answers	audio	board
16:18	7:14, 7:15	22:7	1:4, 5:6, 5:8,
admitted	any	automatically	5:12, 8:2, 9:6,
5:19	7:16, 8:5,	12:11	9:12, 9:15,
adopted	10:14, 13:4,	avenue	13:1, 13:4,
8:15	13:14, 13:15,	3:5	14:5, 14:7,
affixed	14:2, 14:14,		14:11, 14:18,
21:11	14:17, 15:11,	В	15:3, 15:6,
after	16:1, 17:10,	back	15:7, 15:17,
12:4, 18:19	18:7, 19:3,	11:8, 18:13	15:21, 16:17,
afternoon	20:7, 21:7, 22:9	balance	16:21, 17:8,
18:13		15:16, 15:19	
1			

	Conducted on November 16, 2021 24			
17:16, 18:19,	13:15	correct	deals	
20:6	certificate	22:4	7:1, 19:15	
board's	21:1, 22:1	could	debra	
6:13, 6:14,	certify	5:9, 9:15, 19:8	1:7, 5:6	
7:20, 10:12,	21:5, 22:3	counsel	decision	
10:15, 13:20,	chain	5:8, 17:4,	5:22, 10:1,	
16:14	2:3	17:8, 17:15,	14:8, 15:10	
bobbi	circuit	17:20, 18:4,	deems	
1:22, 22:3,	1:2, 2:2	18:6, 18:19,	18:21	
22:15	cited	19:2, 20:5,	defendant	
both	8:2	20:6, 21:6, 22:8	3:9, 5:17	
14:1	citizens	county	defendant's	
bottom	10:6	1:2, 1:4, 2:2,	6:15, 7:17	
14:21	cl	5:6, 5:11, 10:22	defendants	
bound	5:7	course	1:9, 6:17,	
14:12	claimed	6:1, 8:2, 9:7,	6:21, 7:2, 7:9,	
bridge	14:16	12:3	7:14, 9:8, 9:18,	
2:3	clear	court	11:15, 15:19,	
bulk	8:6	1:2, 2:2, 2:7,	15:20, 16:4,	
15:1	clearly	5:2, 5:3, 5:4,	17:21	
С	10:19	5:5, 5:13, 5:18,	defer	
calendar	client	6:8, 6:11, 7:4,	17:22	
17:12	14:4	8:4, 8:9, 8:14,	deferring	
callie	code	9:7, 9:16, 9:17,	19:2	
1:7	13:14	9:21, 9:22,	denied	
came	codes	11:13, 13:9,	7:19, 19:12	
12:4	13:12	16:10, 16:13,	deny	
case	come	17:19, 18:5,	6:20, 7:10,	
1:6, 7:5, 8:3,	13:19	18:9, 18:10,	16:13	
8:9, 9:5, 9:18,	coming	18:18, 19:1,	denying	
10:4, 10:5,	9:16	19:5, 19:7,	17:5	
10:9, 10:14,	commission	19:10, 19:19,	deposition	
11:9, 11:15,	21:19, 21:20	19:22, 20:4,	21:4	
12:20, 13:2,	commonwealth	20:11, 21:1	description	
15:6, 15:17,	2:8, 21:17	court's	4:2	
15:19, 16:1,	complicated	6:10, 12:22,	designee	
16:17, 18:12,	18:11	14:1	10:12	
19:6, 19:11,	concerning	courtroom	diamante	
19:12, 21:8,	12:5, 13:5	5:14, 6:5,	2:6, 21:3,	
22:10	concluded	17:20	21:16	
cases	20:14	courts	difference	
9:20, 11:8,	consider	8:1	8:5, 14:10	
12:3	11:22	D	different	
castles	constitutionally	day	8:1	
8:8	12:12	21:11	digital	
certainly	control	dealing	2:7	
6:6, 7:3, 11:3,	17:13	14:17	disagree	
	coronado		9:14	
	3:19			

1. 1	Conducted on iv	16	
disclosed	entirely	favor	G
11:12	19:6	15:20	gardiner
disclosure	entirety	federal	1:13
15:12	19:12	8:2, 8:3, 8:15,	gave
disclosures	equally	8:17, 8:21,	7:14, 14:11
11:11	9:13	8:22, 9:2, 9:3,	generally
dismiss	esq	13:6, 15:3,	11:17
19:6, 19:12	3:3, 3:10, 3:17	15:14, 16:9,	give
dismissing	est	16:12, 16:20	5:22 , 8:22
19:10	1:16	file	given
documents	et	19:8	16:9
9:9, 9:11	5 : 6	filed	goes
doing	even	6:13	11:8
16:3, 16:4	13:10	financial	going
draft	event	21:8, 22:10	6:3, 6:19,
20:1	7:17	find	6:22, 7:10,
duly	ever	11:6, 14:14,	8:20, 16:10,
5:4	9:15	15:6, 15:19	16:13, 17:3,
duty	every	finds	17:7, 18:9,
14:11	13:8	13:9	18:12, 19:16
E	everything	first	goldwater
effect		6:12, 7:21,	3:18
19:9	13:8	9:2, 10:3, 11:6,	good
either	evidence	11:10, 16:5,	5:10, 5:15
17:2	15:9	16:6, 16:18	governed
electronically	exactly	fisher	9:20
21:5	10:13	1:22, 22:3,	government
else	exception	22:15	9:16, 11:16
10:14, 13:7,	16:11	florida	grant
13:9, 20:7	excuse	10:1, 11:7,	6:19, 6:22
email	9:10	11:9, 11:14,	granted
18:16	expires	12:2, 12:3, 12:8	7:6, 8:12
employed	21:20	follows	granting
21:7, 22:9	explained	6:18	17:6
employee	16:20, 17:7	foregoing	guess
10:12, 10:14,	extent	21:4, 22:4	17:4
14:8, 14:13	7:1, 13:6, 18:7	four-part	Н
end	extremely	8:15	hac
8:5, 9:1	19:19	freedom	3:17, 5:19
endorse	F	- 10:11, 10:16,	half
18:20, 20:5	fact	- 11:12, 11:18,	16:19
endorsed	11:11	12:1	hamilton
18:6	fairfax	frivolous	
enforcing	1:2, 1:4, 1:14,	14:6	3:11, 5:16 hand
16:4, 16:6	2:2, 2:4, 5:5,	furnished	
entered	5:11, 10:22	11:16	21:11
17:11	famous	further	hardship
	8:3	17:18	15:20
	•	•	

	Conducted on Nov	<u>, </u>	
hardships	15:3	15:7, 16:15,	14:18, 15:3
15:17	impose	16:22	limited
harm	15:18	issue	19:14, 19:19
8:11, 15:5,	imposed	12:2	line
15:7, 15:12,	12:17	J	14:21
16:16, 16:22	inclusive	job	little
heard	8:21	1 -	6:4, 8:1, 8:21,
7:3	individual	1:20	18:11
hearing	10:6, 12:14,	judge	11p
16:14, 20:13	14:7	17:11, 17:17	3:4, 3:11
held	individuals	judge's	local
2:1	12:6	1:12, 2:1, 4:3	17:4, 19:2,
here	ineptness	justified	20:5
	_	7:13	look
5:16, 6:4,	15:8	K	
12:9, 13:8,	information	karen	13:13
13:9, 16:3	10:7, 10:10,	18:16	M
hereby	10:11, 10:16,	keeping	made
17:14, 21:4,	10:17, 11:13,	14:19	7:3, 10:11,
22:3	11:18, 12:1,	ketan	14:7, 15:9
hereunto	12:5, 12:16,	3:10, 5:15	make
21:10	12:21, 13:5,	key	8:5, 8:6, 11:14
high	13:10, 13:11,	9 : 5	makes
16:7	13:17, 13:18,	know	14:5, 14:9
highest	14:4, 14:17,	15:9	matter
12:19, 12:21	14:19, 14:20,	kurth	10:7, 10:20,
hold	15:10, 15:13,	3:4	11:1, 11:3,
12:10, 12:15	15:15, 19:14,	L	17:11
holding	19:20, 22:8 initial		maybe
12:8		last	7:16, 15:8
honor	7:4	12:10	means
5:11, 6:6,	injunction	law	9:20
18:17, 18:22,	6:2, 7:21,	8:13, 16:16,	meet
19:18, 19:21,	8:10, 8:11,	16:18	16:18, 16:22
20:3, 20:10	17:1, 17:5	lawfully	members
honorable	institute	10:6, 10:10,	14:7
1:13	3:18	10:19, 12:16,	mention
however	interest	12:17	9:1
18:20	12:18, 12:20,	legal	merits
hunton	15:22, 16:3,	6:20, 6:22,	7:20, 9:4, 9:6,
3:4	16:7, 21:8,	7:2, 7:3, 10:21	9:7, 14:18,
I	22:10	legally	14:22, 15:4
id	internet	7:13	met
15:14, 16:12	13:14	likelihood	11:10
identify	intrusion	13:4, 14:21	might
5:9	12:7, 12:14	likely	14:3
ids	irrelevant	9:3, 9:6, 13:1,	mind
13:6, 15:2,	13:22		9:19
	irreparable		
	8:11, 15:5,		

Conducted on November 16, 2021				
minor	21:20	opposing	7:5, 7:7, 7:10,	
16:10	note	18:4, 18:6	7:18, 9:2, 9:5,	
more	7:22, 18:6	opposition	11:6, 17:6, 19:5	
8:21, 18:11	nothing	19:5	parties	
morning	20:9	order	5:21, 20:8,	
	notice		21:7, 22:9	
5:10, 5:15	2:6	6:15, 12:19,	past	
most	november	12:21, 17:4,	11:22	
8:16, 9:21,		17:8, 17:12,	pennsylvania	
9:22, 11:8	1:15, 6:16,	17:14, 18:3, 18:18, 19:16,	3:5	
motion	21:12, 22:17	20:1	pentagon	
6:13, 6:14,	number	ordering	11:2	
6:19, 7:1, 7:5,	13:13	9:8		
7:8, 7:10, 7:18,	numbers	ordinarily	people	
7:19, 7:21,	13:6, 13:11,	<u> </u>	6:5	
16:14, 17:9,	15:2, 15:14,	18:10	pepper	
19:8, 19:11 motions	16:11	ordinary	3:11, 5:16	
	0	18:11	percentage	
6:1	oblan	other	15:13	
move	17:11	11:8, 12:3,	perez	
6:4	obligation	13:17, 15:1,	18:14, 18:16	
much	20:1	15:12, 17:11,	permissible	
9:14	obtain	20:7 otherwise	11:20	
N	8:9, 10:10		permits	
names	obtained	10:18, 21:9,	11:19	
13:5, 15:2,	10:6, 10:18,	22:11	permitted	
15:14, 16:11	10:19, 12:17	out	11:19	
narrowly	obviously	6:14, 10:2,	person	
12:18	11:8, 20:5	12:2	14:12	
ncra	october	outcome	personal	
22:16	6 : 15	21:9, 22:11	12:5, 12:13,	
nd	oettinger	over	12:22, 13:10,	
6 : 15	1:8	6:4, 15:10	13:12, 13:19,	
neither	officer	P	19:15	
21:6, 22:8	21:3	page	personally	
new	okay	4:2, 8:8, 12:9,	6:8	
18:1	17:19, 18:8	12:10	phoenix	
newspaper	one	pages	3:20	
10:5, 12:15	10:3, 17:11,	1:21	place	
none	19:4	paid	9:10	
4:8	ones	10:21	plaintiff	
northwest	14:11	papers	1:5, 3:2, 7:15	
3:5 , 3:12	only	11:2	pleadings	
notarial	12:15, 12:18,	parrish	7:4	
21:11	13:3, 16:15	2:6, 21:3,	please	
notary	opinion	21:16	5:3, 17:4,	
2:7, 21:1,	12:10	part	18:18	
21:16, 21:19,	opportunity	6:19, 6:20,	point	
	18:20		12:9	
	Ī	I	Ī.	

possible	protected	receive	relevant
11:22	12:12	11:15	11:21
power	prove	received	remedy
9:17	8:10	6:16, 9:9	8:12, 16:16,
prefer	public	recent	16:18
19:8	2:7, 10:7,	9:21	reporter
preliminary	10:20, 11:4,	recently	2:7, 5:3, 5:4,
6:2, 7:21,	13:11, 15:22,	8:16	21:1, 22:16 representing
16:14, 17:5	16:2, 16:7, 21:1, 21:16	recess	5:11
prepare	publication	20:12	* * = =
17:4, 17:8,	12:11	record	request
18:3		5:9, 22:5	6:2, 10:11,
present	publisher	recorded	10:17
5:14, 5:20	10:4	21:6, 22:5	required
press	publishers	recording	11:12
12:7, 12:14	10:9	22:7	requires
prior	publishes	redacted	16:15
9:13, 9:14,	12:15	13:3, 13:18,	requiring
9:19, 10:4,	pull	16:13, 19:14	14:19
15:18	6:14	redaction	response
privacy	punishment	7:12, 13:8	6:15, 6:17,
12:6, 12:13,	12:17	redactions	7:17, 10:16
12:22, 13:10,	pursuant	7:9, 11:19,	restrain
13:13, 13:19,	2:6	11:21, 12:1,	9:8, 9:17, 9:18
19:15	Q	15:1	restrained
privilege	qualify	regard	14:20, 19:15
13:21, 14:2,	17:1	6:20, 7:7,	restraining
14:3, 14:15	question	11:17, 13:2,	17:12, 17:14,
pro	7:11, 9:19,	15:5, 15:16,	19:16
3:17 , 5:19	10:3, 16:1,	15:22, 16:20,	restraint
probably	18:2, 19:4	17:5, 17:9	9:13, 9:15,
13:14, 18:15	questions	regarding	9:19, 10:4,
problem	17:10, 19:3	7:8	15:18
6:11	quite	registered	result
proceeded	10:19	22:16	8:18
12:4	R	<pre>- reiterated</pre>	return
proceedings		- 11:9	9:8
11:20, 21:5,	re-filed	related	richard
22:5, 22:6	6:16	21:7, 22:9	1:13
product	read	relates	right
13:21, 14:2,	7:4	15:13	5:2, 5:5, 5:13,
14:15	really	relating	5:18, 12:9,
professional	9:4, 13:22	12:21	17:10, 17:15,
22:16	realm	relationship	17:19, 19:1,
prong	13:12	10:13	19:22, 20:7,
14:22	reason	released	20:11
protect	15:8	10:15	rights
12:6, 12:14		releasing	16:4, 16:5,
		14:4	, ,

Conducted on November 16, 2021

16:6	second	11:10, 16:10,	9:21, 9:22,
road	7:7, 7:18, 9:11	16:15, 16:19,	11:13
2:3, 3:19	see	16:21, 17:1	sure
routing	6:4	star	10:13
13:11, 13:13	separate	10:1, 11:7,	swear
rpr	19:8	11:9, 11:14,	5:2
1:22, 22:15,	september	12:3, 12:8	swift
22:16	17:13	start	13:12, 13:14
rule	set	6:3, 17:15	sworn
6 : 12	9:11, 10:2,	state	5:4
ruling	12:2, 21:10	7:22, 8:18,	т
1:12, 2:1, 4:3,	several	12:6, 12:13,	tailored
6:18, 8:22	9:20	12:18, 12:20,	
run	should	16:15, 16:19,	12:18
18:4	9:7	17:1	take
ryan	shown	states	6:8
3:3, 5:11	16:12	13:16	taken
	signature-5tm1q	stolen	21:4
<u> </u>	22:13	10:18	taking
said	signature-bi6ds	street	20:1
7:9, 8:9, 8:17,	21:14	3:12	talking
11:13, 21:5,	significance	strike	11:2
22:5	3	6:13, 6:14,	tax
same	10:8, 10:20,	6:19, 7:1, 7:5,	13:6, 15:2,
8:19	11:4	7:8, 7:10, 7:18,	15:14, 16:12
sandefur	simply	7:19, 17:9	taxpayers
3:17, 5:19,	11:21	strongly	10:22, 11:4,
6:3, 6:6, 6:9,	since	15:20	11:5
17:3, 18:1,	6:21, 8:20,	stuck	technical
18:15, 18:17,	15:17, 19:13	15:11	18:2
18:22, 19:1,	single	substantively	temporary
19:4, 19:18,	13:8	18:1	17:12, 17:13
19:21, 19:22,	sir	succeed	test
20:2, 20:9	5:9, 6:11		11:7
sanders	some	9:3, 9:6, 13:1,	th
3:11, 5:16	6:1	14:18, 14:22, 15:4	17:13, 21:11
say	somebody		thank
9:22, 11:17,	10:14	success	6 : 7
14:6	something	13:4 suffer	therefore
scale	11:1		12:22, 14:17
16:7	stage	8:11, 16:21	thing
schedule	11:20, 11:22,	sufficient	6:12, 7:22,
6:10	13:22	7:16	13:3
school	standard	suite	think
1:4, 5:6, 5:8,	8:1, 8:2, 8:3,	3:13	7:11, 7:15,
5:12, 17:16,	8:6, 8:7, 8:16,	supporting	8:4, 8:17, 12:8,
18:19, 20:6	8:18, 8:21,	22:7	13:3, 14:5,
seal	8:22, 9:1, 9:2,	supreme	16:1, 17:17,
21:11	9:3, 10:2,	8:4, 8:14,	

[
19:13	use	went	13491
through	8:17	13:7	1:6, 5:7
13:8, 17:12	v	weren't	1596
timothy	va	7:16	3:7
3:17	8:8	whatever	16
tiny	vacated	15:8	1:15, 21:11
15:12 , 17:6	17:14	whereof	17
tisler	various	21:10	22:17
1:7, 5:6	7:9	whether	1986
today	vast	8:17, 9:5, 9:7,	8:9
5:21	15:1, 17:6	10:4, 10:13,	1989
transcribed	versus	12:4	10:2
1:22, 22:6	5:6, 8:8, 10:1	willingness	2
transcriber	vice	6:10	20
22:1	3:17, 5:19	winter	20:13
transcript	vietnam	8:3, 8:16	20004
22:4	11:3	within	3:14
troutman	view	12:13, 13:9,	20037
3:11, 5:16	9:4, 10:9,	13:12, 13:19	3:6
true	13:1, 14:1,	witness	202
22:4	14:9, 19:7	4:2, 21:10	3:7, 3:15
truthful	virginia	work	2021
10:7, 10:10,	1:14, 2:4, 2:8,	13:21, 14:2,	1:6, 1:15, 5:7,
12:11, 12:16	7:22, 8:7, 8:14,	14:15	6:15, 17:13, 5:7,
tuesday	9:1, 18:2, 21:17	world	21:12, 22:17
1:15	virtually	13:15	2025
turn	13:2	wright	21:20
15:10	vociferously	8:8, 8:10	21: 20 218
turning	9:12, 9:13	Y	8:8
7:20		yeah	22
two	W	17:17, 17:22	
10:6	waived	yourself	1:21, 6:15 2200
U	14:3, 14:16		
	want	5:9	3:5
under	5:22, 8:6	Z	22030
11:12, 16:5,	wanted	zone	2:4
16:6, 16:9,	6:12, 7:22	12:12, 13:9,	224
16:14, 17:1	war	13:19	8:8
underlying	11:3	0	232
6:1	washington	02	8:8
understand	3:6, 3:14	1:16	274
19:18, 19:21	we're	1	3:15
united	9:20, 11:2,	10	2890
13:16	11:21, 16:3		3:15
unlawful	webex	1:16, 20:13	3
11:14	5:20	1000	30
unlawfully	weighs	3:13	17:13
10:18	15:20		

0.4	
31	
21:20	
3rd	
6 : 16	
	4
401	
401	
3:12	
4110	
2:3	
414077	
1:20	
491	
10:2	
1	5
500	
3:19	
524	
10:2	
10:2	
	7
7936707	
21:19	
	8
85004	
3:20	
3:20	
	9
955	
3 : 7	
9th	
3:12	
ĺ	
4	