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class. I did one in the cafeteria. And then, of course, there was one in science and art that was completed.

And I think it's poignant to not hear that this day of this observation was September 2017, which was at the beginning of the school year. And this is really our starting point with REDACTED. I think that the behavior intervention plan was working on these things that Ms. Gum identified, as we progressed through the year.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Apicella. Those are all the questions I have. MS. APICELLA: Okay. Thank you. MS. CHEUK: I have no redirect. HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: Thank you very much.

MS. APICELLA: Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: You're free to go.

MS. APICELLA: Thank you.
MS. CHEUK: It was nice meeting you.
(A brief recess was taken.)
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ANEY MASSIE,
the witness, after having been duly sworn, was examined and testified to as follows:

HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: All right.
You may inquire.
MS. CHEUK: Hi, Ms. Massie.
MS. MASSIE: Hi.
MS. CHEUK: Could you please identify yourself for the record.

MS. MASSIE: My name is Aney Massie.
MS. CHEUK: And what is your position
at --
MS. MASSIE: I teach gifted and talented, grades 3 through 5 at C.M. Bradley Elementary.

MS. CHEUK: Thank you. What is your educational background?

MS. MASSIE: I have a bachelor's in elementary education from Virginia Tech and a master's in curriculum and instruction from Virginia Tech. And I worked with professors at the University of Virginia to get my gifted certification.

MS. CHEUK: And what is your license in?
MS. MASSIE: I have an NK-4 for 8
[verbatim] and gifted endorsements.
MS. CHEUK: And what are -- what is your professional background prior to being gifted and talented?

MS. MASSIE: I actually started working with gifted and talented children in a regular classroom job. I taught for seven years in Madison County, teaching third grade, and I was in school for one class of each grade. So I always had the gifted cluster.

And then I went to a private school for three years where $I$ was a teaching administrator, and I decided administration wasn't for me. I went to Brumfield Elementary. I taught first grade one year. And then for one year -- well, I was offered three years before $I$ went to Brumfield; I just didn't work -- I didn't have that luxury. And then I had one year off after Brumfield while I had back surgery. And then I came to C.M. Bradley 13 years ago, and I have been there ever since.

## Transcript of Due Process Hearing - Day 1

Conducted on February 27, 2019

MS. CHEUK: Do you know Mr. Van Scoyoc?
MS. MASSIE: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Do you know Mr. Nanni?
MS. MASSIE: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: And
MS. MASSIE: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: How do you know them?
MS. MASSIE: REDACTED was in my class. He was in my gifted language arts class, and I also worked with REDACTED to help develop his math skills during our intervention and enrichment time once a week.

MS. CHEUK: Okay.
MS. MASSIE: And I worked with him after school, especially when the department paid me to tutor in the math for six weeks, I think. Six or eight weeks after school in September and October of last year, 2017.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. So the beginning of the school year, was that included in his IEP or was that separate?

MS. MASSIE: That was separate. I
believe -- my understanding was that we were trying to see if that made any difference for him.

MS. CHEUK: And last year, REDACTED was new to Bradley; is that right?

MS. MASSIE: Yes. He had been at Coleman Elementary before he came to us.

MS. CHEUK: And how often did you see him?

MS. MASSIE: Every day for 90 minutes for language arts, and then during IE, a half an hour (indiscernible) Thursdays.

MS. CHEUK: And how would you describe his interactions with other students?

MS. MASSIE: At the beginning of the year, I felt like REDACTED was trying to make friends, and he was a little bit awkward about that. He would -- if a child would tell a story, he would say, "Oh, yeah, that happened to me too" to try to find a way to have something in common with kids.

As the year wore on, he met a young lady who had an equal interest in -- I'm not really sure of the game, but a computer game or a program.

They talked about it all the time, and they chose to be in groups together when we did group work, and I felt like, by the end of the year, he had made a friend in my class.

MS. CHEUK: And how about his interactions with adults?

MS. MASSIE: I always found that talked to me very freely, and I always found him charming to talk to. You know, if it was just one-on-one, he would tell me stories about, you know, his family or playing the piano or whatever. You know, he talked to me in a normal way for a gifted kid.

MS. CHEUK: How would you describe generally?

MS. MASSIE: I think REDACTED is a nice young man, and I think he really wants to have friends. I think he's really, really very bright in language arts, and he suffers from some test anxiety, but he's a good kid, and I always liked him.

MS. CHEUK: Did he seek connection with
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others, in your opinion?
MS. MASSIE: I think so. And I think, when he found legitimate things in common with them, he released (indiscernible) on that and loved to talk to kids about the computer games that they liked to play and things like that.

MS. CHEUK: And what were --
MS. MASSIE: I think that's also why he, you know, tried awkwardly to make friends in the beginning. I think he always wanted to find connections.

MS. CHEUK: And what were his academic strengths when you worked with him?

MS. MASSIE: Well, I only taught him in grade attentive language arts, and that is certainly a strength of his. He was a straight A student. He's got excellent reading comprehension. He's a good reader and a good writer.

MS. CHEUK: And what were his academic weaknesses? Did you see any weaknesses?

MS. MASSIE: Well, he has a little bit of anxiety with test-taking, and so sometimes I felt
like he needed to refocus before he could do a good job on a test, but he didn't really have any weaknesses in language arts. He was always able to make As.

MS. CHEUK: Did he display any behavioral problems in your class?

MS. RICHARDSON: We had one incident earlier in the year where a child had left her snack on her desk and gone to the restroom, and when she came back, her snack was missing. And somebody said, "Whose chips are these?" and
 said, "They're mine; I've been eating them."

As soon as Mr. Nanni came to pick up REDACTED from school that day, I talked to him about this, and he said to ReDACTED, "Now, do I ever give you those chips?" And REDACTED said, "No." So he admitted that he had wanted the chips and so he took them.

And the next day, the family sent chips for the whole class. And nothing like that ever happened again.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. And that was the
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beginning of the school year?
MS. MASSIE: That was very early in the school year. I don't remember what month, but it was in the fall.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. Did REDACTED have difficulties with group work in your class?

MS. MASSIE: Not really, compared to any other gifted child. In a gifted classroom, we have a classroom full of chiefs, and so lots of them have very strong personalities and want to be in charge. I didn't feel like REDACTED was exceptionally -- had any exceptional difficulty with group work compared to other people because it's difficult for a lot of kids in my classroom.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. Would you say you saw a change in REDACTED over the course of the year? Did he grow?

MS. MASSIE: I think so. I think he adjusted to the school and got along better with the kids as the year went on. I mean, he was brand new. He had never been there before that first -you know, last year. I felt like, over the course
of the year, he had a better and better year. A the lot of the issues that we were concerned about early on seemed to kind of fade away as the year went on.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. Did Mr. Van Scoyoc or Mr. Nanni ever raise any issues directly with you regarding $\operatorname{REDACTED}$ 's educational needs?

MS. MASSIE: Occasionally. I got a series of emails. Mr. Van Scoyoc -- I went, actually, back and reviewed these emails before today. I had maybe a dozen or a few more from Mr. Van Scoyoc and maybe 50 or so from Mr. Nanni, and they were usually, well, he's prepared for this test or we have studied this or thank you for letting us know that. It was usually just business, record-keeping kind of things.

MS. CHEUK: Could you look at Exhibit 14. Yes. Okay. Others have testified that these are documents related to the January 31st, 2018, eligibility meeting. Did you attend that meeting?

MS. MASSIE: I believe I attended all of
REDACTED $s$ eligibility meetings. I would have to find

## Transcript of Due Process Hearing - Day 1

Conducted on February 27, 2019
my signature to say for sure. Yep, there I was.
MS. CHEUK: Okay. Exhibit 16 in
particular was discussed at that meeting, and this is the psychological evaluation prepared by Dr. Cameron.

MS. MASSIE: Okay.
MS. CHEUK: And it looks like, on page 5 of that evaluation, you were one of the raters for the BASC-3 --

MS. MASSIE: Okay.
MS. CHEUK: -- which Dr. Cameron has testified is a measure of behavioral, social, and emotional factors. Did you have -- did Dr. Cameron's results on that page accurately reflect your individual ratings that you submitted to him?

MS. MASSIE: Yes, I believe so.
MS. CHEUK: Would you have any reason to believe he altered them or made a mistake in documenting your ratings?

MS. MASSIE: No, not at all.
MS. CHEUK: Do you recall the discussion
at the eligibility meeting that day?
MS. MASSIE: Not specifically. I can
look at -- I can look at what was said.
MS. CHEUK: Okay.
MS. MASSIE: (Indiscernible) over any specific eligibility, I can't claim that I can do that.

MS. CHEUK: Looking at the eligibility group summary on -- what page is that? It is the third page of Exhibit 14, so three pages in. Have you reviewed this document before?

MS. MASSIE: I reviewed it two weeks ago when you showed it to me.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. Do you recall that the team considered the OHI criteria?

MS. MASSIE: I do.
MS. CHEUK: Do you recall what the team's decision was in that regard?

MS. MASSIE: I don't. I know, by the end of the year, we said that he did not qualify for an IEP.

MS. CHEUK: The last --
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MS. MASSIE: This is as of January. Is this January? Am I looking at the right page? 6/28/20-- or, no, that's not it. That's the -that's his -- 1/31/2018?

MS. CHEUK: Yes.
MS. MASSIE: And so our decision was he does not meet the criteria to continue to be eligible.

MS. CHEUK: That's correct. Yes.
MS. MASSIE: Yes, I signed -- I'm sure I agreed with that.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. Did you provide input at that meeting?

MS. MASSIE: I'm sure I did, yes. Every eligibility, we went around the table and asked that's what we would have to have.

MS. CHEUK: Would you agree that you included incorporated input from the parents at that meeting or that guardian and the caregiver?

MS. MASSIE: He was there, so I'm sure we listened to them around the table, the same as the rest of us.
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MS. CHEUK: Okay. What is your opinion? Do you think REDACTED is eligible under OHI criteria and requires specialized instruction?

MS. MASSIE: No.
MS. CHEUK: Why not?
MS. MASSIE: Because REDACTED is successful not only in regular classes but in advanced classes, he does not demonstrate any need for special education. Special education is for kids who are not able to be successful in the regular curriculum. Not only is REDACTED successful in the regular curriculum, he's successful in advanced classes for the gifted. I can't imagine that he needs any special assistance.

At C.M. Bradley, his special ed services were consult only with the special ed teacher, which meant that I met with her every week for 20 minutes, and so did all the other teachers, but she did not provide instructional services to was in a regular classroom and an honor roll student.

MS. CHEUK: (Indiscernible)?
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MS. MASSIE: I do.
MS. CHEUK: (Indiscernible) for him?
MS. MASSIE: I do.
MS. CHEUK: Please look at Exhibit 26. The last page of the exhibit, page 250, could you tell, for the record, what grade did REDACTED receive in your classroom?

MS. MASSIE: REDACTED received straight As in both my English and reading classes, which were in my 90-minute language arts class.

MS. CHEUK: And you continue to agree
that
is not eligible?
MS. MASSIE: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Do you have any other information to share with Mr. Aschmann that I haven't asked you about?

MS. MASSIE: I think, in REDACTED's case, he is -- he does suffer from some anxiety. (Indiscernible) some things is a good thing. I don't think you need an IEP to accomplish that.

I also think, because REDACTED is successful, continuing to provide him scaffolding
and supports will reduce his ability to become independent. I think, if a child is doing well, there would be no reason to try to give him further crutches and supports. I would like to see REDACTED be weaned away from these crutches and supports because I think that, ultimately, he will be able to succeed on his own.

MS. CHEUK: Thank you. Please answer Mr. Van Scoyoc's questions.

HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: Okay. Now, cross-examine, sir.

## CROSS-EXAMINATION

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Did I hear you correctly when you said that REDACTED was trying to make friends and it was awkward at the beginning of the year, but by the end of the year, he made one friend?

MS. MASSIE: In my classroom, yeah. I mean, it was a class of 17, I think. I felt like he had a friend by the end of the year, and he got along well with others.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: So he developed one close friendship?
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MS. MASSIE: In my opinion. I felt like at least he and this young lady were pretty tight.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Okay. In the binder in front of you, if you could please go to Exhibit 34, and then page 12 of this document, it's page 333 total.

MS. MASSIE: Thanks. That helps. 333.
MS. CHEUK: Is this your exhibit binder?
I'm sorry.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: No. I'm sorry. I'm using your exhibit binder.

MS. CHEUK: Which exhibit?
MR. VAN SCOYOC: Exhibit 34.
MS. CHEUK: 34. Okay.
MS. MASSIE: It's individualized
educational program measurable answer goals?
MR. VAN SCOYOC: Yes.
MS. MASSIE: I'm looking at it.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: Yes. So this IEP
meeting, do you remember attending this IEP meeting at Marshall Middle School on --

MS. MASSIE: I do remember Marshall's IEP
meeting, yes, sir.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: Do you remember the part of the meeting when we got to Goal No. 5, the social goals, any commentary you said?

MS. MASSIE: I can't remember what I
would have said in August.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: You don't remember saying that social --

MS. MASSIE: I don't remember --
MR. VAN SCOYOC: -- (indiscernible) the most important part of a meeting?

MS. MASSIE: Do I believe I said it? Yes. Do I remember saying it? No. It was August. I'm sorry.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: No, I understand.
So you do believe that social goals would be the most important of the goals that we added?

MS. MASSIE: I feel like the goals of social interaction are probably more necessary for REDACTED, but I'm not sure that $I$ think that necessarily goals are necessary. I don't think he has academic needs. I do think, like this gifted
children, REDACTED's quirky and has friendship issues and that sort of thing. Like we suggested role-playing would be good for him and for a lot of the students I teach.

Did I answer your question, Adam?
MR. VAN SCOYOC: Yes.
MS. MASSIE: Okay.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: So you would agree that social goals are very important for

MS. MASSIE: I would. I would think that -- maybe not goals but, like, I would like to see him improve his socialization skills, and I do think that that is important for him.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Okay.
MS. MASSIE: I mean, when you say the word "goal," that's an IEP thing, and I don't know that that's necessarily where it needs to be, but through counsel or other services, there are lots of things we can do to help kids build social interaction skills.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: And if you could now switch to the other binder, the white binder,
volume one, Exhibit 12. I think you --
MS. MASSIE: Oh, no, that's -- I went too far, you're right, because this says occupational therapy. Oh, 12 has a -- okay.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: The email instruction, been a little over a year so you might not remember this one off the top of my head, but does this email look familiar?

MS. MASSIE: Well, it's written by me. May I read it so that $I$ can tell you how familiar I am?

MR. VAN SCOYOC: If you'd like, for all of you, if you could read it out loud, that would be perfect.

MS. MASSIE: Okay. "Dear Jeff and Adam: You may remember that last fall I was asked by administrators to work with REDACTED in math (indiscernible) IEE (indiscernible). I started doing this even before we had our after-school tutoring sessions Mr. Lockovich lets me know what Redacted is working on each weekend. I use the time to show
 some strategies, designed to help him
prepare for his math tests. I usually try to come up with strategies that you find in different modalities of learning. I taught him multiplication songs and rhymes to remember his math content. You may remember when I sent home the poster about quadilaterals" -- oh, I remember why I wrote you this email, because you seemed to not remember, in the email that came before this, that I was doing this every Thursday.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Right.
MS. MASSIE: And I got an email from you saying if $I$ was working extra with REDACTED, that that needed to be documented, and that was why I wrote this.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Exactly.
MS. MASSIE: "You may remember when I sent home those two (indiscernible), and I imagine you have (indiscernible). Today I showed him how to make (indiscernible) so he can see in 3D how cylinder unfolds and (indiscernible) in order to calculate surface area. Sometimes we use (indiscernible) all year. (Indiscernible) every

Thursday from 10:35 to 11:05, and this one --"
HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: Ma'am, you
need to keep your voice up so that --
MS. MASSIE: Oh, I'm sorry. Do I need to repeat anything, sir?

HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: He couldn't hear what you were reading, but you can just refer to the documents in the evidence folder.

MS. MASSIE: Okay.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: I think that's -- thank you, Ms. Massie. You have read enough.

So for me talking about using the strategies with in my modalities of learning, would you agree that a multisensory (indiscernible) approach for REDACTED is in his best interest and how he responds best?

MS. MASSIE: I do think that REDACTED, using mnemonic devices and graphic organizers and things helps him master abstract content.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: But specifically
multi-sensory teaching.
MS. MASSIE: I guess that's multisensory.

Songs, visuals, yes.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: Okay.
MS. MASSIE: I feel like that helps him. I also think that helps lots of kids.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: And then you had said that you believed REDACTED is successful academically because he has good grades, even in honors classes, and thereafter, an IEP may not be appropriate.

MS. MASSIE: Yes.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: In your experience, do other children with IEPs have good grades or do they always have bad grades?

MS. MASSIE: They don't always have bad grades, but $I$ have had a number of students with IEPs in my (indiscernible) classes, and most struggle more than one.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Would you describe as twice exceptional?

MS. MASSIE: I would only describe him as twice exceptional if he has an official identification. When we got him, like I know he has -- you're going to have to help me. I don't
remember the name of the -- he has an eye --
MR. VAN SCOYOC: Duane's.
MS. MASSIE: Right. Duane's syndrome, and so I would say that that is a medical situation that causes him to need some accommodations, like where he sits in relation to the board and things that cause eye strain, things like that.

When we got him -- am I remembering correctly that he had an ADHD diagnosis?

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Yes.
MS. MASSIE: So that is sometimes considered a second exceptionality.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Okay.
MS. MASSIE: As I am not a school psychologist or a doctor, like, I can only go by what diagnoses we are told there are.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Okay. Thank you, Ms. Massie. Those are all my questions.

MS. MASSIE: Thank you.
MS. CHEUK: I just have one redirect.
HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: Yes, ma'am. REDIRECT EXAMINATION

MS. CHEUK: Mr. Van Scoyoc asked you if it helps REDACTED to learn, in a multisensory way. I don't think he requires instruction that way?

MS. MASSIE: I don't think so. I mean, he's also demonstrated the ability to do rote memorization. For example, we do Latin stems and roots in my class, and he was able to master that without me doing anything exceptional.

But at the time that $I$ was doing this for him, I was trying to build his math skills because he was in a sixth grade math class, as a fifth-grader, and he didn't have quick access to his multiplication facts and things like that, so I taught him songs, like I used to do when I taught third grade multiplication, because those are a good way to remember.

So do I think it's necessary? No. Do I think he learns better that way? Yes. Do I think everybody learns better that way? Yes, almost everybody. As a math teacher, when I am teaching math, I do that for my entire class.

MS. CHEUK: Thank you.

MS. MASSIE: Sure.
HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: Thank you very
much. You're free to go.
(A brief recess was taken.)
KYLIE HENSON,
the witness, after having been duly sworn, was examined and testified to as follows:

HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: You may inquire.

DIRECT EXAMINATION
MS. CHEUK: Good afternoon, Ms. Henson.
MS. HENSON: Hello.
MS. CHEUK: Could you please identify yourself for the record.

MS. HENSON: Sure. I'm Kylie Henson, the assistant principal at Marshall Middle School.

MS. CHEUK: And what is your educational background?

MS. HENSON: I have a bachelor's of science degree in marketing. I have a master's degree in educational leadership. I'm certified to teach 6 through 12 marketing or business
administration, and my administration degree allows me to work with pre-Ks through 12.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. As part of your master's in educational leadership, did you have any special education training?

MS. HENSON: Yes. So you have to take special education courses and special education law.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. And what is your professional background prior to coming to --

MS. HENSON: Oh, prior to education? I actually was an account manager for a marketing company.

MS. CHEUK: Okay.
MS. HENSON: I taught preschool. I taught preschool while $I$ was going back to get my teaching certification add-on with my bachelor's. I was hired there to work with actually a special needs child at the time.

MS. CHEUK: And how long have you been the assistant principal?

MS. HENSON: I have been the assistant
principal at Marshall Middle, this is my second year. And $I$ was the assistant principal at E.B. Smith Elementary the two years prior.

MS. CHEUK: Do you know Mr. Van Scoyoc,
Mr. Nanni, and REDACTED?
MS. HENSON: Yes, I do.
MS. CHEUK: How do you know them?
MS. HENSON: REDACTED is a sixth grade student at Marshall Middle School.

MS. CHEUK: And in what capacities have you worked with him?

MS. HENSON: Through the special education processes.

MS. CHEUK: And as a sixth grader, so he's brand new to Marshall; is that correct?

MS. HENSON: Correct, yeah.
MS. CHEUK: And typically, in your experience at the middle school, is the start of middle school a big transition for most students?

MS. HENSON: Yeah, it's a big transition
time. They have a lot more freedoms, you know, they haven't been used to, meaning, like, they get
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to walk down the halls by themselves and they have a planner they have to get signed to go to different places, lockers, changing for gym class, PE every day. The building's bigger. There's more classes to switch to across the whole building, so...

MS. CHEUK: And how often would you say you see

MS. HENSON: I see him daily. I may see him on the bus ramp. I have seen him in his classes and in the hallways.

MS. CHEUK: Have you ever observed him in a classroom?

MS. HENSON: I did. I observed him August 30th, actually, with Mr. Amie (ph).

MS. CHEUK: Okay. And did you see him interact with other students?

MS. HENSON: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: How would you describe those interactions?

MS. HENSON: Typical. He talked with his peers, he talks with adults. Nothing -- no red
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flag.
MS. CHEUK: Okay. Did any of
teachers -- have any of REDACTED's teachers ever referred REDACTED to school administration for disciplinary reasons?

MS. HENSON: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: How many times this school year?

MS. HENSON: So there have been three discipline referrals. The first one was by a teacher. The second referral was actually by -- we had a substitute administrator that day, Mr. Lee, and then the third one, I wrote.

MS. CHEUK: And do you recall when the last -- the most recent incident occurred?

MS. HENSON: Yes, it was November 29th.
MS. CHEUK: And nothing since then?
MS. HENSON: Nothing since then.
MS. CHEUK: Okay. Did a case manager ever advise you that he was having educational issues in his classrooms?

MS. HENSON: Yes. Mrs. Hoeting had some
concerns in regards to his accommodations, in that he was showing frustrations and anxiety when he would have to be pulled to take all of his retakes, which is an accommodation that he has.

MS. CHEUK: And how often would you say you communicated with Mr. Van Scoyoc or Mr. Nanni?

MS. HENSON: It was almost daily, through emails.

MS. CHEUK: And what time frame?
MS. HENSON: From the beginning of school. We had some over the summer but from the beginning of school up until probably beginning of December after that last incident.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. Have you participated in eligibility or IEP meetings regarding
 the 2018-2019 school year?

MS. HENSON: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: And, actually, when did you first attend an IEP meeting for


MS. HENSON: The first time I attended was actually in Bradley. It was an IEP meeting. The elementaries invite the middle schools to the

IEP as part of the transition so that we can look at minutes and stuff like that that would go along with the Marshall Middle School schedule.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. And looking at Exhibit 22 in the black binder --

MS. HENSON: Okay. Oops, sorry.
MS. CHEUK: What are these documents?
MS. HENSON: This is the invite for the March 15th IEP at Bradley.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. And then that's -- the next page, is that the sign-in sheet for that meeting?

MS. HENSON: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: And did Mr. Van Scoyoc and Mr. Nanni attend that meeting?

MS. HENSON: No, they did not.
MS. CHEUK: What did you learn about REDACTED from his then-current IEP team at that meeting?

MS. HENSON: That he was making progress. He had just -- I guess he had only spent one year at Bradley, and that he was making progress, that
he was a conscientious student. I mean, there was lots of good things said about him that day.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. Did you know at that time that REDACTED's eligibility team had determined him no longer eligible for special education services?

MS. HENSON: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Sorry; getting to my questions.

Looking at page -- sorry. I'll move on. Sorry about that. Looking at Exhibit 28, others have testified that these are the documents related to an eligibility meeting held on July 10, 2018, at Marshall Middle School. Did you attend that meeting?

MS. HENSON: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Had you had any communication Mr. Van Scoyoc and Mr. Nanni between the March 15th meeting and the July 10 th meeting?

MS. HENSON: Yes. They had requested to do an observation -- I think it was in May -- to observe our math teachers.
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MS. CHEUK: Okay. Had you met REDACTED yet by this time?

MS. HENSON: I had not, no.
MS. CHEUK: What was your understanding about why the eligibility team was meeting again after the January meeting in that determination?

MS. HENSON: That there was new data or documentation to consider that related to the emotional disability and autism criteria.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. Did the team consider that day the IEE report from the Kellar Center?

MS. HENSON: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Did the team consider Dr. Cameron's updated psychological report?

MS. HENSON: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: What conclusions did you draw from listening to a discussion of both of those reports?

MS. HENSON: That a lot of the data was skewed in that many of the behaviors or characteristics seen were being seen by the parents but not in a classroom setting.
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MS. CHEUK: And the team considered classroom observations --

MS. HENSON: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: -- for that data point.
What conclusions did you draw from listening to a discussion about those classroom observations?

MS. HENSON: There were three that were done. Ms. Banks is the principal at Bradley. She had (indiscernible) reviewed as well as one that Mr. Nanni had done.

MS. CHEUK: And I can point you to Exhibit 33, if you need to refresh your memory.

MS. HENSON: Sure. And Mr. Gantley. He had done one too.

MS. CHEUK: I'm sorry?
MS. HENSON: I think Mr. Gantley had done one. 33?

MS. CHEUK: Yes. So what were -- I'm sorry. What were the three observations again?

MS. HENSON: I know Mr. Gantley reviewed one, for Mr. Gantley and Ms. Banks and Mrs. Nanni.
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MS. CHEUK: And did Ms. Banks and
Mr. Nanni observe the same class?
MS. HENSON: I believe so, yes.
MS. CHEUK: Were those two observations
consistent?
MS. HENSON: No, they were completely -they were opposite.

MS. CHEUK: And there was a discussion about -- and Mr. Nanni was at this meeting; is that right?

MS. HENSON: Yes, mm-hmm.
MS. CHEUK: So he was able to explain his observation?

MS. HENSON: Mm-hmm.
MS. CHEUK: And you reviewed the other observation.

Looking at that -- the other observation of the same class period, it's the first page of the exhibit, would you mind going down --

MS. HENSON: On 33?
MS. CHEUK: Yes.
MS. HENSON: Okay.
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MS. CHEUK: First page under "good," it looks like --

MS. HENSON: For Gantley?
MS. CHEUK: Oh, I'm sorry.
MS. HENSON: Second page?
MS. CHEUK: Third page.
MS. HENSON: Oh, I see.
MS. CHEUK: It looks like everything is checked good except for one.

MS. HENSON: That wasn't observed.
MS. CHEUK: And that wasn't observed.
MS. HENSON: Yeah.
MS. CHEUK: Okay. And then there's a narrative there, and then Mr. Nanni's observation is after that. What conclusions did you draw from listening to a discussion about those two observations of the exact same class?

MS. HENSON: That the observation from Mr. Nanni -- from reading it, it's written in a way where you're walking into a room, trying to find negative behaviors.

MS. CHEUK: And those same behaviors were
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not documented by the principal of Bradley Elementary?

MS. HENSON: Correct.
MS. CHEUK: How would you describe Mr. Nanni's and Mr. Van Scoyoc's demeanor at that eligibility meeting as the first time you're seeing them in that setting?

MS. HENSON: I would say you could feel tension.

MS. CHEUK: Were they deferential to school administrators or teachers or --

MS. HENSON: Could you explain further what you mean by that?

MS. CHEUK: Did they seem to trust what was being told to them by anyone from -- at the table?

MS. HENSON: No.
MS. CHEUK: Did you feel that they valued anyone's input?

MS. HENSON: No, it -- it didn't feel valued personally, no.

MS. CHEUK: And did they have ample
opportunity to provide their input?
MS. HENSON: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Others have testified that
the team considered autism and ED at that meeting per Mr. Van Scoyoc's request. Based on the IEE report where there's a diagnosis of ADHD, do they also request a review of the OHI criteria?

MS. HENSON: No.
MS. CHEUK: And others have testified that the eligibility team determined REDACTED not eligible for special education services under autism and ED. Did you agree with the team's determination?

MS. HENSON: I did. I do.
MS. CHEUK: Why?
MS. HENSON: After reviewing the data, the information and the input from all those documents, we were not reading or seeing if these characteristics were being seen in a classroom adversely affected his ability to progress in the general population.

MS. CHEUK: Turning to Exhibit 34 -- and,
actually, these documents have previously been identified as IEP meeting documents for the first IEP meeting at Marshall Middle on August 6. Did you attend that meeting?

MS. HENSON: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Did REDACTED attend that meeting?

MS. HENSON: Yes. He came at the beginning of it.

MS. CHEUK: And what was your first -your impression of him?

MS. HENSON: He was nervous. I think he was anxious. He was -- which any child would feel that way. It was a very formal setting, and it's, you know, overwhelming. But he was able to communicate, and he had a recording, I remember, of what he said, but then he was able to answer questions if he had anything.

MS. CHEUK: And how were REDACTED's first few days at school?

MS. HENSON: They were good. They were good.
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MS. CHEUK: How long did that first meeting last? Do you remember?

MS. HENSON: I believe that meeting was four hours.

MS. CHEUK: And Mr. Van Scoyoc and Mr. Nanni had provided a lot of information to the teachers --

MS. HENSON: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: -- and administrators.
Did the REDACTED you saw in the hallways at school those first few weeks match up with the description that was provided by the guardian and the caregiver at that meeting?

MS. HENSON: No.
MS. CHEUK: Did he seem to differ from his same-aged peers?

MS. HENSON: No.
MS. CHEUK: He didn't stand out in any particular way?

MS. HENSON: No.
MS. CHEUK: Turning to Exhibit 38, do you recognize that document?
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MS. HENSON: I do.
MS. CHEUK: Did you prepare it?
MS. HENSON: I did.
MS. CHEUK: And what is the date of that document?

MS. HENSON: September 17th.
MS. CHEUK: Are you aware of anything else that took place that day?

MS. HENSON: That was the day of another IEP meeting.

MS. CHEUK: Did you speak to REDACTED that day?

MS. HENSON: I did.
MS. CHEUK: Can you recall anything in particular that he said about the behavior?

MS. HENSON: And, you know, he was angry. He was mad, he was upset, but he believed this child had called him diabetic and he got angry.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. And what discipline did he receive?

MS. HENSON: It was like a block where he would miss out on PE.
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MS. CHEUK: Did he miss one class? Two
classes?
MS. HENSON: Yes, it was like a loss
of -- because it happened in PE class --
MS. CHEUK: Okay.
MS. HENSON: -- so we were trying to
associate the behavior with where and when it
happened, and so he missed one block of $P E$.
MS. CHEUK: Okay. Turning to Exhibit 41, do you recognize that document?

MS. HENSON: I do.
MS. CHEUK: And did you prepare it?
MS. HENSON: I did.
MS. CHEUK: Did you speak to REDACTED that day?

MS. HENSON: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: And do you recall anything he said about the behavior?

MS. HENSON: Yeah, I mean, again, apparently a student had called him a noob, which is like a -- he's a gamer, so, like, he loves playing video games, and a noob is somebody who is
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new to gaming. And so he took great offense to that because he's really good at video games. And he was angry about that, and so, again, he -- there was a minor physical altercation -- the student, who he said, said that.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. And what discipline was imposed?

MS. HENSON: He received, I believe, two days of in-school detention.

MS. CHEUK: And is that what -- did he actually attend in-school detention?

MS. HENSON: He did not.
MS. CHEUK: So did Mr. Van Scoyoc and Mr. Nanni agree with the discipline?

MS. HENSON: No.
MS. CHEUK: And would it be fair to say they refused to accept the discipline?

MS. HENSON: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: So how did you resolve that issue?

MS. HENSON: So they kept him out of school for those two days, and so we resolved it by
accepting that as a consequence of staying out of school those two days, and it wasn't something we wanted to honestly fight about because we didn't want to be out of school longer.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. Do you think -- as assistant principal for the building, would it be appropriate for staff members to share concerns with you about parenting or guardians?

MS. HENSON: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Do you know if other Marshall Middle School staff or teachers had concerns about the intervention efforts you saw from
 caregivers?

MS. HENSON: Yes. The bombardment of the emails or concerns of the accommodations. He would come to me about that.

MS. CHEUK: Do you think any of that had a positive or negative impact on REDACTED's education?

MS. HENSON: I think it's limited. I don't believe that -- I think a lot of accommodations that we are providing, because of the IEP and how it's documented, creates a lot of
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his anxieties.
MS. CHEUK: Please turn to Exhibit 44 --
Exhibit 46.
MS. HENSON: Okay.
MS. CHEUK: Do you recognize that document?

MS. HENSON: I do.
MS. CHEUK: Did you prepare it?
MS. HENSON: I did.
MS. CHEUK: And what date did this incident occur?

MS. HENSON: This was November 28th, which was the same day as the mediation.

MS. CHEUK: And how did you --
MR. VAN SCOYOC: Objection. What's the relevance?

MS. CHEUK: Well, it is disciplinary -do you want me to respond?

HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: No. I'm just going to overrule that. His disciplinary records during the most recent school year is very relevant.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: It's relevant (indiscernible).

HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: Okay. Please continue.

MS. CHEUK: How did you interpret REDACTED's behavior that day?

MS. HENSON: Again, he was upset. I realized that the other -- he told me that another child had been messing with his phone, and so --

MS. CHEUK: And how did he respond?
MS. HENSON: He responded -- he had a pencil in his hand and the witnesses and the victims said that he took it and he stabbed at him on the arm.

MS. CHEUK: And -- so you mentioned there was a mediation going on that day. Do you believe there's a correlation, in your opinion?

MS. HENSON: I do.
MS. CHEUK: Did REDACTED share anything else with you that day that was bothering him?

MS. HENSON: The next before, he had told me he already started off having kind of a bad day
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because, the night before, he said that he had already broken, like, a favorite wine glass of Jeff's, and he had felt really bad about that because he didn't want to hurt Jeff's feelings because it was one of his favorite glasses, and he had already broken it, so...

MS. CHEUK: And, again, has
 received any other documented discipline since November 28 th .

MS. HENSON: No, he has not.
MS. CHEUK: And did the eligibility team consider that disciplinary incident as its December meeting?

MS. HENSON: Yes, we discussed it, $\mathrm{mm}-\mathrm{hmm}$.

MS. CHEUK: Please flip to Exhibit 47.
MS. HENSON: Okay.
MS. CHEUK: Others have testified that this exhibit includes documentation from the eligibility meeting conducted in two parts: December 14 th was part one and January 11, 2019, was part two. Do you recognize them?
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MS. HENSON: I do.
MS. CHEUK: Did you attend this meeting?
MS. HENSON: I did.
MS. CHEUK: Did the team consider input from the teacher?

MS. HENSON: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Did the team consider

current grades?
MS. HENSON: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Did the team consider any
behavior incidents during the 2018-2019 school year?

MS. HENSON: Yes. We discussed the three discipline (indiscernible).

MS. CHEUK: And did the team consider the IEE observation report submitted by Christine Willing?

MS. HENSON: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Others have also testified
that REDACTED attended that meeting. What are your thoughts on what he shared that day?

MS. HENSON: He was very well-spoken. He
was clearly now very comfortable with us because he now -- you know, we're in December and he knows us. He's looked us in the eye, communicated clearly. Probably better than most sixth graders would have done in that situation.

MS. CHEUK: So he appeared to be the REDACTED you see every day at school?

MS. HENSON: Yeah. Smiling. He was very positive.

MS. CHEUK: Do you remember what said in response to a question about what the school could be doing differently?

MS. HENSON: I don't remember exactly. I know that what he talked about was very positive about his experiences.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. Looking at the eligibility summary on page 458, it says that the -- it states that the team discussed the autism and D criteria worksheets, the team determined that REDACTED does not meet the criteria for autism or ED. Do you agree with those determinations?

MS. HENSON: I do.

MS. CHEUK: Why?
MS. HENSON: When we would go through the criteria worksheet, he didn't show the characteristics that you would see in classroom settings whereas I remember, you know, socially -and that it wasn't adversely affecting him of the ability to progress.

MS. CHEUK: Do you think he required specialized instruction or he requires specialized instruction?

MS. HENSON: No, we didn't feel that it required specialized instruction.

MS. CHEUK: And what about Ms. Willing's observation? Did she not indicate that
 was exhibiting autistic behaviors?

MS. HENSON: Yes, she did. She indicated, too, and that we considered one was in PE, flapping of the arms, and then the second was he was banging on the desks. However, our social worker, who was also in the observation, had a very different take on that in that she had no concern about him, what he was going in PE because it's
very unstructured time when they're getting ready and they're all kind of like squirrely.

And then the second one, she wouldn't have called it banging on the table. She said he was doing some tapping.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. And then you also attended part two of that meeting?

MS. HENSON: I did.
MS. CHEUK: What evaluations and reports did the team consider at this meeting?

MS. HENSON: So we looked at -- we were looking at the observation from Mrs. Willing. I believe we had some -- a little bit of discussion again about the Kellar report and considered the medical diagnosis of Duane's syndrome as well.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. And did you agree with the team that ADHD characteristics were not being seen at that time?

MS. HENSON: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Did the team review any other outside evaluations or documents?

MS. HENSON: Not that I remember.

MS. CHEUK: What did the team determine whether or not to the OHI criteria?

MS. HENSON: We did determine that there was truth in his strength, I believe it was on that criteria, if $I$ can look at it.

MS. CHEUK: Sure.
I believe we marked through on one of them. Was that the -- OHI is the last one, isn't it?

MS. HENSON: Yes. Yeah, so we said the strength because he can look at the strength at the Duane's syndrome with his eye and visually having to move back and forth from the board to, you know, his paper. But that, again, we didn't feel that it necessarily required specially designed instruction to meet those needs.

MS. CHEUK: And what do you think was the strongest piece of data that the team relied on to make that decision?

MS. HENSON: Classroom observations, his current grades, the fact that he's making progress in his classes, that he -- there are times, too,
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according to teachers, he doesn't want to use accommodations or didn't use the accommodations and was still capable of producing typical work as his same-aged peers.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. And, actually, if
you'll look quickly at page or Exhibit 53. What is this document?

MS. HENSON: This is our report cords.
MS. CHEUK: And when did the first
semester end?
MS. HENSON: It would have been right at the break or prior to the break.

MS. CHEUK: Prior to the second part of the eligibility meeting?

MS. HENSON: Yes, mm-hmm.
MS. CHEUK: And what were his semester grades, for the record, done the line?

MS. HENSON: As and Bs for his final, looks like. As and Bs for his final grade of the two average.

MS. CHEUK: So you have testified today that you have attended eligibility meetings, IEP
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meetings for REDACTED since March of last year. Do you continue to agree that REDACTED is not eligible?

MS. HENSON: I do.
MS. CHEUK: And why?
MS. HENSON: He doesn't display any of the characteristics that we typically see in children who are identified as autistic or emotionally disabled or with OHI. He is progressing. He's able to -- even when he may have a weakness, he's able to work through it and provide, you know, same aged group work. It doesn't seem to interfere with his ability to learn.

MS. CHEUK: Anything else you'd like to share with us?

MS. HENSON: I would just say that, in my experience through all of this and the extensive time spent in the special education process and my interactions with REDACTED, that $I$ firmly don't believe he's a student that's being well-served with an IEP. And by "served," I mean he unnecessarily bears the burden.
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MS. CHEUK: Thank you.
MS. HENSON: Thanks.
MS. CHEUK: Please answer any --
HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: Cross-examine?
CROSS-EXAMINATION
MR. VAN SCOYOC: Yes. In the same binder, can you please go to Exhibit 40. This is the last signed IEP for a meeting on October 8th. Can you please explain why --

MS. HENSON: Is there one in here? I'm sorry.

MS. CHEUK: Oh, that's the one that's (indiscernible).

MS. HENSON: I'm sorry. I just didn't want you to go on to --

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Thank you, yeah. Okay.
MS. HENSON: Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: She did that to me, too.

MS. HENSON: Okay.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: So this is a copy of the last signed IEP meeting --
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MS. HENSON: Yes.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: -- from October 8th, 2018. Can you explain why a properly convened IEP team included -- wrote an IEP that included social goals, that they had no intention of instituting and implementing for at least one full academic school year?

MS. HENSON: Can you repeat that again? I'm sorry.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Can you explain why a properly convened IEP team agreed to an IEP with social goals that they had no intention of instituting for at least one full school year?

MS. HENSON: I don't understand. You mean that we didn't provide?

MR. VAN SCOYOC: We're here today because the school would like to end this IEP.

MS. HENSON: Yes.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: So just a few months ago in October, an IEP team agreed to this --

MS. HENSON: Why did we put those goals
in? Is that what you mean?
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MR. VAN SCOYOC: Why did you agree to an IEP just last October?

MS. HENSON: Well, he's a student -- we didn't have a signed -- well, we had a signed IEP, but it was a stay-put IEP, and we were trying to work to come up with an IEP that was appropriate for the middle school, and even though we did find him eligible, he still receives an IEP because you would not sign consent to let go of the IEP.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Do you think the social goals in this IEP are important?

MS. HENSON: Do I think they're important?

MR. VAN SCOYOC: For REDACTED's education.
MS. HENSON: I think that, without them, he would still be successful.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: So why, then, in your opinion, did an IEP team agree to that?

MS. HENSON: Why would we agree to the goals?

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Yes. MS. HENSON: So I would -- I would state
that maybe -- well, not maybe. We looked at weaknesses where the weaknesses -- where we could help him through the IEP since we had to have an IEP, how would we address them.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: And is it your assertion that those weaknesses no longer exist today?

MS. HENSON: I wouldn't say the weaknesses don't exist. I would say the weaknesses exist, but $I$ could also argue that we could address those weaknesses under a general education setting. We have many supports that we could offer.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Social support specific to social development and social goals?

MS. HENSON: Yes. You can do it through a behavior plan. We can do social goals through behavior plan. We also have other students that do receive some counseling services without a BIP or anything. We just offer them because it's what they need.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: If you could go, in Exhibit 40, page 16 of the document, it's page 404 overall, could you read No. 5, measurable annual
goals.
HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: Please don't ask her to read documents. Just ask her questions.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: The annual goal states, "The given situation presented in counseling sessions, REDACTED will accurately identify feelings and appropriate coping strategies when presented with real or imagined situations with four of five trials by 8/14/2019." Could you help me understand how he would be able to do that without an IEP?

MS. HENSON: Through a behavior plan.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: Specifically to this -MS. HENSON: You can do a goal and you could -- because you have to still have data, you still have to observe him or check in on him (indiscernible).

HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: With the truck noise, I'm going to have to ask you to repeat that because the court reporter can't get it down.

MS. HENSON: I'm sorry.
So I said you could address it through a behavior plan because, even with the behavior plan,
we would have to keep data, check in on him, counsel with him.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Could you explain any and all of your experiences that you have knowledge of regarding REDACTED eloping from class, either in school or during after-school activities?

MS. HENSON: Yeah. I think that there are times -- I think that another thing he has difficulty with, which kind of goes along with what I said, that he has weaknesses socially, is he -his coping mechanism, when he feels frustrated, is to get away from the situation.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: And so are there specific times that you can recount in which he eloped from class?

MS. HENSON: Eloped from class? I know that he will ask to go to the bathroom, and he may take extra -- you know, extra time, more than usual at times, as an avoidant strategy, which many students do.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Are you aware or do you remember, I should say, the September 14 th, 2018,
incident in which he was late to orchestra class and, therefore, did not want to go in?

MS. HENSON: Yes. He wasn't late. He was actually there on time, but he wouldn't go into the class. He was, like, by the door but outside in the hallway. He wouldn't do in. And I spoke with him.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Why didn't he want to go in?

MS. HENSON: He was having anxiety because he wasn't prepared for class.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: So what happened?
MS. HENSON: So I allowed him -- I said, Do you want to talk this out and go talk to a counselor and speak to them about that, you know, to work through -- to come up with a strategy of how we can help you make sure you're prepared for class. I think we came up with he was allowed to drop his folder off in the morning so that he wouldn't have to worry about forgetting it in his locker and not being able to go back to a locker to get it, so he said that he thought that that would
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help.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: So when he met with
Ms. Finn, did he go back to orchestra class
afterwards?
MS. HENSON: I can't remember if he did that day or not, actually. So I -- I don't remember. I don't know if it took the whole rest of the period or not.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: I do have, in the smaller binder -- it says volume 1. It's Exhibit 20.

MS. HENSON: Do you want this back?
MS. CHEUK: Yeah, I'm going to take that back.

MS. HENSON: You said 20? I'm sorry.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: Yes.
MS. HENSON: Okay.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: This is a transcript from a voicemail from Ms. Finn about the September 4th --

MS. CHEUK: I have an objection to this exhibit. It's a lack of foundation. I have no
idea what date this recording was made on, how it was made, where it came from. She didn't make the call. She can't substantiate that it was her or who it was.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: It was a voicemail provided to me by Mr. Corpening when I requested all documents from -- relating to REDACTED. So he provided me the audio file and --

MS. CHEUK: But how would she have knowledge of it?

HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: Please, address me, not each other.

MS. CHEUK: I'm sorry.
HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: Please finish, sir.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: I requested, in preparing for due process for Mr. Corpening, any documents relating to REDACTED's education, and one of them was this voicemail from Kimberly Finn. And so when $I$ was provided with the audio recording of the voicemail, I transcribed it into this audio transcript.

HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: You
transcribed it?
MR. VAN SCOYOC: I have the audio transcript on the USB key with me.

HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: Okay. Well, I think --

MR. VAN SCOYOC: I mean, I have the --
HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: There is an authentication problem, she's correct, in evidence here. You can probably do it if you know how, but I can't instruct you how to do it.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Okay. All right. That's fine.

You had mentioned, Ms. Henson, some sort of correlation between the November $28 t h$ incident between REDACTED and a peer that ultimately you sent to court for assault charges?

MS. HENSON: I did not send him to court.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: That you referred to Officer Jenkins and some sort of correlation between that incident and the fact that we were -Jeff and I were in mediation with Mrs. Guzman and
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Mr. Corpening that day.
MS. HENSON: Yes.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: Could you explain?
MS. HENSON: The other -- there was
another referral -- it was 9/17. It was also the same day as an IEP. So it stuck out in my head that there was another thing happening, between the school and parent, and he acted out.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Are you aware that did not know that we were having mediation with the school?

MS. HENSON: I was -- I was unsure if he did or did not because $I$ didn't mention it to him.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Are you aware that he has no knowledge of us being in reverse due process right now?

MS. HENSON: I did not know that. I don't talk to him about it.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Okay. I don't have anymore questions.

HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: Redirect?
MS. CHEUK: I have no redirect.
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HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: All right. Well, thank you very much. You're free to go.

MS. HENSON: Thank you. I appreciate your time. Thank you.
(A brief recess was taken.)
LAUREN BROOKE SETTLE,
the witness, after having been duly sworn, was examined and testified to as follows:

HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: You may
inquire.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
MS. CHEUK: Good afternoon, Ms. Settle.
MS. SETTLE: Good afternoon.
MS. CHEUK: Could you please identify yourself for the record.

MS. SETTLE: Yes. I am Lauren Brooke
Settle. I teach sixth grade science at Marshall Middle School.

MS. CHEUK: And what is your educational background?

MS. SETTLE: I have a degree in IdLS, which is international disciplinary of liberal arts
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studies. I minored in biology and mathematics, and I have been teaching for 14 years, all at Marshall Middle School. 13 eighth grade science and this is my first year teaching sixth grade science. And I have a degree to teach sixth through eighth, all middle school math and science.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. Thank you.
MS. SETTLE: Mm-hmm.
MS. CHEUK: Do you know Mr. Van Scoyoc?
MS. SETTLE: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: And do you know Mr. Nanni and

```
REDACTED
```

MS. SETTLE: Yes, I do.
MS. CHEUK: How?
MS. SETTLE: Through -- the first time I met them was in the summertime, and then I had REDACTED in my classroom -- assigned classroom.

MS. CHEUK: And how long is he in your class each day?

MS. SETTLE: Approximately about an hour each day. Classes are a little bit short of an hour.

MS. CHEUK: And how would you describe

MS. SETTLE: A typical sixth grade student. Loves to play games, enjoys technology, and just a typical student.

MS. CHEUK: Does he like science?
MS. SETTLE: He does. He does. He loves science. Science, I think, is one of his favorite subjects.

MS. CHEUK: And what academic strengths does he exhibit in your classroom?

MS. SETTLE: He does really well for me in the classroom. He does well on assessments. He has done some great project work. He's done well on the classroom assignments.

MS. CHEUK: And have you -- do you have an opinion about his relationships, interactions with his peers?

MS. SETTLE: He has two friends -- he's moved classrooms, so he has actually just made a new friend that he's been working with on a project. He tends to kind of stick to one person
and, you know, doesn't, like, more so move around and have a group of friends, but he doesn't have problems with students in the classroom. But it's not -- he doesn't struggle to find a partner in my room.

MS. CHEUK: And how are his relationships with adults, from what you see?

MS. SETTLE: With me, we have a fine relationship. We have a good relationship. He talks --

MS. CHEUK: I'm sorry.
MS. SETTLE: He talks to me. I'll talk to him and ask him how his day's going. I have him first period, so, you know, I'll say good morning. He says good morning to me, and we'll have a conversation.

MS. CHEUK: Would you say he has any social needs?

MS. SETTLE: I would say that sometimes it can be difficult for him if it's, like, a group and he has a different idea. He may need to kind of be guided a little bit in some of his social

## Transcript of Due Process Hearing - Day 1

Conducted on February 27, 2019
aspects, but $I$ wouldn't say it's anything out of a normal range of being a middle school student and kind of learning how to interact with each other and other students.

MS. CHEUK: And what is his current grade in your class?

MS. SETTLE: He has an 86 in my class.
MS. CHEUK: And has he presented any behavioral challenges to you?

MS. SETTLE: No. No.
MS. CHEUK: All right. So let's look at some exhibits. Turning to Exhibit 34, those documents have been previously identified as IEP meeting documents from the first IEP meeting at Marshall Middle School on August 6th.

MS. SETTLE: Mm-hmm.
MS. CHEUK: Did you attend that meeting?
MS. SETTLE: I did.
MS. CHEUK: Did REDACTED attend that meeting?

MS. SETTLE: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: And what was your first
impression of REDACTED?
MS. SETTLE: That he was just a really
cool, neat kid. He came in, he had a recording he played. He was -- surprisingly, for me, I thought he was very well put together and not as nervous as you would expect for a sixth grader to come in and around a whole group of adults. A lot of them would typically shut down.

He presented himself really well. I even spoke to him. We kind of breaked for a little bit, and he was in the room next door, and $I$ went over to talk to him and see how he was doing, and he said he was excited to come to Marshall, and he was showing me the game he was playing and just had the normal conversation.

MS. CHEUK: And how were his first few days in your classroom?

MS. SETTLE: Good.
MS. CHEUK: Did Mr. Van Scoyoc and Mr. Nanni attend that first IEP meeting?

MS. SETTLE: Yes, they did.
MS. CHEUK: Did their attorney attend?

MS. SETTLE: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: And did you feel they had the opportunity to share with REDACTED's new IEP team all of their concerns?

MS. SETTLE: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Did the REDACTED you saw in your classroom match up with the description provided by Mr. Van Scoyoc and Mr. Nanni at that meeting?

MS. SETTLE: No. No, I don't think so.
MS. CHEUK: How is it different?
MS. SETTLE: Well, I had spoken to while there was a little break and asked if he was excited for school, and he said he was. And then I had spoken to Mr. Van Scoyoc, and he had said that Redacted was excited. At this point, Mr. Nanni was in the bathroom. He came back from the restroom, and I had mentioned something about him being excited, and Mr. Nanni responded that that was not the case, he was not excited, he was completely overwhelmed, stressed. It seemed like he was very emotional. I kind of figured that he would come in and he would have a breakdown, and I figured it would be like
students that I have. I have a student who actually -- we had a two-hour delay, and the child was so distraught that lunch was before third period instead of after, to where he was, like, crying, upset.

And that's kind of what I figured would be like, where we'd have to tell him before changes, we'd have to really talk to him, I'd have to say, you know, it's going to be a different schedule. I didn't have any issues like that. If it was a different schedule, he seemed fine with it. If it was -- you know, we went outside one day in my classroom, and we did this videotaping of weather, and he jumped in it and he did it. We came back in, and I said, "Oh, you need to take that quiz. Do you want to take it now?" "Sure." Most kids would break down at that point and say, you know, "What quiz? No. There's only 15 minutes." Or, you know, he was fine with that. He was good with changes.

We have had a fire drill in the middle of a quiz one time in my classroom. Came right back
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in, sat down, got right back on the problem that he was doing.

So what I expected from what I had heard wasn't what $I$ saw in my room.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. And then flipping to Exhibit 47, these documents have testified -others have testified that these documents relate to part 1 and part 2 of an eligibility meeting. Do you recognize them?

MS. SETTLE: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: And looking at the list of participants, did you attend this meeting?

MS. SETTLE: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Did the team consider input from the teacher -- from you at that meeting?

MS. SETTLE: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Did the team consider current grades?

MS. SETTLE: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Did the team consider any behavior incidents?

MS. SETTLE: We talked about some, yes.

MS. CHEUK: And did the team consider the IEE observation reports submitted by Christine Willing?

MS. SETTLE: Yes. We went over everything.

MS. CHEUK: Others have testified that REDACTED attended that meeting. What are your thoughts on that -- on what he shared with the eligibility team that day?

MS. SETTLE: Yeah. Actually, (indiscernible). He was --

MS. CHEUK: I'm sorry?
MS. SETTLE: I actually went to go get him. I stepped out to go get him. He was in history class. He didn't want to leave history class. They were playing a game. But he came in. I thought that he portrayed himself really well. Again, same thing: Came in, he talked. Basically said he was -- the year was going well, and I remember specifically the attorney asking if she -these were exact words -- "if I could sprinkle magic fairy dust on you and you could change
anything you wanted, what would it be?" And he said "nothing." And then I walked him back to his classroom.

MS. CHEUK: Did he mention anything about his accommodations?

MS. SETTLE: He said that they were fine, I believe. We asked him if he liked them. He said -- he just said they were fine. He didn't really say much about it.

MS. CHEUK: Did you notice Mr. Van Scoyoc's reaction to REDACTED's input?

MS. SETTLE: No.
MS. CHEUK: Did you notice Mr. Nanni's reaction to REDACTED's input?

MS. SETTLE: He seemed a little agitated.
MS. CHEUK: Okay. Looking at the eligibility summary, it states that -- and this is 157. It's the first page of the eligibility summary, which is the --

MS. SETTLE: Page 157?
MS. CHEUK: I'm sorry. It's not 157.
MS. SETTLE: Okay.
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MS. CHEUK: It's the fourth page of Exhibit 47. There you go.

MS. SETTLE: Okay.
MS. CHEUK: It says, towards the bottom, "The team discussed the autism and ED criteria and worksheets. The team determined that REDACTED does not meet the criteria for autism or ED."

As his classroom teacher every day, do you agree with that determination?

MS. SETTLE: I do agree with that.
MS. CHEUK: Why?
MS. SETTLE: I don't see any
characteristics. Like I said, there's -- he doesn't have any issues with schedule change. He's able to complete his task. He doesn't -- for me, for science test, he's probably the fourth or fifth student done. And when he's -- for me, he has never retaken a single test. So his assessment scores were all 80 percent or higher. And he -- I mean, he's not off task in my room. He's doing what we're supposed to be doing.

MS. CHEUK: Does he use his
accommodations in your classroom?
MS. SETTLE: He has access to them, but he chooses not to use them. I'll come over and I'll say, "Would you like to use the board for your test?" "No." "Do you want to go to a quiet room?" "No." And he's fine. He takes the test, turns it in. Like I said, it's always a passing grade.

You know, he'll take notes from the board, he'll engage in activities, he'll do the labs that we're doing. In fact, most of the time, he likes the labs. He presented a project in front of the whole class, which that takes a lot for a kid to do that anyways. I mean, I had kids who didn't want to present, and they were like -- I said, I'll stand up there with you. I had a kid in another class that I presented it for them because they were -- they would not stand in front.

And he presented a board game to the class, and he had the kids play it, and he picked who was playing, and they were, like, getting into the game. And then, at one time, there was a question that $I$ guess we had to learn, and they
were like, "That's not the right question." And he's like, "Okay. That question isn't in the game. Next question?" And he just kept, you know, playing with them.

So he has interacted with the children in the classroom, and I don't see this -- you know, when $I$ ask him a question, he looks at me, he talks to me. I don't see those characteristics that I see in my other students who do that.

MS. CHEUK: Did you also attend part 2 of that meeting?

MS. SETTLE: I did.
MS. CHEUK: And what evaluations and reports did the team consider at that point, the second part?

MS. SETTLE: I think that --
HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: Just a minute.
MS. CHEUK: Sorry; we have to wait for the trucks.

HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: Appreciate your patience.

MS. CHEUK: He can't hear.
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HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: The court reporter can't hear when the trucks go by, and we can't shut the windows because it gets --

MS. CHEUK: Because it's too hot.
HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: -- too hot in here. We're working a balance.

MS. SETTLE: I believe that's when he talked about the Duane's syndrome.

MS. CHEUK: Yes.
MS. SETTLE: I think that's what we talked about that day.

MS. CHEUK: And has that impacted his learning in your classroom?

MS. SETTLE: It hasn't in my classroom, no.

MS. CHEUK: Did the team also discuss the Kellar report --

MS. SETTLE: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: -- the 2018 IEE?
MS. SETTLE: And did you agree with the team's consensus that ADHD characteristics were not being seen at that time?

MS. SETTLE: I did. I did.
MS. CHEUK: Did the team review any other outside documents or evaluations?

MS. SETTLE: Not that $I$ can recall.
MS. CHEUK: What did the team determine with regard to his continued eligibility under OHI?

MS. SETTLE: That he was not eligible.
MS. CHEUK: And what, for you, was the strongest piece of data that convinced you that he was no longer eligible?

MS. SETTLE: I think his ability to be successful in the classroom and his frustrations with the accommodations and, you know, the checklist and all the different things that he doesn't want to use. And for me, in my classroom, I don't force him to use any of them. You know, he has access to them. If he chooses to use them, they're there. But he's successful without them.

And when you say, you know, you have got to do this, you have got to do this checklist, you have got to check that, that's where the anxiety comes. And I feel like he kind of shuts down when
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you start to force these things, like if you say you have to use this privacy board, he doesn't want to use it. So I say, "Here it is, it's your option," and he chooses not to use it.

So that's where I think the
accommodations, if they're forced on him, that's not going to be a successful thing for him. I
think that's going to cause him more stress. And what I have noticed in my room is that he's very successful without them, and he's very successful on his own and doesn't need them.

MS. CHEUK: I appreciate that. Is there anything else you want to share?

MS. SETTLE: The only thing that $I$ would say, is, again, with the list of accommodations is just that $I$ think they're overwhelming to him.

Like I have asked him, you know, "Do you want to use this highlighter?" He's like, "No." You know, he's fine with it. He is able to -- he has managed the skill to be able to read something, pick out parts of it. He's managed the skills of being able to, you know, take an assessment and take it in the
classroom. He doesn't have to take it out of the classroom. And I think he prefers that. I think he prefers to be in the classroom with the students and doing what all the rest of the students are doing, and $I$ feel that he's very successful in my room.

Like I said, he's scored an A or B on every assignment that he's done, and I don't force those on him. I tell him, "Here they are. You have access to them. You don't have to use them if you don't want to." And I think that he does a good job.

MS. CHEUK: Okay.
HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: You may cross-examine.

CROSS-EXAMINATION
MR. VAN SCOYOC: You said that doesn't use any accommodations in class. Is that a bit of hyperbole or is it true that he does not have larger projects broken down into smaller ones, he does not have help with organization, he does not have an additional day to complete class work,
he does not have shortened homework or the option to submit homework electronically?

MS. SETTLE: He does have the option. Yeah, he does have the option. He typically does not use it. Like the extra day, I have not seen him use the extra day. For the long-based projects, I usually do break-down tasks. I'll say -- and I don't do that for a lot of kids, but like day one, get these three things done.

So, for example, the game board project, day one focused on the questions. You know, day two focused on creating the board and doing the pieces. That kind of thing, to help them -- and I have done that for other students. I don't -- I don't think that he needs the accommodations as far as, you know, using -- or like a checklist. For me, he will take a checklist, and he'll check it off, but he won't look at it. He's not really invested in that. He doesn't need it to do the assignment. He's just doing it because it's something he asked to do if some things got checked off a box. That's kind of what $I$ see in my
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classroom.
MS. CHEUK: And he does submit his homework electronically; correct?

MS. SETTLE: Yes. Right now, he does.
And I don't have a lot of homework in my classroom. I mean, a lot of my homework is just studying and looking over your notes. So, for me, he doesn't submit a lot electronically. He has -- definitely has the option of doing it electronically. And he'll do -- he'll do things -- like right now, we're doing a water portfolio, which is -- I mean, you can do it electronically, but it's a -- like, literally, a folded piece of paper and they're gluing stuff in it and writing on them. He's doing all that in class without, you know, doing the electronic part.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Okay. So to be clear, he is using some accommodations?

MS. SETTLE: I guess the accommodation for if he wants to submit electronically, he could use that, yeah.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: That's the only one?
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MS. SETTLE: Yeah. In my room, that would be the only one.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: And breaking larger projects down into smaller ones?

MS. SETTLE: I have done that for him. I have broken some projects down for him.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Okay. That's all the questions I have.

HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: Thank you very
much. Free to go.
MS. SETTLE: Thank you.
(A brief recess was taken.)
TRACY HOETING,
the witness, after having been duly sworn, was
examined and testified to as follows:
HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: You may
inquire.
DIRECT EXAMINATION
MS. CHEUK: Good afternoon.
MS. HOETING: Good afternoon.
MS. CHEUK: Please identify yourself for
the record.
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MS. HOETING: My name is Tracy Hoeting.
MS. CHEUK: And what is your position at Fauquier County Public Schools?

MS. HOETING: I'm retired from Fauquier County Public Schools as of January 4th, 2019.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. And what is your educational background?

MS. HOETING: I have a master's in education with a concentration in reading from UVA.

MS. CHEUK: Any additional specialized training?

MS. HOETING: Oh, I have a certification in special education but not a master's. At the time, UVA required you could choose one path, but you could not choose both paths. So I had a full certification in special education and then the master's in education.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. And prior to your retirement, what was your job title?

MS. HOETING: I was a teacher at Marshall Middle School, and I was REDACTED's case manager, along with other students. I taught -- at
my time at Marshall, I taught English, done push-in, reading, pretty much whatever I was asked to do.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. And what were your special education responsibilities?

MS. HOETING: This year?
MS. CHEUK: Yes.
MS. HOETING: Well, special education responsibilities don't vary from year to year. It is always to write IEPs; attend eligibilities; push-in for specific students if needed; keep track of data so that progress reports can be written; contact parents, family; support -- specific support for the student as is listed in their IEP. So every day can be a little bit different, and it is different.

MS. CHEUK: And do you know Mr. Van
Scoyoc?
MS. HOETING: I do.
MS. CHEUK: Do you know Mr. Nanni and --
MS. HOETING: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: -- REDACTED?
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MS. HOETING: Mm-hmm.
MS. CHEUK: How do you know them?
MS. HOETING: Well, REDACTED, I know because he was on my caseload, and I met with him at least twice a day, sometimes more. Mr. Van Scoyoc, I met for the first time in -- it was a meeting -- I can't remember exactly what the purpose of that meeting was. I think it was an eligibility but it may have been an addendum. Off the top of my head, I can't remember.

And then $I$ met Mr. Nanni the first time -- actually, the day I was asked to be case manager, he happened to be in the school. And so I went and I saw him to introduce myself, because we had never met. I introduced myself, you know, "here's my contact information."

MS. CHEUK: And about when was he assigned to your caseload?

MS. HOETING: About October 8th -October 5th.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. Do you know why he was reassigned to you?
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MS. HOETING: My understanding at the time was that I had a lower teaching caseload than was typical because I had agreed to write more IEPs, because we had a lot of IEPs, and we began the year with a lot of IEPs needing to be rewritten. I like writing IEPs. I'm comfortable. So I volunteered to have a lower teaching load in exchange for writing more IEPs.

By the time October rolled around, we were six weeks in to school, I had already written a lot that needed to be done immediately.

MS. CHEUK: Right.
MS. HOETING: So my -- the demands of my time were a little bit less, and his previous case manager had more teaching responsibilities and more preparations than I did.

So I was asked would that be okay, and -"sure, absolutely."

MS. CHEUK: And when you served as you have on your caseload?

MS. HOETING: I can't answer that. And
the reason is because I don't know. Because what happened was, because $I$ was writing IEPs that needed to be done, students would come in, in groups of five. I would write the IEPs, I would write the addendums, and then they would be moved to another teacher and then more would come in. So, at that point that he was assigned to me, I might have had around 15. I might have had around 20. And my caseload was odd because they were specifically with me for me to write their IEPs and then hand them off to another teacher and then get more to write their IEPs.

REDACTED was actually my only one that was assigned to me and stayed with me.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. And how often -- you said you saw him at the beginning of school, at the end of school. How long -- for how long each day? How long was that interaction?

MS. HOETING: Oh, okay. I would typically try to meet REDACTED before he got into homeroom because I wanted him to have the experience of his homeroom with his peers, so I
would try to meet him around 8:15, 8:20, depending on when his bus got there or if he was dropped off. I would get him. We would meet for about 10 minutes. I would look at his planner. We'd go through his checklist. We would -- I would make sure that everything that he needed for the day was there, and then, at the end of the day, I would go into -- I would come in to his English class, and again, you know, "REDACTED, can you show me how you set up your study for tonight? Did you write down what you need to do in your planner?"

Kind of -- whatever we needed for that day.

MS. CHEUK: And during the time you served as his case manager and you were conducting those check-in/check-out procedures, did you see any improvement in that regard? Did he --

MS. HOETING: I started keeping data collection on the two goals that $I$ was specifically addressing, and when I began keeping that data, I would say there was at -- five weeks before I was able to say that, yes, he was doing it independent
on that day.
So we went five weeks with zero, as in everything having to do, I had to prompt him, I had to lead him through it. And then the first time he did what $I$ needed him to do, which was sit, open up your iPad, scroll down to the day, show me what you need to do, and without prompting. You know, this is what $I$ got to do. I did this last night, I didn't get to this because $I$ had to do something. And then he said, Okay, but I'll move it to tonight. I have got plenty of time.

And he'd scroll down. He'd go, Okay, 8:00 looks good, I can get that done. And I was like, That's beautiful. That's exactly what, you know, we need to be doing.

So I would keep track every day. It was either yes or no. You do it independently, you don't do it independently. Began at a zero -- and the progress report's probably in here. It's got the exact data. I want to say, by the time $I$ pulled the first data at nine weeks at Christmas, he might have been at 60 percent, which, coming
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from zero to 60's pretty good.
MS. CHEUK: How would you describe

in general?
MS. HOETING:
 was always respectful and kind and engaging with me. He would tell me jokes. He would share what was going on, you know, when he was, you know, in the play, cross-country. He had seen his sisters. He just was engaging.

MS. CHEUK: Did he present any behavioral changes to you?

MS. HOETING: With me? Absolutely none. Not even a (indiscernible).

MS. CHEUK: And did you -- were you aware of the three documented discipline incidents?

MS. HOETING: Yeah, I was aware of them.
MS. CHEUK: Did you think they were atypical for his age?

MS. HOETING: They were all kind of impulsive activities, which is very typical for an 11-year-old.

MS. CHEUK: How would you describe his -so he had a great relationship with you. Would you
describe his relationship with other adults the same way?

MS. HOETING: I saw him interact with Mrs. Wines quite a bit at the end of the day because I pushed into her classroom. And she would ask him a question, he would respond to her always with respect, always with "oh, yes, I have got to get that."

So that was about the only teacher I saw him interact with consistently. I would see him interact with other teachers on occasion. At no point did anything come up that I'm like, "Oh, my gosh, that's a problem" or "that's amazing." It just was in the background.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. And did you see him interact with peers in that same class that you would push into?

MS. HOETING: I saw him interact with peers quite a bit because, a lot of the times, I would pull him right before homeroom, and as -- I would try to take him to a room where it would be kind of like quiet, and it isn't obvious that you
have got a teacher helping you, just respect for him. And we would pass kids in the hall, and he'd go, "Hey, we did that on Fortnite last night, and tomorrow, I'm doing --" so I saw quite a bit of interaction with other kids. Most of the interaction did have to do with video games. "I saw this great video. This is the game that we did last night" or, you know, back and forth that kids do. You know, "You're such a noob, you did a noob thing last night," and they would laugh.

MS. CHEUK: You mentioned cross-country and the school play. Did he participate in any other extracurricular activities that you know about while you served as his case manager?

MS. HOETING: Nothing that comes to mind. I mean, I know he did orchestra, which I know that it had to do with things after school for that, but no, nothing comes to mind.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. Could you turn to Exhibit 44? Others have testified, this is an IEP meeting held on November 13th, 2018. Did you attend that meeting?
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MS. HOETING: Mm-hmm, yes.
MS. CHEUK: And prior to that meeting, had you had much communication with Mr. Van Scoyoc and Mr. Nanni?

MS. HOETING: I had been in another meeting with Mr. Nanni. Prior to that time, any communication with Mr. Van Scoyoc had been by email.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. So what were your overall impressions during that meeting of them?

MS. HOETING: I was really confused by the way that meeting began. I was -- I was off-put because it didn't start the way $I$ was planning. Does that make sense?

Immediately, something that -- an accommodation that I had provided for REDACTED the next day was like something that we had to discuss, and I was given the impression that I had done it wrong. And I remember looking at the math paper going -- I literally sat and did that while looking at his accommodations and checking them off mentally. Okay, we have got half the problems, we
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have got extended -- we have got extra space. And I was really confused that that was the thing that we had to talk about, and I didn't know how to react to it. I was pretty confused with that.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. So do you recall the purpose for that meeting?

MS. HOETING: The purpose for the November meeting had to do with his math class. That was the one that $I$ do believe that the family was requesting -- yes, to request his current math teacher to change.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. And what did the team decide that day?

MS. HOETING: The team decided that it would probably be more disruptive for him to move him at that time, that the class that he was in was appropriately placed, and that he should stay where he was.

MS. CHEUK: And did you agree with that decision?

MS. HOETING: Yeah, I did.
MS. CHEUK: Let's turn to Exhibit 47.

# Transcript of Due Process Hearing - Day 1 

Conducted on February 27, 2019

MS. HOETING: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Others have testified that this exhibit contains documents from the eligibility held in two parts: On December 14th and January 11th. Do you recognize them?

MS. HOETING: Mm-hmm, yes, I do.
MS. CHEUK: Did you attend both parts?
MS. HOETING: Yes, I did.
MS. CHEUK: At part one of that meeting, did the team consider the IEE observations submitted by Christine Willing?

MS. HOETING: Yes, we did.
MS. CHEUK: Did the team consider input from the teacher?

MS. HOETING: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Did the team consider current grades?

MS. HOETING: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Did the team consider the behavior incidents during the 2018-2019 school year?

MS. HOETING: They were discussed, yes.
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MS. CHEUK: Did the team consider participation in cross-country or in school play?

MS. HOETING: (No audible response.)
MS. CHEUK: Others have testified also that REDACTED attended that meeting. What were your thoughts on what he shared during that meeting?

MS. HOETING: He attended the second part of the meeting. Right? No, no. He attended the first. The second was after Christmas, the first -- yes.
came in, and $I$ found him to be -he was surrounded by adults. We have got, like, eight or nine different adults. Mature, phrased himself quite well, did not appear to -- did not -I did not see any nervous behavior, that I would have interpreted as nervous, you know, wringing of the hands or scrunched over or a soft voice. He was upright, he spoke, he was engaging, he was conversational.

MS. CHEUK: Do you remember what he said about his accommodations?

MS. HOETING: If I remember right -- and
it's been a while -- my remembering on the accommodations is that he said they were fine. And I remember, at one point, the attorney for the family actually used a playful phrase, you know, if I could change something, what would you want? And he was like, "I'm good." He was good. He said he liked Marshall. He did not present anything that he was concerned or anxious, just this was -- this is my -- this is it. And he seemed to be very happy. Well, I shouldn't say "happy." I'm sorry. He appeared to be fine. I don't know that anyone is happy when they're sitting there surrounded by ten adults.

MS. CHEUK: Right. Looking at the eligibility summary, then, it states that the team discussed the autism and ED criteria worksheets, and the team determined that REDACTED does not meet the criteria for autism or ED. Do you agree with those determinations?

MS. HOETING: Absolutely, yeah. Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Why? In your own words.
MS. HOETING: In my own words, in my
opinion, he doesn't meet the criteria for either one. When you go through the specific checklist of "is this behavior here," the answer is consistently no. And then, at the end, even if you have answered no, at the end you're going to ask, does he require specially designed instruction to meet the general curriculum. And -- no.

MS. CHEUK: And in your role as special education teacher in Fauquier County Public Schools, did you provide specialized instruction to some students?

MS. HOETING: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: So you know what that means?
MS. HOETING: Yes, I do.
MS. CHEUK: And you don't believe requires specialized instruction?

MS. HOETING: REDACTED did not receive specialized instruction this entire year. He was on the consult, and he had accommodations that were met, but at no point was he specifically instructed how to access the curriculum. He accessed it with accommodations, but not with specially designed
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instruction.
MS. CHEUK: Please -- and then with part
two of that -- oh, please look to Exhibit 49. It looks like -- did you send this email on December 17th?

MS. HOETING: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: And what did it state?
MS. HOETING: It just says, "Attached, please find the notice for the meeting on 1/11/19 and a copy of procedural safeguards." And it was addressed to Adam Van Scoyoc, Mr. Nanni, and Shelby Gohn.

MS. CHEUK: And according to this email communication, when was part two scheduled for? What date?

MS. HOETING: Oh, 1/11 at 8:30.
MS. CHEUK: And did Mr. Van Scoyoc respond?

MS. HOETING: He did. He responded with -- that "I will participant with conference call. Jeff Nanni, Christine Willing will attend in person."
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MS. CHEUK: Okay. And he attached the note of the meeting notice?

MS. HOETING: Mm-hmm, yes.
MS. CHEUK: And so there were some back and forth, but you understood Mr. Van Scoyoc to be available for the meeting on the 11th, it was just a matter of what time?

MS. HOETING: Right. Initially, it was the 11th at 8:30. 8:30 wasn't going to work. "Can we do it another time?" So, yeah, there were some back and forth, but it was my understanding that that would be the date, 1/11.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. So going back to Exhibit 47, and looking at the list of participants for the January 11th, 2019, meeting, it looks like you attended that portion of the meeting.

MS. HOETING: Mm-hmm.
MS. CHEUK: And -- but you have also testified that you retired on January 4th. Why did you -- why did you still come to that meeting?

MS. HOETING: I take my job really seriously. These are not just names on my
caseload. These are little humans, and I'm very attached to them. I want to see them be successful.

And it was important enough to me that I came up to be there because I wanted the right thing to be done for REDACTED and the thing that would help him the most. At the meeting, we discussed the criteria, I answered it to the best of my ability, and I agreed with the decision.

MS. CHEUK: So during that part two of the meeting, did the team, again, consider input from the teachers?

MS. HOETING: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Did the team consider current grades?

MS. HOETING: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Did the team consider any behavior incidents?

MS. HOETING: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Did the team consider the IEE observation report submitted by Christine Willing?

MS. HOETING: Yes, but not as much as we
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had -- you know, the other one, we really had dissected it, but, yes, we did consider it.

MS. CHEUK: Did you also consider -- did Ms. Willing attend that portion of the eligibility -- either portion?

MS. HOETING: No.
MS. CHEUK: And have you had a chance to review the eligibility summary on page 4 of Exhibit 47?

MS. HOETING: Let me look.
MS. CHEUK: Four pages in.
MS. HOETING: Yes. There's a criteria here for OHI. Is that what you want me to look at?

MS. CHEUK: The summary, the eligibility summary. It's right behind the sign-in sheets.

MS. HOETING: Yes. I have got it.
MS. CHEUK: And have you reviewed it prior to today?

MS. HOETING: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Do you believe it to be accurate?

MS. HOETING: Yes. Accurate as in that's
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what we discussed at the meeting?
MS. CHEUK: Yes.
MS. HOETING: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: And do you agree with the team's determination that REDACTED is no longer eligible for special education under OHI?

MS. HOETING: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Did you agree that ADHD characteristics were not being seen at that time?

MS. HOETING: No, I saw some ADHD characteristics, absolutely. I think most 11-year-old boys are going to show some ADHD characteristics on occasion. And I think I even expressed that during the meeting, that, yeah, he does have a tendency to wool-gather at times.

MS. CHEUK: But you agreed with the ultimate conclusion --

MS. HOETING: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: -- that he was not --
MS. HOETING: Right.
MS. CHEUK: -- he does not require special --
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MS. HOETING: No, I do not --
MS. CHEUK: -- specialized instruction.
MS. HOETING: Right.
MS. CHEUK: Please see Exhibit 48. What is that document?

MS. HOETING: This is the progress report from 1/4/2019.

MS. CHEUK: Did you prepare it?
MS. HOETING: I did.
MS. CHEUK: And what does it reveal about REDACTED's progress on his goals in January of 2019?

MS. HOETING: This is the one I was looking for. The two goals that I was responsible for, the first one is to initiate work, remain on task, complete assignments, and check work for accuracy. This is the one that $I$ have data on, and it shows that, when 20 checklists are examined with the five subjects, that he has a 78 percent success rate. With -- on 10 of the last 20 , a 94 percent success rate.

In the second one that $I$ reported on -- I think it's No. 5 -- yeah, that he was demonstrating
independence at a 14 percent level, and ended up at 63 percent.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. So do you still
believe today that REDACTED is not eligible?
MS. HOETING: Yes, I do believe that.
MS. CHEUK: And why?
MS. HOETING: Because REDACTED is succeeding at Marshall Middle School, as evidenced with his grades, by evidence with the data collection that he is -- he's actually doing these goals independently. I get it, 63 percent is not 100 percent, but it's a step in the right direction. And this is being done while he's in classes that are advanced. He's getting As, he's getting Bs -not every time. Sometimes he gets a C, sometimes he gets an $F$. But consistently what we're seeing is the grades coming up and the mastery of the curriculum coming up.

His STAR test on his reading went from, like, a sixth grade to a ninth grade to even to the high school level, which you can't -- there's no way to look at that and say, Yeah, he's showing
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great growth.
MS. CHEUK: Do you have anything else you'd like to share? Thank you for being here. Mr. Van Scoyoc will ask you some questions. CROSS-EXAMINATION

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Ms. Hoeting, you said that you don't think he should be eligible because he's doing well academically. Do you agree or do you think that the IDEA's lynchpin is to level the playing field for special ed students and this could be a major reason for his academic success, the fact that he has an IEP and no level -- the playing field isn't levelled?

MS. HOETING: Typically, the purpose of the IEP is to provide specially designed instruction. His IEP never did that. His IEP had goals and accommodations. Accommodations can be provided outside of an IEP. There are other vehicles for accommodations.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Okay. You said that you did see ADHD symptoms and you expressed that during the meeting.
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MS. HOETING: Mm-hmm.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: Why was that not
indicated on -- on the meeting?
MS. HOETING: Because I didn't disagree. If I -- can I -- can I recap where I said I did -I did agree -- I do believe Ms. Buckowitz was there. It had been brought up that he had excessive bathroom visits in elementary school and that that could be attributed to work avoidance, and I agreed that, yes, that would very well be work avoidance; that he had gone 52 times, I believe the number was, and I said, Yeah, that student that's -- lets leave in the classroom 52 times, which is almost a third of the year, that definitely could be work avoidance. But we did not see those behaviors in middle school. I don't know what his clinic visit is at this point, but $I$ know that, by the time I had left, it was nowhere on par to match that.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: No, I'm sorry. We were on Exhibit 47, which was the continuation of the eligibility meeting held on January 11th --
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MS. HOETING: No, no. I expressed that in the December one. That was the December one, because Ms. Buckowitz was there, and I remember saying that to her, agreeing with her. So, yeah, I'm sorry if I said that wrong. That was the December meeting that I agreed that 52 visits to the clinic in one school year could be evidence of work avoidance. And work avoidance can be part of ADHD, characteristic.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Okay. And on that
meeting in December, we only got through the worksheets for ED and ASD?

MS. HOETING: That's true.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: And we did not get to OHI --

MS. HOETING: No, we didn't.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: -- and that was when we were going to reconvene.

MS. HOETING: Yes.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: The school reconvened without me there, despite me filing the paperwork to reschedule. But if you had that concern that he
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did have ADHD in the December meeting, how come that wasn't brought up? What changed between December and --

MS. HOETING: Nothing changed. I had already expressed my opinion, and it was already part of the criteria in the ED. I think it came up there. I had already expressed it.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: So the fact that it's not listed on Exhibit 47, page 464 , sounds like a mistake on behalf of the IEP team?

MS. HOETING: No. A teacher can agree that there is a characteristic, but I didn't say that it got in the way of his learning. And I admitted and said that, yeah, it was present in elementary school but not present in middle, therefore, no, I'm not seeing characteristics of ADHD in middle school. That was based on the report that Mr. Nanni gave of 52 visits in elementary school.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Okay. Was it difficult for you to be his case manager and implement his accommodations?

MS. HOETING: I didn't implement his accommodations.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Be the one checking?
MS. HOETING: I mean, that's a goal.
Most of his accommodations were done in the classroom.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: His IEP in general.
MS. HOETING: Oh, yeah. At times, yes. There was a lot -- there were a lot of balls to juggle with his IEP. Math worksheets had to be, you know, half of the -- half of the problems, excessive white space, lined up. Then, you know, the iPad, you know, you had to have that, and if it wasn't charged, we had to get it charged. I mean, there was just a lot of little things to make sure. He had the $P$ (ph) checklist. I had to make sure that teachers had those. I wanted to make as seamless and as easy for the teachers as possible, so I did a lot of the paperwork that -- to make their lives easy. They don't need to go and make copies of the checklist if $I$ can do it.

So -- and was it hard? I don't know how
to answer that because it was just part of my job, and I do my job. I've had other kids that were way more difficult than him, if that answers your question. But I --

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Just tell me about

```
REDACTED
```

MS. HOETING: I know. I understand.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: Oh, on the November 13th, 2018, meeting to discuss switching math class, you said that you agreed with the decision not to switch. Are you aware that, via attorneys, we did end up switching his math class after an unsuccessful mediation at that point?

MS. HOETING: I am aware of that, yes. MR. VAN SCOYOC: And what are your thoughts on that?

MS. HOETING: I wish that it had been done in a different manner, because $I$ was there the day that he came to that class, and he didn't really understand that he was leaving one teacher and going to another, and he didn't have a good transition. I mean, he got -- it ended up being
fine. He ended up liking Mrs. Kohler's class, but that initial moment, I was the one that worked with him, and he was scared, he was confused, he wanted to be with Mrs. Dudley.

He said those words, "I want to be with my teacher." And I was in a position that I couldn't say "let's go back to Ms. Dudley." I was in a position that "you must go here now. What can I do to make this easier for you?"

So that's all I can say is I wish that he had -- I wish the transition has been easier on him. That upset me.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Did Jeff offer to come to the school to escort him in to help with the transition on that day?

MS. HOETING: On that initial day? I am not aware of that being an offer. It may have been, but $I$ was not privy to that information.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Okay.
MS. HOETING: I was there with him, though, in the class, and I was the one that, you know, that was there as he was upset, and I was
upset. I don't like seeing a student that I had -I'm close to and I'm attached to and I enjoy not being happy.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Are you aware that we talked with him extensively about the switch --

MS. HOETING: No --
MR. VAN SCOYOC: -- and prepared --
MS. HOETING: -- I'm not aware of that.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: So is that normal
behavior, then, to spend over an hour the night before in talking to a child about a switch and him seeming happy and then having what sounds like a pretty severe reaction?

MS. HOETING: I have no idea what you-all talked about. He didn't share anything with me. I only had what $I$ knew at that moment, and that was he did not want to go into that classroom, and that would be a hundred percent normal.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: And why did you agree with the decision not to switch from Mrs. Dudley to Mrs. Koehler's math class?

MS. HOETING: Mrs. Dudley is a very, very
good math teacher. Both of my children had her, and I trust her methods, and I know that her students typically do very well and they make a lot of progress. Also, switching a kid mid-year is generally traumatic to them. They build a relationship with that teacher, and I saw that relationship play out on that day. So that is why I would not have thought it would have been the best for him.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Were you aware there was quite a bit of tension between REDACTED and Mrs. Dudley?

MS. HOETING: I was aware that there was some disagreements at times. He was confused a couple of times with, you know, maybe instructions, but I didn't get the feeling that he disliked her. I never got that. And I never got the feeling that she disliked him.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: That's all my questions. Thank you.

MS. HOETING: Sure.
MS. CHEUK: I have a couple of redirect.

# Transcript of Due Process Hearing - Day 1 

Conducted on February 27, 2019

HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: Yes, ma'am. REDIRECT EXAMINATION

MS. CHEUK: Ms. Hoeting, who insisted on changing his math class? Who requested to change his math class?

MS. HOETING: I don't know. I wasn't part of that. I just came in that day and $I$ was told --

MS. CHEUK: At the IEP meeting that --
MS. HOETING: Oh, at the -- I'm so sorry.
MS. CHEUK: -- who requested the math
change?
MS. HOETING: The family requested it.
MS. CHEUK: And if Mr. Nanni had offered to come to -- if you knew about that offer, would you think that would be appropriate?

MS. HOETING: Highly inappropriate to have --

MS. CHEUK: For Mr. Nanni to accompany him to class?

MS. HOETING: That would be highly inappropriate. That would be the type of thing
that would create him -- it would separate him out from the other students, and it would potentially make him to be an object of derision, and I would strongly have said, "Please don't."

MS. CHEUK: Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: Is that it?
MS. CHEUK: That's all I have.
HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: Well, thank you very much for coming.

MS. HOETING: Thank you, sir.
HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: You're free to
leave.
(A brief recess was taken.)
MARGRETA GRADY,
the witness, after having been duly sworn, was examined and testified to as follows:

HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: Thank you. You may inquire.

DIRECT EXAMINATION
MS. CHEUK: Good afternoon.
MS. GRADY: Good afternoon.
MS. CHEUK: Good evening, almost. I
thought it was 4:30; it's not.
Could you please identify yourself for
the record.
MS. GRADY: Yes. My name is Margreta
Grady. I'm a licensed clinical social worker, and I am the school social worker.

MS. CHEUK: What is your educational background?

MS. GRADY: I have a bachelor's degree in biology and a master in social work with a specialization in mental health.

MS. CHEUK: And do you have a license?
MS. GRADY: Yes. I'm a licensed clinical social worker, and I have a pupil personnel services license.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. And what is your professional background?

MS. GRADY: I have experience doing intensive in-home. I have 7 years' prior experience as a school social worker in the alternative school. And then I have some private experience as a clinical director of a small
agency, and then $I$ was a program manager of a small agency. And then $I$ recently spent five years as a private mental health therapist. And then I just came back to the school in July. So I'm eight months in now to being back at the school.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. And what are your special education responsibilities?

MS. GRADY: I am responsible for sitting on the eligibility teams, and I also interview families for the social histories. And then, under the school social worker umbrella, I do suicide assessments, threat assessments, risk assessments, IEP counseling, and also counseling for students that they want to have additional support but they don't have an IEP. I'm sure I'm forgetting something because we do a lot.

MS. CHEUK: I move to qualify her as an expert in social work.

HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: Any objection?
MR. VAN SCOYOC: No objection.
HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: So recognized. MS. CHEUK: Thank you.
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Do you know Mr. Van Scoyoc?
MS. GRADY: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Do you know Mr. Nanni?
MS. GRADY: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Do you know REDACTED?
MS. GRADY: (No audible response.)
MS. CHEUK: How do you know REDACTED?
MS. GRADY: He's a student at Marshall Middle School, and that's one of the schools that I'm responsible for.

MS. CHEUK: And when did you first meet REDACTED

MS. GRADY: I think it was August 17th. It was the first week of school. I met him for his first counseling session.

MS. CHEUK: So how often do you meet with him?

MS. GRADY: Depending on snow and whether he's absent, once or twice a week.

MS. CHEUK: And how long -- in what settings?

MS. GRADY: I meet with him for
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one-on-one counseling once a week for about 30 minutes, and then he's also a member of my social skills group, and that's about 30 minutes on Fridays.

MS. CHEUK: And do regular education and special education students participate in those social skills groups?

MS. GRADY: Yes. The social skills group, about half of them do not have an IEP and about have of them do.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. And you must know
$\square$ pretty well by now.

MS. GRADY: I would say so.
MS. CHEUK: How would you describe him?
MS. GRADY: He is a trier, he's a hard worker. He's bright. He's pleasant. A little bit quirky.

MS. CHEUK: How would you describe his relationships with adults?

MS. GRADY: He's polite and respectful in the interactions I have had with him, always.

MS. CHEUK: And what about any
interactions you witnessed with his peers?
MS. GRADY: He does absolutely great in the social skills group, and then $I$ observe him in class for his IEP goals, and $I$ have not seen any problems with his peers. The only reason I say he's a little quirky is sometimes his body language is just a little quirky, like in the hall, when you see him walking by or something, but I haven't observed any significant social skills deficits.

MS. CHEUK: And are you aware of the disciplinary incidents that REDACTED has been involved in this school year?

MS. GRADY: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Is it something you would discuss in your counseling sessions with

MS. GRADY: Yes, we would have discussed it.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. And do you notice anything remarkable about the dates on which those disciplinary incidents occurred?

MS. GRADY: Yeah, they seemed to be correlated when there's a big meeting that has to
do with him. I believe two of the incidents happened on the exact day. One happened on the day that the mediation happened here, and I believe there was an incident on September 17 th when we had that -- that was an IEP meeting, yeah. So at least two of them were on the same day. And then the third one was within two or three days of a big meeting.

MS. CHEUK: And, in your opinion, what do you make of that, if anything?

MS. GRADY: I think he gets super stressed out. He knows that there's a lot of people talking about him, and he gets super stressed out, and we can all only tolerate so much stress, and he just can't handle the additional stress, and he acts out.

MS. CHEUK: Looking at Exhibit 33 -- or 36, those documents have already been identified as IEP meeting documents for the second IEP meeting for REDACTED at Marshall Middle School on September 17th?

MS. GRADY: Mm-hmm.
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MS. CHEUK: Did you attend that meeting?
MS. GRADY: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Was that the first time you had met Mr. Van Scoyoc --

MS. GRADY: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: -- and Mr. Nanni?
MS. GRADY: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: And what was your first impression?

MS. GRADY: My first impression of Mr. Nanni is that he was extremely anxious and nervous. My first impression of Mr. Van Scoyoc is that he was a little nervous and just very concerned about


MS. CHEUK: And did -- going along with that, did they have the opportunity to share all those concerns?

MS. GRADY: Yes, they did.
MS. CHEUK: And they were -- were they represented by an attorney at that meeting?

MS. GRADY: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Does the
your counseling office every week, twice a week, match up with the description provided that day or as described by Mr. Van Scoyoc and Mr. Nanni?

MS. GRADY: No. Mr. Nanni described him as stifled, he can't be himself, his logic is different than everybody else's. And I remember sitting in that meeting thinking that, Wow, that doesn't sound like the ${ }^{\text {REDACTED }}$ I know.

In counseling, we had talked about his goals, and they were very logical. He didn't seem to be stifled to me. He's himself at school.

MS. CHEUK: Please flip to Exhibit 42. Do you recognize this document?

MS. GRADY: Yeah, this is Ms. Willing's independent report.

MS. CHEUK: And what date did her observation take place?

MS. GRADY: On Friday, October 19th.
MS. CHEUK: And so that date at the top of her report that says "date of observation," does that --

MS. GRADY: That's incorrect.
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MS. CHEUK: That's incorrect?
MS. GRADY: Yeah. It happened on a Friday.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. Was anything unusual going on that day with respect to the schedule at school?

MS. GRADY: Yes. We had a PBIS assembly that afternoon, and so that meant that all the classes were shortened by about ten minutes.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. What does PBIS stand for?

MS. GRADY: Oh, gosh, positive behavior intervention and support, I think. It's just the kids that haven't had any significant discipline during each quarter get to go to this big assembly and so something fun in the afternoon.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. And had you communicated with Ms. Willing in advance of that?

MS. GRADY: As soon as I realized that that was happening that day and she was coming that day, I emailed her, and that was at 8:55 that morning. And she emailed me right back and said,
"Oh, no problem, I'm already in the parking lot." And so she came in the building I think, right around 9:00. And I believe his PE class started at, like, 9:23 or something that day, or 9:30.

MS. CHEUK: But were you concerned that the observation would be conducted on an abnormal day?

MS. GRADY: No. I mean, it just gives us more opportunity to observe how he responds to stress, $I$ guess, or excitement, if you want to say.

MS. CHEUK: Had you previously met Ms. Willing?

MS. GRADY: I had not.
MS. CHEUK: Do you know if she had conducted observations before?

MS. GRADY: I don't know. I would have to assume that, as a licensed school psychologist, she would have, but $I$ don't know.

MS. CHEUK: Do you know if she had a prior relationship with

MS. GRADY: I don't know.
MS. CHEUK: Did you accompany her during
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her entire observation?
MS. GRADY: For all of her classroom observations, yes.

MS. CHEUK: Did you ever observe her talking to REDACTED during the observation?

MS. GRADY: Not that I recall.
MS. CHEUK: Did you observe her talking to any staff?

MS. GRADY: Yeah. She asked to talk with Mrs. Hoeting.

MS. CHEUK: Did you observe anything inappropriate in her behavior during that -- the observation that day?

MS. GRADY: During the English class, she kind of stepped out of her corner and stepped forward and started going through papers on a table at the back of the room. I didn't know whose papers they were, but they weren't REDACTED's. And so I wasn't sure whose papers they were or why she was going through them.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. Have you reviewed her report?
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MS. GRADY: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Did you document your own observation that day?

MS. GRADY: Yes, I did.
MS. CHEUK: Was it a formal report that you made?

MS. GRADY: Not the type of formal report that would go in a student file, no.

MS. CHEUK: Did you notice any discrepancies between her report of what she observed and your notes that you compiled?

MS. GRADY: Yeah, I think there were at least four discrepancies. The first one that leapt out to me was she wrote something about, upon entering the building, that she was notified that it was a shortened schedule. And I had let her know sooner than that. I just thought that was odd that she would write something inaccurate in the report like that. So that was the first thing that jumped out at me.

And then the next major thing was, in the PE class, let's see, the fourth paragraph down
here, she wrote, "REDACTED struggled to stay focused on the teacher, as he spun around in circles in his seat, clapped his hands, made noises, and flapped his arms."

First of all, she wouldn't know if he was struggling to stay focused because she's not So, to me, that's conjecture. And then, second of all, when she wrote he spun around in circles, clapped his hands, made noises, and flapped his arms, in my notes -- I can't remember what I wrote but it was something like he tapped his arms.

So kind of everything that she writes in her report, she seems to have exaggerated his behaviors. So --

MS. CHEUK: And just for reference, Exhibit 43, is that your notes?

MS. GRADY: Oh, yes, that's my notes.
MS. CHEUK: Okay.
MS. GRADY: Yeah. So let's see. I wrote "when she was saying that he spun and flapped his arms," I wrote "he touched his arms, looked at his arms, made a comment to a peer and looked at the
ceiling." So it's just different, but it seems like she had exaggerated what he was doing.

And, then, a couple of paragraphs farther down, one of the teachers started asking the kids to tell, you know, repeat what the rules of the game were, she wrote that several students were calling out the answer, including REDACTED, who yelled out five-plus kids, and he was correct. And in my recollection and in my notes, he was the first kid out of that whole large room of $P E$ students that called out the correct answer.

So if he's struggling to stay focused, then why is he the only one that knows the answer?

MS. CHEUK: Right. Okay.
MS. GRADY: And then there wasn't anything significantly different in orchestra or math. And then in English class, in a couple of places, I wrote that he was slightly distracted, and I think her words were kind of more that he was more distracted. I'm going to have to get to the right page here.

So, in one place, she writes, "REDACTED
began visibly more stressed, as he began to shake his legs and rub his face." So I guess that's just a matter of opinion, but $I$ didn't write anything about him being visibly stressed. I just wrote that he was kind of moving around and he had his hands on his face.

And then the last part that was really significantly different was the very -- the end of the observation. She wrote, "When the teacher said it was time to clean up, REDACTED began hitting the desk repetitively and bouncing in his seat. He was significantly more agitated as he knew he did not even get to start the assignment." And I don't believe that she would know why he was agitated. I believe that's conjecture on her part.

And then in my report -- let's see if I can get it to here -- I wrote something about "he dramatically leaned back in his seat. He wiggled in his seat, and he tapped his hands on the table." And she wrote "hit his hands on the table."

And just for reference, that was the end of the shortened day, and so he was then going to
be able to go to the PBIS assembly, so he could have leaned back dramatically in his seat because he was excited, "Hey, the school day's over. I get to go, woo-hoo, go have fun with my friends."

And so I thought that was inaccurate in her report.

MS. CHEUK: And what about her conclusions, her summary and recommendations at the end, specifically what don't you agree with?

MS. GRADY: Okay. So I think she starts with English. should receive push-in support from his case manager to provide him direct instruction in the classroom." I don't agree that he needs push-in support. He's a capable student. And he's not using push-in instructional support now, so why would we add that?

So then, under "social and emotional," she mentioned that she had placed the two fingers up to his head and made a comment that "this is me right now." And I actually didn't observe that happen, but I did follow-up with him after to make sure he was okay.

She says he's clearly stressed, and the school team needs to determine the best method in reducing this stress. And if I can reference the independent Kellar Center report, many of the instruments in the Kellar Center report talk about that -- like, for example, the Conners, everything is very elevated at home, but a lot of the scores aren't elevated at school.

So she's saying that he's significantly stressed at school and wanting him to have additional supports at school for being stressed, but the written Kellar Center report is really saying that more of his stress is happening at home. So I don't think the school needs to provide him those supports.

And then he did not appear to have any close friendships, which doesn't necessarily impact his academics. There's a lot of people that don't have close friendships that do fine academically.

I think those were the main ones that I disagreed with.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. Did you share your
notes and your observations and your opinions about this report at an eligibility meeting?

MS. GRADY: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Please turn to Exhibit 46. Well, I can just ask you: Did you attend the eligibility meeting on December 14th, 2018?

MS. GRADY: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: And did the team consider your notes that you just shared -- or at least part of, that are included here -- did you -(overlapping conversation).

MS. GRADY: -- my report, yes, yes.
MS. CHEUK: Did you speak from these notes?

MS. GRADY: Yes, I did.
MS. CHEUK: And did the team thoroughly consider this IEE report?

MS. GRADY: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Others have testified that also attended that meeting.

MS. GRADY: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: What are your thoughts on
what he shared with the eligibility team that day?
MS. GRADY: He came in, he was poised, he was confident, and he was asked if the school could do anything different, and he said nothing different.

MS. CHEUK: Did he appear to be the that you see at school every day?

MS. GRADY: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: All right. Every week.
MS. GRADY: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: And for part one of that meeting, the eligibility states -- eligibility summary states that the team discussed autism and the ED criteria worksheets.

MS. GRADY: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: And determined REDACTED did not meet that criteria.

MS. GRADY: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Do you agree with that determination?

MS. GRADY: I agree with that determination, yes.
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MS. CHEUK: Why do you agree?
MS. GRADY: Well, let's see. Why do I agree? He doesn't need specially designed instruction in order to progress academically. He is not -- out of all the students I have worked with in 25 years, he doesn't match with my understanding of what an ED student, who has special education services, looks like.

MS. CHEUK: And did the team go through the criteria worksheets?

MS. GRADY: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Did you provide input --
MS. GRADY: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: -- on the team?
MS. GRADY: I agree with all their answers on the criteria worksheets.

MS. CHEUK: And for part two of that meeting on January 11th, did the team, again, consider the observation reports --

MS. GRADY: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: -- and your input?
MS. GRADY: I believe so, yeah.

# Transcript of Due Process Hearing - Day 1 

MS. CHEUK: Did the team consider input from the teacher?

MS. GRADY: Yeah, I think Mrs. Settle was there for that one.

MS. CHEUK: And his current grades?
MS. GRADY: Yes. We always consider current grades.

MS. CHEUK: And did you agree with the team's determination that day --

MS. GRADY: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: -- with regard to OHI?
MS. GRADY: Yes.
MS. CHEUK: Out of all the data that you heard at both of those eligibility meetings and with your own experience with REDACTED, what is the strongest piece of data that supports the eligibility team's decision?

MS. GRADY: It's a little bit of a combination, but having followed him around all day through three different classes and observing how well he focused and how he was accessing the curriculum without push-in supports, I walked out
that day thinking he doesn't qualify for special education. And then I can back that up with what I read in the Kellar Center report about his executive function and that he has mild autism. That backs up my kind of observational experience. So both of those taken together.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. Do you have anything else you'd like to share?

MS. GRADY: Let's see. We talked about the discipline. Not that $I$ can think of right at the moment.

MS. CHEUK: Okay. Thank you for your time.

HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: Cross?
CROSS-EXAMINATION
MR. VAN SCOYOC: You had mentioned that
REDACTED had some issues in school behaviorally two different times on days where I had meetings with the school. On November 28th, are you aware that REDACTED had absolutely no idea that Jeff or I were meeting with school officials in mediation?

MS. GRADY: I wouldn't have access to
that information.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: In your opinion, would it make any sense that there be an impact on his behavior that day in school?

MS. GRADY: Oh, there absolutely could be an impact, yes. Children are sponges and they react very strongly to the people around them, so if you and Jeff were acting anxious, nervous, concerned, he could easily pick up on that, and that could impact his behavior.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: There are some pretty substantial differences in your report versus the report from Christine Willing.

MS. GRADY: Yeah.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: I think we can all agree to that.

MS. GRADY: Yeah.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: So do you think she's lying?

MS. GRADY: I have been turning that over in my mind. I wouldn't want to accuse a fellow professional of lying. The only thing I can think
of is that she had a preconceived notion coming in of what she was looking for and that she saw what she was looking for. Other than that, I can't really explain it.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: So you think she was biased, coming in?

MS. GRADY: Yeah, maybe so.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: Oh, you said too that, in your report, you disagreed with something Ms. Willing said because she -- at the end of class, he seemed unusually excited or something to that effect, and you had said that it was most likely because he was excited that he was about to go to the PBIS assembly.

MS. GRADY: Mm-hmm.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: Are you aware that he was not eligible to go to that assembly?

MS. GRADY: He went to the first one. This would have been October. And then there was another one that he was not eligible to go to.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: So did you observe him going to the PBIS assembly?
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MS. GRADY: No, I did not.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: But, to your knowledge, he did go?

MS. GRADY: As far as I know, yes.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: So you don't know.
MS. GRADY: I could be wrong, yeah.
MR. VAN SCOYOC: You don't know.
MS. GRADY: I don't know for sure. I just know that there was one, and he left the room in company with the kids that were going to it. That's all I know.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: Okay. Are you aware that, tomorrow, she will be testifying so --

HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: That's irrelevant, sir. Please don't do that.

MR. VAN SCOYOC: It's my final question.
MS. GRADY: Okay.
HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: Redirect?
MS. CHEUK: I have nothing on redirect.
HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: All right.
Well, thank you very much for coming.
MS. GRADY: Thank you, sir.
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HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: We appreciate your time, you had to wait all day. Thank you.

MS. CHEUK: Thank you, Ms. Grady.
MS. GRADY: Thank you.
HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: Does that conclude your case, ma'am?

MS. GRADY: Yes, sir.
HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: All right. Well, we'll take up at 10:00 tomorrow. Mr. Van Scoyoc, is Mr. Nanni going to come tomorrow and present?

MR. VAN SCOYOC: I have no idea. He was very overwhelmed this morning, as you can tell.

HEARING OFFICER ASCHMANN: Yeah, he seemed kind of -- (overlapping conversation.)

MR. VAN SCOYOC: We kind of switched brains --
(At 6:37 p.m., Day 1 of the above hearing was concluded until February 28, 2019, at 10:00.)
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| 382:14 | 241:13, 242:7, | bet | 47:2, 57:4, |
| behest | 243:19, 248:19, | 216:1 | 234:9, 234:15, |
| $144: 2$ | $\begin{array}{lr} 253: 1, & 258: 21, \\ 259: 17 . & 259: 19 \end{array}$ | better | 234:22, 235:2, |
| behind | $\begin{aligned} & 259: 17, \\ & 266: 12, \end{aligned} 266: 19,$ | 20:21, 141:4, | 236:3, 236:17, |
| $355: 15$ | $\begin{aligned} & 266: 12, \quad 266: 16, \\ & 284: 3,289: 3 \end{aligned}$ | $257: 19, \quad 258: 1$ | $245: 7, \quad 307: 17$ |
| being | $\begin{array}{ll} 284: 3, & 289: 3, \\ 292: 8, & 293: 20 \end{array}$ | $273: 18,273: 19,$ | bit |
| 18:12, 21:6, | 292:8, 293:20, | $298: 4$ | $26: 18,29: 1$ |
| 59:11, 103:13, | $\begin{aligned} & 295: 16, \quad 300: 13, \\ & 301: 4, \quad 301: 7, \end{aligned}$ | between | $29: 21,124: 7,$ |
| 116:17, 128:11, | $\begin{array}{lr} 301: 4, & 301: 7, \\ 303: 70 & 325: 7 \end{array}$ | $11: 15, \quad 17: 4$ | $126: 13,134: 21,$ |
| 139:8, 144:12, | 303:20, 325:7, | $29: 12, \quad 32: 14,$ | $137: 13,162: 6$ |
| 148:4, 149:19, | $\begin{array}{ll} 329: 7, & 347: 9, \\ 351: 15 & 355: 0 \end{array}$ | 102:17, 105:9, | $168: 8, \quad 176: 20,$ |
| 149:20, 151:7, | 351:15, 355:20, | $140: 13, \quad 141: 9$ | $191: 16, \quad 200: 17,$ |
| 166:14, 178:1, | 358:4, 358:5, | $144: 1, \quad 163: 15$ | $205: 12,239: 3$ |
| 184:3, 189:17, | $360: 6, \quad 360: 12,$ | $183: 6, \quad 184: 3,$ | $244: 17,246: 13,$ |
| 193:10, 197:21, | 375:1, 375:3, | $213: 20,215: 7,$ | $253: 16, \quad 255: 21,$ |
| 220:20, 232:11, | $\begin{array}{ll} 379: 3, & 384: 14, \\ 384: 15, & 389: 22 \end{array}$ | 216:17, 217:1, | $300: 13, \quad 316: 21,$ |
| 243:7, 245:10, | 384:15, 389:22 | 281:18, 313:15, | 318:22, 320:10, |
| 246:20, 251:5, | believed | 313:16, 313:21, | 332:19, 337:15, |
| 282:21, 286:15, | 271:6, 290:17 | 314:7, 362:2, | 339:14, 344:4, |
| 287:19, 300:17, | believes | 367:11, 381:10 | 344:19, 345:4, |
| 303:20, 310:21, |  | beyond | $367: 11,373: 16$, |
| 314:15, 319:2, | belkowicz | 179:10 | 390:18 |
| 321:17, 329:22, | 88:22 | biased | black |
| 331:21, 356:9, | bells | 18:7, 208:11, | 26:14, 131:1, |
| 358:13, 359:3, | 218:21 | 393:6 | 280:5 |
| 364:22, 365:17, | below | big | blank |
| $366: 3,371: 5$ | $142: 22,155: 17$ | $151: 3,193: 3,$ | $75: 14, \quad 75: 16$ |
| 384:4, 386:11 | 170:17, 173:2, | 202:18, 211:4, | 174:12 |
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|  | $358: 21, \quad 375: 15$, $377: 5, \quad 382: 10$, $393: 3$ capabilities $191: 18, \quad 191: 21$ capable $205: 5, \quad 302: 3$, $385: 14$ capacities $133: 15, \quad 276: 10$ capacity $137: 2$ capped $179: 13$ card $146: 22$ cards $146: 13, \quad 146: 18$ care $117: 17, \quad 212: 21$ careful $149: 19, \quad 176: 20$ caregiver $15: 19, \quad 16: 10$, $18: 7, \quad 18: 13$, $28: 5, \quad 39: 2$, $133: 1, \quad 140: 15$, $151: 20, \quad 176: 16$, $193: 7, \quad 261: 19$, $289: 13$ caregivers $195: 4, \quad 293: 13$ carr $50: 20$ carried $204: 13$ carry $138: 4$ cars $219: 16$ carton $205: 20$ case $10: 2, \quad 11: 3$, $14: 6$, $15: 11$, $17: 14, \quad 35: 10$, $57: 7, \quad 57: 17$, $57: 19, \quad 65: 5$, |  | ```center 2:3, 18:4, 58:17, 69:5, 73:4, 111:4, 111:5, 206:22, 209:12, 210:14, 215:12, 216:5, 219:7, 282:11, 386:4, 386:5, 386:12, 391:3 certain 136:13, 167:1, 190:21, 247:3 certainly 154:6, 255:16 certificate 130:14, 396:1 certification 250:22, 275:17, 336:12, 336:16 certified 274:21 certify 396:3 chair 88:10 challenge 124:2 challenged 22:6 challenges 9:13, 10:20, 22:1, 23:13, 24:6, 319:9 chance 14:2, 105:5, 110:16, 123:17, 244:11, 355:7 change 46:16, 84:1, 84:4, 84:7, 108:20, 134:9, 232:16, 257:16, 324:22, 326:14, 347:11, 350:5, 368:4, 368:12 changed 7:21, 232:14,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | ```classrooms 241:19, 278:21, 317:20 classwork 39:19, 40:4, 49:13, 57:21, 236:14 clean 384:10 clear 9:22, 12:13, 33:1, 33:16, 34:8, 67:13, 120:10, 158:21, 164:4, 164:10, 181:13, 202:16, 220:8, 221:16, 334:17 clearly 24:6, 41:3, 90:6, 246:21, 298:1, 298:3, 386:1 cleaver 147:20 clinic 73:7, 360:17, 361:7 clinical 50:19, 53:6, 141:6, 161:9, 183:1, 187:3, 196:15, 214:12, 214:20, 370:5, 370:13, 370:22 clinical-level 197:18 clinically 21:21, 183:3, 186:19, 187:18, 193:3, 208:16, 208:22, 214:6, 218:11, 218:13 close 7:14, 40:6, 205:7, 264:22, 366:2, 386:17, 386:19``` | closely <br> 136:7, 189:4 <br> clues <br> 175:17 <br> cluster <br> 251:12 <br> co-authors <br> 182:14 <br> code <br> 122:20, 123:6 <br> codes <br> 69:16, 111:9 <br> cogat <br> 136:3 <br> cognition <br> 143:15 <br> cognitive <br> 132:8, 136:2, <br> 136:3, 136:5, <br> 136:6, 139:1, <br> 144:21, 155:14, <br> 162:11, 170:15, <br> 170:16, 170:18, <br> 171:7 <br> coleman <br> 28:15, 224:12, <br> 225:8, 225:9, <br> 226:1, 253:5 <br> collaborated <br> 140:9 <br> collect <br> 46:20, 63:12 <br> collected <br> 22:3 <br> collecting <br> 120:2 <br> collection <br> 41:3, 162:8, <br> 221:3, 226:12, <br> 341:19, 358:9 <br> color <br> 76:9 <br> colored <br> 40:3 <br> combination $390: 19$ <br> combined 69:17, 111:10, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| confer <br> 51:7, 66:14 <br> conference <br> 92:14, 352:20 <br> confident <br> 388:3 <br> confidential <br> 81:2, 120:20 <br> confirm <br> 189:12 <br> conflict <br> 102:17, 102:21, <br> 136:16, 150:1 <br> conform <br> 188:1, 189:22 <br> confused <br> 346:11, 347:2, <br> 347:4, 365:3, <br> 367:14 <br> conjecture <br> 382:7, 384:15 <br> connection <br> 237:11, 254:22 <br> connections <br> 255:11 <br> conner's <br> 179:2, 179:5 <br> conners <br> 162:22, 386:6 <br> connotations <br> 177:17 <br> conscientious <br> 281:1 <br> consensus <br> 329:21 <br> consent <br> 16:11, 17:11, <br> 35:7, 41:7, <br> $41: 22,43: 8$, <br> $44: 13,55: 15$, <br> 61:20, 76:14, <br> 231:1, 233:17, <br> 306:9 <br> consequence <br> 229:6, 293:1 <br> consequences <br> 148:13 <br> consider <br> 16:4, 21:14, |  | 204:6, 284:5 consistently 136:2, 189:21, 344:10, 351:3, 358:16 <br> conspicuous 161:15 conspicuously 149:17 constant 35:11, 35:12 constitute 86:11 construction 194:10 consult $\begin{aligned} & 19: 22, \quad 40: 18, \\ & 40: 22, \quad 75: 2, \\ & 133: 20, \quad 241: 17, \\ & 262: 16, \quad 351: 19 \end{aligned}$ <br> consultation 132:10 <br> consulting <br> 41:1 <br> contact <br> 8:13, 87:22, <br> 161:21, 165:20, <br> 168:10, 217:5, <br> 337:13, 338:16 <br> contacted <br> 217:5 <br> contains <br> 348:3 <br> content <br> 57:14, 235:14, 248:14, 269:5, 270:19 <br> content-area 235:9 <br> contentious 28:15 <br> contents $4: 2,5: 2$ <br> context <br> 111:21, 112:1, 145:12, 210:2 continuation 94:1, 95:2, | ```360:21 continue 16:16, 31:21, 46:20, 56:2, 56:19, 59:13, 146:5, 188:22, 232:1, 243:12, 261:7, 263:11, 295:4, 303:2 continued 5:1, 15:13, 16:4, 35:7, 35:8, 36:10, 48:10, 59:14, 203:20, 330:6 continues 55:22 continuing 263:22 contradict 194:7 contradicted 18:17 contradictive 204:20 contrast 18:8 contribute 12:11 contributes 111:1, 248:8 control 113:16, 148:19, 187:10 controlled 166:2, 169:10 convene 95:11 convened 16:3, 20:18, 119:1, 121:7, 305:3, 305:11 convening 31:13, 94:21 conversation 90:21, 117:15, 117:16, 175:13, 207:17, 238:21,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | $\begin{aligned} & 364: 9, \quad 374: 15 \\ & \text { discussed } \\ & 32: 4, \quad 32: 7, \\ & 51: 13, \quad 68: 20, \\ & 68: 21, \quad 89: 15, \\ & 102: 22, \quad 110: 3, \\ & 112: 20, \quad 196: 22, \\ & 259: 3, \quad 296: 14, \\ & 297: 13, \quad 298: 18, \\ & 326: 5, \quad 348: 22, \\ & 350: 16, \quad 354: 7, \\ & 356: 1, \quad 374: 16, \\ & 388: 13 \\ & \text { discusses } \\ & 168: 19 \\ & \text { discussing } \\ & 57: 15 \\ & \text { discussion } \\ & 36: 10, \quad 59: 15, \\ & 103: 1, \quad 111: 1, \\ & 114: 12, \quad 152: 20, \\ & 159: 22, \quad 192: 11, \\ & 199: 11, \quad 202: 10, \\ & 231: 19, \quad 259: 22, \\ & 282: 17, \quad 283: 6, \\ & 284: 8, \quad 285: 16, \\ & 300: 13 \\ & \text { discussions } \\ & 248: 9 \\ & \text { disliked } \\ & 367: 16, \quad 367: 18 \\ & \text { dismissed } \\ & 213: 14 \\ & \text { disorder } \\ & 21: 21, \quad 21: 22, \\ & 69: 17, \quad 69: 18, \\ & 69: 20, \quad 71: 9, \\ & 72: 18, \quad 111: 10, \\ & 111: 11, \quad 111: 14, \\ & 137: 1, \quad 154: 20, \\ & 155: 10, \quad 155: 18, \\ & 156: 6, \quad 156: 22, \\ & 194: 3, \quad 194: 4, \\ & 194: 14, \quad 194: 21, \\ & 195: 2, \quad 195: 11, \\ & 196: 4, \quad 201: 18, \\ & 215: 16, \quad 219: 9, \\ & 219: 11, \quad 240: 18 \end{aligned}$ | disorders $22: 1,196: 2$ <br> display <br> 182:2, 182:3, <br> 256:5, 303:5 <br> displayed <br> 169:18, 237:6 <br> dispute $1: 3,33: 13$ <br> disputes $11: 15,32: 14,$ $121: 1$ <br> disregard 218:4 <br> disruptive $\text { 84:8, } 347: 15$ <br> dissected $355: 2$ <br> dissent 99:8 <br> dissenting <br> 73:14, 74:11 <br> distinctions <br> 183:5, 214:16 <br> distinguish <br> 141:22 <br> distinguishing $179: 19$ <br> distracted $\begin{aligned} & 169: 4, \quad 169: 14, \\ & 383: 18, \quad 383: 20 \end{aligned}$ <br> distraught <br> 322:3 <br> distressed <br> 228:19 <br> divided 220:4 <br> division ```15:10, 15:14, 16:14, 17:5, 17:9, 17:17, 31:21, 37:19, 55:19, 56:12, 56:17, 58:18, 231:15, 245:2 doctor 161:8, 194:15, 216:11, 222:8,``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  |  | down <br> 40:8, 111:16, <br> 145:17, 183:1, <br> 232:21, 238:22, <br> 243:4, 243:5, <br> 243:6, 246:11, <br> 247:4, 277:1, <br> 284:19, 308:19, <br> 320:8, 322:17, <br> 323:1, 330:22, <br> 332:20, 335:4, <br> 335:6, 341:10, <br> 342:6, 342:12, <br> 381:22, 383:4 <br> dozen <br> 88:2, 134:4, <br> 156:13, 258:11 <br> dozens <br> 209:3, 209:4 <br> dragged <br> 247:4 <br> dramatically <br> 384:18, 385:2 <br> draw <br> 195:13, 282:16, <br> 283:5, 285:15 <br> drawings <br> 136:10 <br> dreamed <br> 35:3, 103:8 <br> drill <br> 322:21 <br> drive <br> 3:6 <br> driving <br> 147:9 <br> drop <br> 310:19 <br> dropped <br> 341:2 <br> dsm <br> 156:21, 182:7 <br> dsm-5 <br> 69:16, 141:19, <br> 189:9, 219:19 <br> duane <br> 99:17 <br> duane's 100:9, 198:5, |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| dynamic <br> 215:7 | $\begin{aligned} & 389: 7 \\ & \text { edition } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 336: 16, \quad 336: 17, \\ & 337: 5, \quad 337: 8, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 173: 2, & 175: 12, \\ 195: 9, & 204: 17, \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E | 138:20 | 351:9, 356:6, | 215:15, 248:17, |
| each | eds | 371:7, 373:5, | 309:5, 342:17, |
| 9:3, 14:8, | 130:15 | 373:6, 389:8, | $351: 1,355: 5$ |
| 20:13, 22:8, | education | 391:2 | borat |
| 29:12, 57:14, | 1:2, 9:13, | educational | 245:19 |
| 84:19, 107:2, | 9:16, 15:14, | 22:4, 25:19, | electronic |
| 108:11, 146:21, | 15:21, 16:5, | 29:2, 29:22, | 334:16 |
| 157:14, 169:16, | 16:16, 17:6, | 36:22, 38:2, | electronically |
| 251:11, 268:21, | 19:2, 21:11, | 48:15, 51:14, | 333:2, 334:3, |
| 312:12, 316:19, | 21:19, 22:5, | 51:19, 54:3, | 334:8, 334:9, |
| 316:21, 319:3, | 22:10, 22:13, | 58:11, 100:1, | 334:12, 334:20 |
| 340:17, 378:15 | 23:8, 24:1, | 124:2, 130:9, | elementaries |
| earlier | 25:7, 25:17, | 130:13, 145:22, | 279:22 |
| 56:19, 94:18, | 26:1, 26:10, | 150:13, 154:6, | elementary |
| 98:5, 135:15, | 26:11, 27:7, | 196:14, 198:14, | 28:16, 68:17, |
| 154:12, 197:17, | 27:11, 27:13, | 201:15, 204:5, | 73:4, 133:9, |
| 256:8 | 28:12, 30:13, | 205:2, 215:22, | 222:20, 250:14, |
| early | 34:22, 37:18, | 222:22, 238:3, | 250:18, 251:16, |
| 86:5, 134:4, | 38:21, 39:7, | 244:1, 250:16, | 253:6, 276:3, |
| 188:13, 257:2, | 41:1, 43:3, | 258:7, 265:16, | 286:2, 360:8, |
| 258:3 | 46:19, 47:6, | 274:17, 274:21, | 362:15, 362:19 |
| easier | 47:11, 49:11, | 275:4, 278:20, | elevated |
| 365:9, 365:11 | $50: 22,51: 1$, | 315:19, 336:7, | 140:22, 141:2, |
| easily | $54: 5,54: 11$, | 370:7 | 141:20, 142:3, |
| 392:9 | 55:11, 58:4, | effect | 186:19, 208:16, |
| east | 59:14, 65:11, | 89:12, 218:3, | 208:20, 214:16, |
| 2:4 | 69:6, 72:17, | 393:12 | 218:11, 218:13, |
| easy | 73:2, 75:9, | effectively | 218:17, 386:7, |
| $363: 18, \quad 363: 20$ | 79:20, 80:15, | 236:16 | 386:8 |
| eating | 90:7, 94:20, | efficient | elevation |
| $228: 16,239: 1$ | 97:17, 97:19, | 13:3 | 120:3, 120:4, |
| $256: 12$ | 100:17, 100:22, | efficiently | 145:16, 214:21 |
| ed | 101:14, 102:7, | 23:12 | elevations |
| 17:22, 27:15, | 104:10, 104:12, | effort | 142:21, 214:20 |
| 27:20, 65:5, | $108: 12, ~ 194: 8$, $198: 3,23: 3$, | 21:5 | eligibilities |
| 73:5, 89:15, | $198: 3, ~ 223: 3$, $223: 11, ~ 241: 12, ~$ | efforts | 35:8, 94:10, |
| 89:19, 97:13, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 223: 11, & 241: 12, \\ 243: 13, & 245: 12, \end{array}\right.$ | 16:1, 31:16, | 94:11, 216:8, |
| 197:11, 201:10, | $245: 13,250: 18,$ | 293:12 eight | 337:10 |
| 232:17, 262:15, | $262: 9,275: 5$ | eight 349.13 | eligible |
| 262:16, 287:4, | $275: 7,275: 11,$ | 252:17, 349:13, $371: 4$ | 10:9, 11:18, |
| 287:12, 298:20, | $276: 13,281: 5,$ | $371: 4$ eighth | 15:17, 16:7, |
| 326:5, 326:7, | $\begin{aligned} & 216: 13, \\ & 287: 11, \end{aligned} 293: 18,$ | eighth | 17:5, 17:18, |
| 350:16, 350:18, | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 287: 11, \quad 293: 18, \\ & 303: 18, \quad 306: 14, \end{aligned}\right.$ | 316:3, 316:5 | 18:5, 19:14, |
| 359:10, 361:12, | $307: 10,312: 18,$ | either | 21:11, 24:8, |
| 362:6, 388:14, | $336: 9,336: 13,$ | 14:20, 15:4, | 33:6, 33:17, |
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|  | ```356:13, 358:20, 384:13 evening 369:22 evenly 177:17 events 115:19 ever 8:13, 28:18, 31:12, 34:9, 37:17, 81:13, 88:13, 96:2, 102:10, 103:9, 106:18, 124:9, 134:22, 151:20, 152:4, 152:9, 161:11, 178:21, 226:15, 228:1, 228:13, 251:22, 256:15, 256:20, 258:6, 277:12, 278:3, 278:20, 380:4 every 20:4, 57:12, 101:22, 134:20, 165:11, 167:17, 183:10, 185:22, 186:2, 189:4, 189:8, 205:9, 220:22, 227:17, 233:9, 245:6, 253:9, 261:14, 262:17, 269:9, 269:22, 277:4, 298:7, 326:8, 332:8, 337:15, 342:16, 358:15, 377:1, 388:7, 388:9 everybody 11:13, 33:1, 78:2, 134:19, 273:19, 273:20, 377:6 everybody's 128:22``` | ```everyday 117:13 everyone 24:22, 147:6, 189:17 everything 90:4, 141:19, 162:19, 165:18, 174:7, 186:18, 190:10, 191:6, 208:16, 218:12, 218:16, 285:8, 324:5, 341:6, 342:3, 382:12, 386:6 everything's 183:8 evidence 12:22, 15:15, 16:20, 24:20, 25:2, 109:4, 165:6, 194:17, 197:21, 270:8, 313:9, 358:9, 361:7 evidenced 358:8 evidentiary 33:10 exact 206:13, 285:17, 324:21, 342:20, 375:2 exactly 11:1, 210:4, 216:2, 269:15, 298:13, 338:7, 342:14 exaggerated 382:13, 383:2 exam 99:13 examination 4:7, 4:10, 4:13, 4:16, 4:18, 4:20, 5:4, 5:7, 5:9, 5:11, 25:13, 130:1,``` | $\begin{array}{ll} 222: 14, & 272: 22, \\ 274: 10, & 315: 11, \\ 335: 18, & 368: 2, \\ 369: 19 & \end{array}$ <br> examine $128: 12$ <br> examined 25:10, 129:19, $222: 13,250: 3,$ $274: 7,315: 8,$ $335: 15,357: 17,$ $369: 16$ <br> example 146:21, 147:12, $151: 8,164: 1$ $273: 6,333: 10,$ <br> 386:6 <br> examples <br> 118:6, 149:16 exceed <br> 191:18 <br> exceeded 191:21 <br> excellent <br> 187:4, 255:17 <br> except <br> 138:5, 174:10, <br> 190:10, 194:22, <br> 198:13, 204:12, <br> 285:9 <br> exception <br> 78:11, 78:15, 195:16 <br> exceptional <br> 257:12, 271:18, 271:20, 273:8 exceptionality 272:12 <br> exceptionally <br> 257:12 <br> excessive <br> 228:10, 228:11, 360:8, 363:12 <br> exchange $339: 8$ <br> excited $\begin{aligned} & 320: 13, \quad 321: 13, \\ & 321: 15, \quad 321: 17, \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 225:22, 227:2, | 236:5, 236:21, | 264:18, 265:1, | 56:10, 56:15, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 239:22, 254:11, | 240:13, 240:15 | 296:3 | 74:7, 97:3, |
| 256:19, 337:13, | fcps's | few | 103:22 |
| 347:9, 350:4, | 18:10, 47:20 | 20:5, 22:17, | filing |
| 368:13 | fear | 74:17, 100:14, | 8:9, 16:17, |
| far | 113:16, 148:9 | 105:13, 146:13, | 103:11, 361:21 |
| 268:3, 333:15, | fearful | 147:16, 167:21, | filings |
| 394:4 | 103:18 | 205:16, 222:4, | 17:14 |
| farther | fears | 258:11, 288:20, | filled |
| 383:3 | 201:13 | 289:11, 305:19, | 182:10 |
| fast | february | 320:16 | final |
| 243:5 | 1:15, 63:19, | fewest | 64:15, 65:7, |
| faster | 66:4, 242:7, | 167:14 | 209:14, 210:15, |
| 14:3 | 395:19 | fidget | 302:18, 302:19, |
| fault | fecal | 39:22 | 394:16 |
| 164:11 | 117:8 | field | finally |
| fauquier | feel | 131:14, 359:10, | 40:15, 163:16 |
| 3:10, 25:18, | 7:9, 11:7, | 359:13 | financial |
| 27:11, 27:13, | 111:18, 113:11, | fifth | 396:10 |
| 27:21, 28:12, | 113:14, 191:12, | 41:21, 63:14, | find |
| 37:5, 78:12, | 257:11, 266:18, | 93:11, 143:12, | 55:19, 93:13, |
| 130:8, 241:4, | 271:3, 286:8, | 224:19, 226:6, | 201:14, 201:17, |
| 336:3, 336:4, | 286:18, 286:20, | 226:13, 227:9, | 202:3, 214:2, |
| 351:9 | 288:13, 299:11, | 227:17, 232:4, | 253:19, 255:10, |
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| $87: 20, \quad 88: 12$ | incidents | $20: 1$ | inferred |
| impression | $20: 6, \quad 87: 5,$ | independence | $176: 4$ |
| 207:12, 288:11, | $123: 21,242: 21,$ | $358: 1$ | inferring |
| 320:1, 346:18, | 247:12, 297:11, | independent | 176:3 |
| 376:9, 376:10, | $323: 21, ~ 343: 14, ~$ $348: 20, ~ 354: 18$, | $\begin{aligned} & 17: 1, \quad 18: 4, \\ & 18: 15 . \\ & 69: 7 . \end{aligned}$ | informal |
| $376: 12$ impressions | $348: 20, ~ 354: 18, ~$ $374: 11, ~ 374: 20$, | 18:15, 69:7, | 29:3, 29:7, |
| impressions $346: 10$ | 374:11, 374:20, 375:1 | 81:7, 160:15, | 149:11, 165:17, |
| $346: 10$ improve | 375:1 | 239:22, 264:2, | 180:17, 181:9 |
| improve | inclinations | 386:4 | informally |
| $267: 12$ improved | 148:11 | independently | 28:20 |
| improved | include | independently | informant |
| 47:13 improvement | $\begin{aligned} & 8: 12, \quad 19: 19, \\ & 19: 21, \quad 39: 9, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 342: 17,342: 18, \\ & 358: 11 \end{aligned}$ | 185:5 |
| 204:7, 341:17 |  |  | 186:7 |
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| information | 53:16, 73:9, | 19:18, 19:19, | intent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23:11, 49:10, | 86:20, 89:4, | 23:9, 40:7, | 103:10, 178:15 |
| 53:13, 122:6, | 89:8, 94:19, | 40:16, 40:19, | intention |
| 123:18, 164:4, | 119:6, 119:9, | 41:5, 43:1, | 305:5, 305:12 |
| 176:12, 178:7, | 120:13, 152:11, | 49:8, 49:11, | intentional |
| 180:9, 182:18, | 155:18, 184:22, | 54:14, 59:16, | 76:12 |
| 191:4, 191:10, | 186:13, 202:1, | 59:18, 61:7, | interact |
| 191:12, 191:15, | 206:21, 208:12, | 62:7, 70:8, | 89:6, 215:5, |
| 193:6, 198:21, | 208:14, 218:4, | 75:10, 79:2, | 226:18, 277:17, |
| 202:2, 205:1, | 218:6, 220:13, | 90:6, 99:3, | 319:3, 344:3, |
| 207:6, 221:20, | 220:18, 221:5, | 100:9, 101:15, | 344:10, 344:11, |
| 236:10, 239:8, | 236:13, 236:14, | 108:21, 109:2, | 344:16, 344:18 |
| 239:16, 244:1, | 238:5, 261:12, | 154:7, 201:16, | interacted |
| 263:15, 287:17, | 261:18, 286:19, | 236:9, 237:18, | 88:17, 328:5 |
| 289:6, 338:16, | 287:1, 287:17, | 243:20, 250:19, | interacting |
| 365:18, 392:1, | 297:4, 323:14, | 262:3, 268:5, | 175:20, 178:11, |
| 396:8 | 325:11, 325:14, | 273:3, 299:9, | 178:14, 212:16, |
| informative | 348:13, 354:11, | 299:10, 299:12, | 212:19 |
| 142:4, 221:1 | 389:12, 389:21, | 301:15, 351:6, | interaction |
| infrequent | 390:1 | 351:10, 351:16, | 19:8, 155:14, |
| 205:10 | inquire | 351:18, 352:1, | 168:10, 185:8, |
| inhibit | 250:5, 274:9, | 357:2, 359:16, | 201:19, 201:22, |
| 143:19 | 315:10, 335:17, | 385:13, 389:4 | 224:18, 266:19, |
| initial | 369:18 | instructional | 267:20, 340:18, |
| 37:4, 48:6, | inr | 27:16, 45:8, | 345:5, 345:6 |
| 223:15, 365:2, | 115:22 | $262: 19,385: 15$ | interactions |
| 365:16 | insecurity | instructions | 114:17, 227:11, |
| initially | 113:18, 188:11, | 221:17, 367:15 | $253: 13,254: 6,$ |
| 353:8 | 189:7, 189:15 | instrument | $277: 20,303: 19,$ |
| initiate | inside | 156:19 | $317: 17,373: 21,$ |
| 101:20, 238:21, | 169:15 | instruments | $374: 1$ |
| 357:14 | insight | 136:8, 137:19, | interchangeable |
| initiated | 148:15, 166:4 | 138:16, 156:13, | 220:10 |
| 34:17 | insisted | 170:14, 386:5 | interest |
| initiation | 20:2, 368:3 | intake | 168:15, 201:21, |
| 144:5 | instance | 121:13, 121:14 | 253:21, 270:15, |
| inkblot | 9:14 | intellectual | 396:10 |
| 190:15 | instead | 69:19, 111:12, | interested |
| innocuous | 128:18, 192:16, | 177:10 | 113:6, 136:18, |
| 148:3 | 322:4 | intellectually | $207: 5$ |
| inova | instituting | 155:7 | interesting |
| 69:5, 69:12, | 305:5, 305:13 | intelligence | 171:14, 191:8 |
| 161:7, 161:14 | instruct | 138:18, 170:3, | interests |
| input | $313: 11$ | $170: 12$ | 149:15 |
| 9:18, 9:19, | instructed | intended | interfere |
| 16:8, 16:9, | 110:14, 351:20 | $128: 19$ | $11: 20,54: 7$ |
| 18:6, 22:8, | instruction | intensive | $303: 12$ |
| 22:9, 49:12, | 18:2, 19:15, | 370:19 | interfering |
|  |  |  | 108:12 |
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| international | 310:4, 312:21, | 12:15, 33:3, | 396:11 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 228:17, 315:22 | 327:20, 332:20, | 34:4, 114:11, | itself |
| interpersonal | 335:4, 340:20, | 394:15 | 55:19, 220:2 |
| 111:17, 148:16 | 341:8, 344:5, | isn't | J |
| interpret | 344:17, 366:17 | 16:19, 32:15, | jack |
| 146:17, 170:4, | introduce | $301: 8,328: 2$, | $182: 13$ |
| 219:1, 295:5 | 338:14 | 344:22, 359:13 | january |
| interpretations | introduced | isolate | 16:3, 16:21, |
| 147:11, 159:2 | 338:15 | $141: 17$ | 19:3, 37:1, |
| interpreted | introducing | isoterics | 41:14, 44:18, |
| 349:16 | 93:2 | 157:20 | 49:1, 55:19, |
| interpreting | introduction | issue | 56:17, 67:17, |
| 141:16, 175:13, | 210:2 | 9:1, 10:3, | 68:5, 71:11, |
| 207:4 | invalid | 10:4, 10:13, | 82:6, 85:20, |
| interrupt | 207:3 | 10:14, 11:1, | 91:8, 91:10, |
| 7:17, 129:7, | inventories | 12:12, 12:16, | 91:18, 92:3, |
| 166:13, 168:2 | 140:13, 165:7 | 15:12, 28:15, | 92:17, 93:1, |
| intervention | inventory | 96:11, 108:8, | 93:9, 93:12, |
| 45:2, 45:5, | 137:21, 140:5, | 116:8, 117:10, | 94:15, 99:19, |
| $46: 21,47: 16,$ | 140:8, 140:10, | $126: 2, \quad 196: 4$ | $151: 19,152: 16,$ |
| $49: 1, \quad 57: 3$ | 142:14, 143:6, | 196:18, 217:8, |  |
| 205:14, 242:14, | 176:14, 176:15, | $292: 20$ | $216: 18,216: 20,$ |
| 245:2, 245:11, | 177:4, 179:3, | issued | $216: 22,218: 1,$ |
| 249:8, 252:11, | 179:6, 185:5, | $98: 6$ | 234:5, 235:22, |
| 293:12, 378:13 | 187:3 | issues | 237:12, 258:19, |
| interview | invested | 9:22, 10:15, | 261:1, 261:2, |
| 165:4, 165:5, | $333: 19$ | $14: 11,31: 22,$ | 282:6, 296:21, |
| 195:10, 371:9 | investigated | $32: 8, \quad 34: 15,$ | 336:5, 348:5, |
| interviewed | 243:1 | $35: 4, \quad 35: 5,$ | 353:15, 353:19, |
| 166:22 | invitation | 70:5, 101:4, | 357:11, 360:22, |
| interviews | 96:3 | 125:20, 169:12, | 389:18 |
| 169:2 | invite | 192:4, 192:17, | jealousy |
| into | 207:18, 279:22, | 193:4, 213:21, | 148:10 |
| 9:10, 9:11, | 280:8 | 227:17, 258:2, | jeanette |
| $12: 22,13: 13,$ | involved | 258:6, 267:1, | $50: 21$ |
| 23:11, 37:5, | 28:12, 28:14, | $\begin{array}{ll} 278: 21, & 322: 10, \\ 326: 14, & 391: 17 \end{array}$ | jeff |
| 40:8, 49:13, | 93:7, 113:9, | 326:14, 391:17 <br> item | 3:4, 133:1, |
| 84:6, 90:13, | 145:15, 148:13, | item | 177:5, 178:10, |
| 102:2, 112:16, | 374:11 | 100:3 | 179:17, 182:9, |
| 119:10, 157:19, | inward | items 181.4 | 217:18, 268:15, |
| 162:6, 166:4, | 189:15 | 180:20, 181:4, <br> 210:4, 213:1, | 313:22, 352:21, |
| 168:16, 170:20, | ipad | $\begin{array}{ll} 210: 4, & 213: 1, \\ 213: 9, & 213: 17, \end{array}$ | $365: 13,391: 20,$ |
| 171:7, 174:1, | 75:7, 342:6, | $\begin{aligned} & 213: 9, \quad 213: 17, \\ & 248: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $392: 8$ |
| $182: 6, ~ 186: 8$, $214: 6,220: 4$, | 363:13 | 248: 4 | jeff's |
| $214: 6,220: 4$, $226: 22, ~ 227: 9$, | iq | its $43: 8, \quad 44: 13$ | $296: 3, \quad 296: 4$ |
| $226: 22, ~ 227: 9$, $236: 15, ~ 246: 4$, | 170:7 | 43:8, 44:13, |  |
| $\begin{array}{lll} 236: 15, & 246: 4, \\ 246: 19, & 285: 20, \end{array}$ | irrelevant | $\begin{aligned} & 60: 2, \quad 72: 22, \\ & 79: 19, \quad 296: 12, \end{aligned}$ | 313:20 |
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| job | 69:5, 73:4, | kind | 394:2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1:20, 132:5, | 111:4, 111:5, | 33:10, 63:8, | known |
| 223:11, 251:9, | 159:10, 161:7, | 90:15, 133:20, | 155:20, 155:21, |
| 256:2, 332:12, | 161:14, 204:9, | 134:18, 144:8, | 158:22, 193:2, |
| 336:19, 353:21, | 206:21, 207:6, | 146:19, 150:1, | 207:13, 213:20 |
| 364:1, 364:2 | 207:7, 208:11, | 155:4, 157:10, | knows |
| jokes | 209:11, 209:15, | 162:17, 163:10, | 91:1, 122:11, |
| 343:6 | 210:14, 215:12, | 163:15, 168:16, | 126:3, 298:2, |
| judged | 216:5, 219:7, | 178:16, 180:17, | 375:12, 383:13 |
| 166:4, 169:22 | 282:11, 300:14, | 183:4, 185:6, | koehler's |
| judgment | 329:17, 386:4, | 192:15, 194:17, | 366:21 |
| 166:5, 184:10 | 386:5, 386:12, | 215:6, 225:22, | kohler |
| juggle | 391:3 | 258:3, 258:16, | 115:4, 115:6, |
| 363:10 | kept | 295:22, 300:2, | 115:8, 116:3 |
| juice | 292:21, 328:3 | 309:9, 317:22, | kohler's |
| 239:3 | key | 318:21, 319:3, | 365:1 |
| july | 313:4 | 320:10, 321:21, | kylie |
| 67:20, 70:16, | kick | $\begin{array}{rrr}322: 6, & 330: 22, \\ 333: 13, & 333: 22,\end{array}$ | $4: 19,274: 5$ |
| 72:9, 159:17, | 187:17, 209:6, | 333:13, 333:22, | 274:15 |
| 161:2, 161:4, | $246: 2$ | $\begin{array}{lll}341: 12, & 343: 5, \\ 343: 18, & 344: 22,\end{array}$ | L |
| 197:1, 198:18, | kicked | $\begin{array}{ll} 343: 18, & 344: 22, \\ 380: 15, & 382: 12 \end{array}$ | label |
| 281:13, 281:19, | 207:2, 246:21 | $\left(\begin{array}{l} 380: 15, \\ 383: 19 . \\ 384: 5, \end{array}\right.$ | 18:8, 145:22, |
| 371:4 | kicks | $391 \cdot 5 \cdot 395 \cdot 15$ | 167:10, 194:9, |
| jumped | 141:14 | $395: 16$ | 195:6, 198:3 |
| 322:14, 381:20 | kid | kinds | labels |
| jumping 163.14 | $19: 1, ~ 254: 13$, $254: 20,320: 3$, | $21: 4, \quad 70: 4$ | 156:6 |
| 145:20, 163:14 june | $\begin{array}{ll} 254: 20, & 320: 3, \\ 327: 13, & 327: 15 \end{array}$ | king | labs |
| 37:10, 38:16, | 367:4, 383:9 | 247:2 | $327: 10,327:$ |
| 65:20, 67:18 | kids | kings | $8: 6,16: 19,$ |
| justice | 145:9, 145:10, | $\text { \|l\|l\|l\|l\|l\|} \begin{aligned} & 32: 9 \\ & \text { kit } \end{aligned}$ | 116:16, 116:18, |
| 25:22 | $147: 16, ~ 171: 20$, $181: 12, ~ 195: 21$, | $146: 13,162: 16$ | 204:5, 311:22 |
| juvenile <br> 121:14 | $\begin{aligned} & 181: 12, \\ & 213: 19, \\ & 213: 21, \end{aligned}$ | kneed | lady $253: 20, \quad 265: 2$ |
| K | 214:9, 215:4, | 243:6 | laid |
| k $126 \cdot 4 \cdot 26 \cdot 13$ | $255: 5,257: 14,$ | $243: 6$ | $\begin{aligned} & 246: 11 \\ & \text { landrum } \end{aligned}$ |
| $26: 4,26: 13$ <br> keep | 257:20, 262:9, | knew | landrum $67: 5$ |
| $7: 22,102: 3,$ | 267:19, 271:4, | 366:16, 368:15, | lane |
| 113:7, 128:6, | 322:17, 327:1 | 384:1 | 2:7 |
| 270:3, 309:1, | $345: 2,345: 5,$ | $163: 9, \quad 195: 3$ | language |
| 337:11, 342:16 | $345: 8, \quad 364: 2,$ | knowledge | 27:17, 69:19, |
| keeping | $378: 14,383: 4,$ | $8: 22,9: 12 \text {, }$ | 88:7, 111:13, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 134: 20,341: 18, \\ & 341: 20 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 383: 8, \quad 394: 10 \\ & \text { ki110d } \end{aligned}$ | $37: 17,37: 20,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 166: 1, & 168: 8, \\ 174: 6, & 174: 8, \end{array}$ |
| kellar | killed | 124:1, 124:5, | $175: 14, \quad 176: 4$ |
| 18:4, 58:17, | $\begin{aligned} & 147: 18 \\ & \text { kimberly } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 143: 17, & 309: 4, \\ 312: 10, & 314: 15, \end{array}$ | $178: 8,183: 6,$ |
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|  | $170: 14$ latin $273: 6$ latoy $217: 18$ laugh $345: 10$ lauren $5: 3, \quad 97: 17$, $315: 6, \quad 315: 16$ law $101: 11, \quad 275: 8$ lawfully $70: 13$ lawyer $77: 10$ lead $191: 11, \quad 214: 12$, $342: 4$ leadership $226: 7, \quad 227: 2$, $274: 21, \quad 275: 4$ leading $122: 22$ leaned $384: 18, \quad 385: 2$ leapt $381: 13$ learn $244: 14, \quad 273: 2$, $280: 17, \quad 303: 13$, $327: 22$ learning $18: 1, \quad 26: 3$, $26: 12, \quad 245: 6$, $269: 3, \quad 270: 13$, $319: 3, \quad 329: 13$, $362: 13$ learns $273: 18, \quad 273: 19$ least $140: 19, \quad 142: 8$, $265: 2, \quad 305: 6$, $305: 13, \quad 338: 4$, $375: 5, \quad 381: 13$, $387: 9$ leave $14: 14, \quad 46: 2$, | ```121:8, 121:9, 121:11, 127:3, 129:6, 129:7, 195:7, 222:2, 324:15, 360:13, 369:12 leaving 364:20 lecturing 148:14 led 20:5, 35:21 lee 278:12 left 166:21, 170:16, 256:8, 360:18, 394:9 legal 20:13, 28:2, 132:19 legitimate 255:3 legs 384:2 length 104:2, 112:21, 113:8 lengthy 162:8 less 44:3, 44:7, 113:9, 145:7, 232:11, 339:14 let 21:17, 75:22, 108:9, 126:16, 149:3, 180:3, 180:4, 190:1, 198:1, 205:12, 205:13, 217:7, 231:20, 306:9, 355:10, 381:16 let's 59:3, 59:19, 61:10, 61:12, 63:10, 65:15, 68:3, 86:6,``` | ```109:3, 111:3, 129:3, 152:14, 154:8, 173:11, 185:16, 192:13, 194:1, 212:5, 235:18, 319:11, 347:22, 365:7, 381:22, 382:19, 384:16, 389:2, 391:9 lets 268:20, 360:13 letter 22:12, 22:15, 22:21, 23:2, 59:21, 61:4 letters 36:17 letting 258:15 level 19:4, 34:17, 144:9, 358:1, 358:21, 359:9, 359:12 levelled 359:13 liberal 315:22 library 226:12 license 26:6, 26:9, 130:20, 251:1, 370:12, 370:15 licensed 81:12, 370:5, 370:13, 379:17 licenses 130:17 lid 205:19 lies 108:19 life 189:5 light 187:13``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | ```363:15, 363:19, 367:3, 371:16, 375:12, 379:1, 386:7, 386:18 lots 257:9, 267:18, 271:4, 281:2 loud 268:13 loved 255:4 loves 291:21, 317:4, 317:7 low 145:21, 176:1, 176:22, 178:1, 242:17 lower 158:4, 168:6, 211:5, 339:2, 339:7 lowest 167:14, 167:18 lunch 135:6, 229:7, 229:8, 238:17, 239:1, 322:3 lunchroom 227:19 luxury 251:19 lying 147:13, 392:19, 392:22 lynchpin 359:9```$\mathbf{M}$ <br> ma'am <br> $230: 12, \quad 235: 7$, <br> $270: 2, \quad 272: 21$, <br> $368: 1, \quad 395: 6$ <br> machine <br> $207: 2$ <br> mad <br> $290: 17$ <br> made <br> $10: 19, \quad 15: 19$, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| ```mandate 221:17, 221:19 manner 364:18 manual 203:6 many 8:9, 63:13, 64:2, 102:15, 105:20, 106:21, 107:17, 108:21, 112:11, 132:13, 137:18, 140:4, 154:16, 184:6, 214:8, 227:5, 227:20, 278:7, 282:20, 307:11, 309:19, 339:20, 386:4 march 39:11, 41:10, 105:22, 229:15, 280:9, 281:18, 303:1 marginal 140:19, 142:21, 144:17, 151:9, 214:20 margreta 5:10, 97:14, 369:14, 370:4 mark 124:16 marked 113:17, 151:8, 180:21, 301:7 marketing 274:20, 274:22, 275:12 marking 57:15, 57:16 marks 76:18, 76:21, 78:16 marshall 30:2, 97:12, 130:16, 133:9, 217:15, 265:21,``` |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```303:9, 309:17, 315:9, 318:21, 332:14, 335:16, 338:9, 365:17, 369:18 maybe 126:9, 137:22, 258:11, 258:12, 267:11, 307:1, 367:15, 393:7 mccoy 50:22, 208:19, 212:14, 213:1, 214:15, 214:17 mean 12:13, 17:16, 24:7, 37:8, 87:22, 116:21, 119:20, 145:16, 151:8, 157:8, 167:16, 192:15, 202:17, 212:9, 223:13, 226:6, 226:21, 227:15, 227:16, 239:2, 242:20, 244:18, 246:2, 257:20, 264:18, 267:15, 273:4, 281:1, 286:13, 291:19, 303:21, 305:15, 305:22, 313:7, 326:20, 327:13, 334:6, 334:11, 345:16, 363:4, 363:14, 364:22, 379:8 meaning 167:14, 176:3, 176:4, 276:22 meaningful 113:10, 248:8 meaningfully 111:1 means 100:1, 148:19, 167:13, 223:14, 351:13``` |  |  | ```227:1, 227:12, 295:15, 313:14, 321:17, 345:11, 385:18, 391:16 mesi-2 180:1 messing 295:9 messy 180:1 messy-2 180:1, 180:16, 180:17 met 8:20, 16:4, 41:14, 54:17, 81:13, 88:13, 88:15, 141:20, 141:21, 197:2, 206:12, 224:13, 253:20, 262:17, 282:1, 311:2, 316:16, 338:4, 338:5, 338:11, 338:15, 351:20, 372:14, 376:4, 379:11 method 157:5, 386:2 methodology 120:2 methods 367:2 michael 52:13 microanalyze 214:13 mid-year 367:4 middle 17:13, 19:10, 20:10, 30:2, 59:20, 61:16, 62:14, 88:1, 97:12, 100:4, 103:15, 133:10, 217:15, 225:5, 265:21, 274:16,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 276:1, 276:9, | minor | 296:15, 302:15, | 162:21, 167:6, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 276:18, 276:19, | 228:6, 242:3, | 316:8, 319:16, | 168:17, 173:12, |
| 279:22, 280:3, | 292:4 | 338:1, 346:1, | 177:15, 183:13, |
| 281:14, 288:3, | minored | 348:6, 353:3, | 198:11, 200:18, |
| 293:11, 306:7, | 316:1 | 353:17, 360:1, | 204:17, 205:5, |
| 315:18, 316:3, | minors | 375:22, 393:15 | 213:18, 219:17, |
| 316:6, 319:2, | 228:5 | mnemonic | 221:3, 222:4, |
| 319:15, 322:21, | minute | 270:18 | 238:8, 243:16, |
| 336:21, 358:8, | 77:5, 125:9, | modalities | 244:17, 248:19, |
| 360:16, 362:15, | 263:10, 328:17 | 269:3, 270:13 | 258:11, 266:19, |
| 362:17, 372:9, | minutes | modify | 271:16, 276:21, |
| 375:20 | 46:18, 57:12, | 124:18 | 277:4, 309:18, |
| might | 87:16, 89:6, | module | 318:1, 331:8, |
| 137:9, 138:4, | 174:14, 222:4, | 220:5, 220:8 | 338:5, 339:3, |
| 149:5, 150:9, | 253:9, 262:18, | modules | 339:8, 339:15, |
| 151:9, 158:2, | 280:2, 322:19, | 220:5 | 340:6, 340:12, |
| 158:3, 158:5, | 341:4, 373:2, | moment | 347:15, 364:3, |
| 162:5, 162:18, | 373:3, 378:9 | 13:22, 36:13, | 379:9, 383:19, |
| 166:13, 167:8, | mislabeled | $365: 2, \quad 366: 16,$ | 383:20, 384:1, |
| 167:20, 175:11, | 180:14, 182:4 | 391:11 | 384:12, 386:13 |
| 179:12, 179:20, | misperception | monitor | morning |
| 192:17, 192:20, | $142: 10$ | $189: 4,226: 21,$ | $25: 14,57: 7,$ |
| 194:10, 198:5, | misrepresented | 239:4 | $57: 8,96: 7,$ |
| 204:15, 207:14, | $152: 10$ | monitoring | $310: 19,318: 14,$ |
| $213: 9, \quad 268: 6,$ | miss | $144: 13,205: 8$ | $318: 15,378: 22,$ |
| $340: 8, \quad 342: 22$ | 233:5, 290:22, | monster | $395: 13$ |
| mild $69: 17, ~ 71: 8$, | 291:1 | REDACTED | $52 \cdot 9 \quad 134 \cdot 15$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 69:17, } 71: 8, \\ & 111: 11, \quad 164: 1, \end{aligned}$ | missed $291: 8$ | REDACTED | $\begin{aligned} & 52: 9,134: 15, \\ & 136: 1,141: 6, \end{aligned}$ |
| 194:3, 194:14, | $\begin{array}{\|l} 291: 8 \\ \text { missing } \end{array}$ | REDACTED | $142: 4, \quad 142: 19,$ |
| $194: 20,391: 4$ | $84: 9,166: 20,$ | 257:3 | 144:17, 145:8, |
| millon $187: 3.187: 17$ | $182: 2,182: 3 \text {, }$ | months | $\begin{aligned} & 145: 10, \quad 149: 14, \\ & 151: 5 . \quad 164: 5 . \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 187: 3, \quad 187: 17, \\ & 187: 21, \quad 188: 4 \end{aligned}$ | $256: 10$ | $20: 18, \quad 154: 12,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 151: 5, & 164: 5, \\ 166: 9, & 167: 21, \end{array}$ |
| 187:21, 188:4 mind | mistake $180: 14, \quad 259: 19$ | $305: 19,371: 5$ | $\begin{aligned} & 166: 9,167: 21, \\ & 170: 7,172: 3, \end{aligned}$ |
| 7:21, 134:14, | $\begin{aligned} & 180: 14, \quad 259: 19, \\ & 362: 10 \end{aligned}$ | mood | 181:8, 191:14, |
| 135:9, 144:8, | mistaken | more | 208:14, 219:15, |
| 232:14, 235:3, | 207:14 | 7:9, 13:3, | 266:11, 266:17, |
| 284:19, 345:15, | mistakes | $\begin{aligned} & 1: 9, \quad 13: 3 \\ & 14: 1, \quad 20: 22, \end{aligned}$ | 271:15, 276:19, |
| 345:18, 392:21 | $205: 13, \quad 217: 7$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 1, \quad 20: 22, \\ & 23: 12, \quad 28: 15, \end{aligned}$ | 278:15, 294:21, |
| mine | misunderstood | $\begin{array}{ll} 23: 12, & 28: 15, \\ 34: 22, & 60: 7, \end{array}$ | 298:4, 322:17, |
| 75:15, 75:22, | $157: 11$ | $70 \cdot 3.78 \cdot 22$ | 327:10, 345:5, |
| 256:12 | mkd | $89: 1, \quad 100: 14,$ | 354:7, 356:11, |
| minimal |  |  | 363:5, 393:12 |
| $191: 15$ | 6:2 mm-hmm | 117:16, 134:13, | mostly |
| minimize | 199:6, 229:20, | $136: 6,137: 1,$ | 30:13, 140:17, |
| 33:22 | 231:6, 239:13, | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 137:14, 143:15, } \end{aligned}$ | 191:3, 207:5 |
| minimum | 284:11, 284:14, | $149: 5,155: 7,$ | motion |
| 155:17 | 284.11, 284.14, |  | 7:4, 12:2 |
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| ```367:17 nevertheless 184:7 new 42:14, 42:16, 92:22, 95:9, 115:7, 162:7, 192:18, 192:19, 202:1, 224:22, 227:9, 230:14, 230:17, 253:3, 257:21, 276:15, 282:7, 292:1, 317:21, 321:3 next 46:9, 57:21, 63:2, 64:19, 74:17, 96:6, 103:16, 103:18, 117:3, 127:15, 142:15, 146:8, 147:2, 147:10, 147:12, 166:7, 170:21, 173:22, 179:22, 184:12, 225:10, 256:19, 280:11, 295:21, 320:11, 328:3, 346:17, 381:21 nexy-2 170:22, 171:4, 171:5 nice 149:20, 249:21, 254:16 nicole 3:11 night 296:1, 342:8, 345:3, 345:8, 345:10, 366:10 nine 342:21, 349:13 ninth 358:20 nk-4 251:2 nobody 106:6``` | noise <br> 7:16, 19:1, <br> 225:16, 308:18 <br> noises <br> 169:14, 382:3, <br> 382:9 <br> non-echoed <br> 168:9 <br> non-significant <br> 190:9 <br> non-verbal <br> 139:1, 172:6, <br> 175:21 <br> none <br> 237:19, 343:11 <br> nonsignificant <br> 180:12 <br> nonverbally <br> 175:11 <br> noob 291:20, 291:22, $345: 9$ <br> nor <br> 396:9 <br> normal <br> 117:13, 142:10, <br> 148:16, 151:10, <br> 165:19, 166:1, <br> 166:2, 166:3, <br> 168:7, 168:9, <br> 205:20, 254:12, <br> 319:2, 320:15, <br> 366:9, 366:18 <br> notations <br> 78:5 <br> note <br> 143:1, 168:22, <br> 201:12, 213:14, <br> 353:2 <br> noted <br> 147:3, 147:8, <br> 148:12, 185:5, <br> 212:13 <br> notes $\begin{aligned} & 23: 11, \quad 82: 10, \\ & 82: 17, \quad 327: 8, \\ & 334: 7,381: 11, \\ & 382: 10, \quad 382: 16, \end{aligned}$ | ```382:17, 383:9, 387:1, 387:9, 387:14 nothing 17:18, 89:1, 114:9, 114:14, 170:17, 174:10, 196:5, 196:6, 218:13, 227:16, 242:19, 256:20, 277:22, 278:17, 278:18, 325:2, 345:15, 345:18, 362:4, 388:4, 394:19 notice 2:7, 38:10, 38:19, 42:10, 43:18, 43:19, 45:2, 46:7, 46:9, 49:4, 50:3, 53:14, 55:2, 55:8, 63:2, 72:13, 73:18, 78:10, 83:19, 96:12, 134:9, 210:6, 230:6, 230:7, 231:12, 236:20, 242:8, 245:14, 325:10, 325:13, 352:9, 353:2, 374:18, 381:9 noticed 331:9 notices 44:9 notified 381:15 notion 393:1 november 83:13, 84:16, 84:18, 85:7, 85:9, 107:8, 107:10, 217:10, 278:16, 294:12, 296:9, 313:15,``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 29:3, 35:15, | 249:5, 281:21, | 219:5 | offer |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 36:9, 36:13, | 284:13, 284:16, | occasion | 12:21, 20:13, |
| 60:9, 76:16, | 284:17, 285:14, | 344:11, 356:13 | 34:9, 307:11, |
| 77:21, 114:5, | 285:18, 297:16, | occasional | 307:18, 365:13, |
| 115:10, 117:19, | 299:14, 299:20, | 205:13 | 365:17, 368:15 |
| 117:20, 120:19, | 300:12, 324:2, | occasionally | offered |
| 188:15, 188:18, | 354:21, 377:17, | 101:4, 213:3, | 20:15, 32:20, |
| 369:3 | 377:20, 379:6, | 258:8 | 103:6, 251:17, |
| objected | 380:1, 380:5, | occasions | 368:14 |
| 12:21, 157:5 | 380:13, 381:3, | 47:10, 135:3 | offering |
| objecting | 384:9, 389:19 | occupational | 15:15, 17:11 |
| 29:5, 29:7, | observational | 27:17, 49:7, | offers |
| 167:8 | 178:9, 391:5 | 62:3, 62:4, | 11:15, 34:5 |
| objection | observations | 62:7, 236:7, | office |
| 27:3, 27:5, | 17:2, 29:9, | 268:3 | 1:3, 65:16, |
| 60:14, 77:6, | 51:15, 53:3, | occur | 66:3, 80:18, |
| 77:18, 117:3, | 53:4, 68:13, | 294:11 | 85:3, 161:21, |
| 119:14, 119:17, | 71:22, 73:3, | occurred | 229:1, 229:7, |
| 119:20, 131:9, | 82:12, 113:22, | 121:18, 122:5, | 246:4, 377:1 |
| $220: 1,294: 15,$ | $168: 22,200: 8,$ | 278:15, 374:20 | officer's |
| 311:21, 371:19, | $200: 14,247: 22,$ | occurrences | 76:9 |
| 371:20 | 283:2, 283:7, | 227:18 | offices |
| objection's | 283:20, 284:4, | ocr | 2:1 |
| 29:19 | 285:17, 301:20, | 17:3, 36:17 | official |
| objections | 348:10, 379:15, | october | 271:20 |
| 7:11, 8:6, | 380:3, 387:1 | 217:10, 252:17, | officials |
| 12:7, 13:4, | observe | $304: 8, \quad 305: 2,$ | $391: 21$ |
| 13:17 | 81:16, 226:15, | $305: 20,306: 2,$ | often |
| objective | 281:22, 284:2, | $338: 19,338: 20,$ | 20:11, 55:21, |
| 180:15, 235:13 | $\left\lvert\, \begin{aligned} & 308: 15, \quad 374: 3, \\ & 379: 9, \quad 380: 4, \end{aligned}\right.$ | 339:9, 377:18, | 124:18, 133:21, |
| obligation | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 379: 9, & 380: 4, \\ 380: 7, & 380: 11, \end{array}\right.$ | 393:19 | 147:16, 151:10, |
| $20: 13,23: 20$, $86: 4$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 380: 7, & 380: 11, \\ 385: 20, & 393: 21 \end{array}\right.$ | odd 3 le 381.17 | 161:15, 204:14, |
| observation | observed | $\begin{aligned} & 340: 9,381: 17 \\ & \text { off } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 226: 4, & 253: 7, \\ 277: 7, & 279: 5, \end{array}$ |
| 18:15, 38:3, | $\begin{array}{ll} 28: 18, & 81: 17, \\ 90: 17 . & 134: 22 \end{array}$ | $37: 8, \quad 94: 2,$ | $340: 15, \quad 372: 16$ |
| 48:16, 62:22, | 90:17, 134:22, <br> 165:16, 178:21 | 110:20, 165:9, | oh |
| $81: 3,81: 8$, $81: 21, ~ 82: 15$, | $\begin{array}{ll} 165: 16, & 1 / 8: 21, \\ 277: 12, & 277: 14, \end{array}$ | 165:10, 180:21, | 7:7, 25:3, |
| $81: 21, ~ 82: 15$, $87: 9, ~ 90: 10$, | $\begin{aligned} & 211: 12, \\ & 285: 10, \end{aligned} 285: 11,$ | 205:12, 213:1, | 37:8, 106:8, |
| 87:9, $90: 10$, $90: 13, ~ 90: 16, ~$ | $374: 9, \quad 381: 11$ | 213:3, 213:8, | 109:20, 125:13, |
| 90:13, $90: 22,16$, 90: | observer | 213:10, 251:20, | 150:13, 153:11, |
| 90:22, 109:8, $109: 10,109: 13$, |  | 268:7, 295:22, | 153:14, 180:4, |
| $109: 10, ~ 109: 13$, $110: 2, ~ 110: 18$, | 18:18 | 310:19, 326:20, | 196:20, 201:6, |
| 110:2, 110:18, | Observing | 333:18, 333:22, | 211:18, 222:3, |
| 110:20, 164:9, | $390: 20$ | 338:9, 340:11, | 240:10, 253:18, |
| 178:17, 195:10, | obvious | 341:2, 346:21 | 268:2, 268:4, |
| 238:4, 238:9, | $344: 22$ | off-put | 269:6, 270:4, |
| 238:12, 238:13, | obviously | 346:12 | 275:11, 285:4, |
| 247:17, 248:12, | 24:7, 115:5, | offense | 285:7, 304:12, |
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|  |  | ```\(375: 9,384: 3\), 392:2 opinions 387:1 opportunities 21:4 opportunity 35:19, 39:20, 44:2, 66:14, 110:11, 127:21, 128:2, 128:12, 135:18, 153:2, 199:22, 206:9, 216:14, 232:10, 287:1, 321:3, 376:16, 379:9 opposite 90:20, 95:15, 284:7 oppositional 188:8 optimism 149:14 option 15:2, 101:22, 248:16, 331:4, \(333: 1,333: 3\), 333:4, 334:9 options 32:5, 32:8 oral 174:6, 174:8, 178:7, 248:7 orchestra 81:19, 310:1, 311:3, 345:16, 383:16 order 16:15, 21:13, 23:7, 138:16, 269:20, 389:4 organization 19:9, 40:6, 40:14, 45:8, \(70: 6,79: 8\), 79:9, 79:16, 79:18, 144:11, 144:16, 234:17,``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```153:12, 165:14, 167:4, 230:5, 231:11, 238:16, 260:10, 355:11 paid 252:15 paper 53:9, 174:12, 301:14, 334:13, 346:19 papers 103:17, 206:14, 380:16, 380:18, 380:19 paperwork 85:17, 110:6, 361:21, 363:19 par 360:18``` paradigm 146:21 paradox 184:10 paragraph 43:19, 45:11, 169:20, 172:15, 178:3, 193:8, 193:9, 209:14, 209:22, 210:15, 211:6, 211:9, 211:15, 212:1, 212:11, 381:22 paragraphs 383:3 parameters 90:16 paraphrasing 20:19 pardon 7:12, 7:13 parent 9:8, 16:15, 22:9, 35:2, $46: 17,46: 20$, 47:5, 49:12, 53:16, 63:5, 73:9, 112:16, 112:17, 112:21, |  | 352:2, 352:14, 354:10, 361:8, <br> 362:6, $364: 1$, <br> 368:7, 384:7, <br> 384:15, 387:9, <br> 388:11, 389:17 <br> partial <br> 76:14 <br> partially <br> 149:7 <br> participant <br> 217:20, 352:20 <br> participants <br> 97:8, 323:12, <br> 353:14 <br> participate $\left[\begin{array}{l} 59: 17, \quad 92: 13, \\ 152: 19, \\ 199: 10, \\ 199: 14, \\ 202: 10, \\ 345: 12, \\ 229: 9, \\ \hline \end{array}\right.$ <br> participated <br> 19:5, 279:14 <br> participates <br> 120:6, 195:14 <br> participation <br> 349:2 <br> particular <br> 10:15, 64:10, <br> 151:7, 156:19, <br> 157:12, 157:18, <br> 158:14, 161:17, <br> 162:18, 192:20, <br> 218:14, 259:3, <br> 289:19, 290:15 <br> particularly <br> 155:4, 155:10, <br> 156:15, 213:19, <br> 221:1 <br> parties <br> 10:1, 11:16, <br> 12:6, 15:20, <br> $31: 12,32: 14$, <br> 105:9, 199:7, <br> 396:9 <br> partner <br> 318:4 <br> parts <br> 199:14, 296:20, | 331:21, 348:4, 348:7 <br> pass <br> 138:1, 345:2 <br> passed <br> 154:19 <br> passing <br> 184:10, 233:5, <br> 327:7 <br> past <br> 121:1, 204:14, <br> 239:5 <br> path <br> 336:14 <br> paths <br> 336:15 <br> patience <br> 328:21 <br> patricia <br> 4:12, 51:1, <br> 222:10, 222:11, <br> 222:19 <br> patrol <br> 226:9, 226:11 <br> patterns <br> 155:14, 155:15, <br> 185:9, 201:20 <br> pbis <br> 378:7, 378:10, <br> 385:1, 393:14, <br> 393:22 <br> pc <br> 3:12 <br> pe <br> 81:18, 135:7, <br> 245:21, 277:4, <br> 290:22, 291:4, <br> 291:8, 299:18, <br> 299:22, 379:3, <br> 381:22, 383:10 <br> pediatric <br> 99:12 <br> peer <br> 19:8, 114:17, <br> 313:16, 382:22 <br> peers <br> 227:13, 227:14, 238:22, 277:22, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| ```289:16, 302:4, 317:18, 340:22, 344:16, 344:19, 374:1, 374:5 pencil 295:12 pens 40:3 people 7:19, 7:22, 9:14, 20:22, 128:21, 166:12, 171:18, 172:7, 189:8, 191:1, 200:9, 204:6, 205:7, 209:4, 220:16, 257:13, 375:13, 386:18, 392:7 people's 147:9 per 151:4, 160:4, 287:5 perceived 187:15 perceives 113:4, 148:18 percent 39:17, 44:3, 44:5, 44:7, 64:14, 124:16, 232:11, 232:12, 232:13, 232:15, 232:16, 232:22, 233:2, 233:3, 233:6, 233:11, 235:11, 235:15, 326:19, 342:22, 357:18, 357:19, 358:1, 358:2, 358:11, 358:12, 366:18 percentile 139:3, 172:10 perception 136:11, 146:9, 149:5, 173:15,``` | ```242:22, 245:18, 246:19 perfect 268:14 perfectly 163:5, 192:2, 203:5, 207:7 perform 149:2 performance 16:9, 40:9, 135:22, 137:9, 171:9, 201:15, 207:5 performance-based 172:16 performed 91:3, 109:9, 219:7 performing 112:9, 112:18, 173:10, 177:7 performs 124:11 perhaps 113:16, 145:20 period 64:8, 81:18, 207:13, 284:18, 311:8, 318:14, 322:4 peripheral 143:15, 171:7 permission 78:8 persisted 169:17 person 14:20, 126:19, 165:22, 317:22, 352:22 personable 205:17 personal 111:17, 201:13 personalities 257:10 personally 34:9, 165:3,``` | ```286:21 personnel 370:14 pertaining 91:17 ph 39:21, 52:14, 89:1, 92:22, 130:13, 167:13, 170:22, 172:12, 182:13, 195:12, 242:1, 277:15, 363:16 phasing 219:19 philosophical 200:19 phone 67:5, 295:9 phrase 350:4 phrased 349:13 physical 22:5, 27:18, 65:11, 201:13, 292:4 piano 254:11 pick 14:14, 172:2, 256:13, 331:20, 392:9 picked 327:19 picking 134:3 picture 143:2, 146:22, 172:3 pictures 136:13, 146:13, 171:18 piece 163:20, 166:20, 166:22, 174:12, 174:17, 174:18, 244:6, 301:18,``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| 341:11 <br> planning <br> 17:15, 132:11, <br> 144:11, 346:13 <br> plausible <br> 136:14, 147:10 <br> play <br> 19:6, 210:9, <br> 212:18, 255:6, <br> 317:4, 327:19, <br> 343:7, 345:12, <br> 349:2, 367:7 <br> played <br> 320:4 <br> playful <br> 350:4 <br> playground <br> 135:5, 227:4, <br> 227:19, 242:12 <br> playing <br> 227:5, 227:7, <br> 245:22, 246:7, <br> 246:10, 247:2, <br> 254:11, 291:22, <br> 320:14, 324:16, <br> 327:20, 328:4, <br> 359:10, 359:13 <br> plays <br> 9:15 <br> plaza <br> 3:6 <br> pleasant <br> 373:16 <br> please <br> 8:3, 13:8, <br> 15:9, 22:14, <br> 22:22, 25:15, <br> 26:17, 28:22, <br> 29:11, 29:20, <br> 34:16, 37:7, <br> $37: 12,38: 7$, <br> 39:5, 41:17, <br> 43:10, 44:21, <br> 46:4, 48:3, <br> 48:18, 49:22, <br> 51:21, 55:8, <br> 56:22, 58:1, <br> 62:10, 63:20, |  | $321: 15, \quad 322: 17$, $328: 14, \quad 340: 7$, $344: 12, \quad 350: 3$, $351: 20, \quad 360: 17$, $364: 13$ poised $200: 19, \quad 388: 2$ polite $373: 20$ political $219: 20$ poll $147: 4$ pollyanna $148: 7$ poor $54: 6, \quad 248: 5$ poorly $110: 20$ pop $192: 1$ popular $147: 4$ population $287: 21$ portfolio $334: 11$ portion $223: 11, \quad 353: 16$, $355: 4, \quad 355: 5$ portrayal $187: 7$ portrayed $324: 17$ position $21: 6, ~ 132: 2$, $136: 16, ~ 223: 22$, $250: 11, ~ 336: 2$, $365: 6, ~ 365: 8$ positions $226: 7$ positive $20: 17, ~ 88: 8$, $88: 9, ~ 148: 6$, $181: 4, ~ 205: 15$, $293: 18, ~ 298: 9$, $298: 14, ~ 378: 12$ positives $149: 13, \quad 181: 11$ | ```positivity 149:10 possibilities 118:3 possibility 32:9 possible 126:6, 156:6, 165:11, 167:14, 167:17, 167:18, 189:8, 191:10, 195:16, 206:2, 220:22, 240:16, 363:18 possibly 102:1, 134:17, 165:19, 179:15 post-masters 223:5 poster 269:6 potentially 369:2 practice 135:15 pragmatic 155:15, 178:8 pre-adolescent 187:3 pre-ks 275:2 preconceived 393:1 prefatory 137:17 prefer 7:5, 7:6 preferred 13:2 prefers 332:2, 332:3 prehearing 33:2 preliminary 14:11 premarked 6:3 preparation 66:13, 116:16``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| preparations | 33:15, 138:22, | 54:17, 55:1, | problematic |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 339:16 | 139:4, 148:3, | 55:7, 63:1, | 169:13, 205:6 |
| prepare | 148:4, 150:2, | 72:12, 73:17, | problems |
| 115:7, 116:4, | 153:7, 163:1, | 78:10, 83:19, | 107:19, 108:6, |
| 116:6, 269:1, | 173:20, 179:8, | 88:13, 96:11, | 108:11, 116:14, |
| 290:2, 291:12, | 182:19, 191:6, | 98:13, 109:15, | 116:19, 142:2, |
| 294:8, 357:8 | 205:18, 205:20, | 161:3, 230:6, | 142:3, 143:3, |
| prepared | 210:4, 220:8, | 231:12, 236:19, | 145:2, 150:17, |
| 31:6, 57:8, | 220:9, 221:12, | 251:5, 275:10, | 186:2, 186:3, |
| 70:21, 258:13, | 225:10, 247:9, | 275:11, 276:3, | 194:12, 201:14, |
| 259:4, 310:11, | 265:2, 337:2, | 302:12, 302:13, | 212:16, 213:18, |
| 310:17, 366:7 | 343:1, 347:4, | 336:18, 346:2, | 256:6, 318:3, |
| preparing | 366:13, 373:12, | 346:6, 355:18, | 346:22, 363:11, |
| 30:22, 51:6, | 392:11 | 370:19, 379:20 | 374:5 |
| 115:19, 116:18, | previous | privacy | procedural |
| 312:17 | 90:5, 94:10, | 39:22, 331:2 | 56:5, 74:1, |
| preschool | 94:11, 135:22, | private | 127:19, 241:6, |
| 275:15, 275:16 | 136:21, 216:20, | 17:12, 73:6, | 352:10 |
| present | 232:13, 339:14 | 216:5, 251:13, | procedure |
| 73:7, 82:14, | previously | 370:21, 371:3 | 78:2 |
| 119:2, 151:15, | 135:14, 236:19, | privy | procedures |
| 166:18, 169:21, | 288:1, 319:13, | 196:17, 217:3, | $139: 12,341: 16$ |
| 183:11, 190:21, | 379:11 | 365:18 | proceed |
| 235:22, 327:14, | primarily | probable | 8:3, 25:12 |
| 343:9, 350:7, | 163:18 | 147:8 | proceedings |
| 362:14, 362:15, | primary | probably | 396:5, 396:6 |
| 395:11 | 223:3 | 126:9, 145:3, | process |
| presentation | principal | 148:2, 164:5, | 1:7, 12:5, |
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| 111:11, 164:11 | 53:5, $67: 1$, | 205:19, 210:3, | $35: 2, \quad 36: 4$ |
| presented | 68:16, 73:3, | 266:19, 279:12, | 47:22, 49:19, |
| 80:3, 94:18, | 97:12, 222:20, | 298:4, 313:10, | 56:11, 56:15, |
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| 327:18 | printout | 136:17, 141:11, | 166:3, 244:22, |
| presenting | 188:4, 242:2 | 147:2, 158:11, | 303:18, 312:17, |
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| preserving | 38:9, 38:18, | 209:8, 215:10, | processing |
| 184:9 | 42:10, 43:18, | 218:18, 313:9, | 170:9, 173:8, |
| pressure | 43:19, 44:9, | 323:1, 344:13, | 245:4 |
|  | $45: 2,46: 7$ | $379: 1$ | processor |
| $233: 5$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 46: 8, & 49: 4, \\ 50: 3, & 53: 13, \end{array}$ | problem-solving <br> 148:15, 148:16 | $39: 18$ |
| pretty <br> 11:19, 21:1, | 50:3, 53:13, | 148:15, 148:16 | producing $302: 3$ |
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| productive 174:14 professional 26:18, 131:4, 245:5, 251:5, 275:10, 370:17, 392:22, 396:15 professionals 130:21 professors $250: 20$ <br> profile <br> 141:19, 188:6, 188:7 <br> profiles <br> 214:9 <br> program $\begin{aligned} & 39: 7,219: 1, \\ & 253: 22,265: 16, \end{aligned}$ $371: 1$ <br> programming 27:14 <br> programs <br> 141:12, 205:9 <br> progress $\begin{aligned} & 11: 21, \quad 49: 13, \\ & 63: 22, \quad 64: 5, \\ & 64: 16, \quad 64: 18, \\ & 65: 3, \quad 84: 15, \\ & 84: 18, \quad 84: 21, \\ & 91: 7, \quad 91: 10, \\ & 91: 12, \quad 236: 15, \\ & 237: 5, \quad 280: 20, \\ & 280: 22, \quad 287: 20, \\ & 299: 7, \quad 301: 21, \\ & 337: 12, \quad 342: 19, \\ & 357: 6, \quad 357: 11, \\ & 367: 4, \quad 389: 4 \\ & \text { progressed } \\ & 35: 9,249: 10 \\ & \text { progressing } \\ & 303: 9 \\ & \text { project } \\ & 23: 12, \quad 317: 14, \\ & 317: 22, \quad 327: 11, \\ & 333: 10 \\ & \text { projected } \\ & 136: 10, \quad 190: 13, \end{aligned}$ | 190:17 <br> projects <br> 40:5, 332:20, <br> 333:7, 335:4, <br> 335: 6 <br> prompt <br> 57:11, 342:3 <br> prompted <br> 57:12 <br> prompting <br> 342:7 <br> promptly <br> 110:22, 248:7 <br> prompts <br> 39:21, 64:20, <br> 65:1 <br> proof <br> 35:17 <br> properly <br> 8:14, 16:3, <br> 16:6, 23:17, <br> 51:3, 67:7, <br> 86:11, 97:21, <br> 118:13, 118:16, <br> 305:3, 305:11 <br> proposal <br> 63:3 <br> propose $\begin{aligned} & 43: 20, \quad 45: 11, \\ & 49: 4, \quad 50: 4, \\ & 236: 5, \quad 236: 21 \\ & \text { proposed } \\ & 42: 12, \quad 42: 13, \\ & 43: 21, \quad 46: 20, \\ & 49: 6, \quad 50: 6, \\ & 55: 10, \quad 55: 12, \\ & 63: 4,76: 13, \\ & 104: 7, \quad 231: 15, \\ & 231: 17,231: 18, \\ & 232: 18,232: 19, \\ & 234: 15,236: 7, \\ & 236: 22, \quad 237: 4 \\ & \text { proposes } \\ & 230: 13,240: 13, \\ & 240: 15 \\ & \text { prospect } \\ & 221: 11 \\ & \text { provide } \\ & 35: 7,45: 5, \end{aligned}$ | ```55:15, 56:3, 56:4, 56:20, 58:13, 59:14, 73:22, 84:2, 84:8, 93:17, 94:14, 99:15, 101:5, 102:19, 186:12, 221:14, 261:12, 262:19, 263:22, 287:1, 303:11, 305:15, 351:10, 359:15, 385:12, 386:14, 389:12 provided 41:4, 57:10, 59:11, 69:5, 81:3, 82:19, 85:18, 94:17, 104:3, 184:22, 193:7, 221:21, 241:5, 289:6, 289:12, 312:6, 312:8, 312:20, 321:7, 346:16, 359:18, 377:2 provides 99:16, 185:6 providing 16:16, 41:5, 84:4, 124:8, 202:1, 293:21 proximity 40:7 psychiatric 205:22 psycho-education- al 69:4 psychological 38:3, 48:16, 51:14, 52:17, 58:10, 62:22, 68:7, 69:4, 71:2, 71:11, 73:2, 113:8, 132:13, 153:3, 154:11, 160:4,``` | $\begin{aligned} & 160: 6, \quad 164: 19, \\ & 238: 3, \quad 259: 4, \\ & 282: 14 \\ & \text { psychologist } \\ & 67: 2, \quad 81: 12, \\ & 97: 14, \quad 97: 16, \\ & 130: 8, \quad 130: 12, \\ & 132: 6, \quad 133: 11, \\ & 161: 10, \quad 163: 17, \\ & 194: 6, \quad 194: 16, \\ & 272: 15, \quad 379: 17 \\ & \text { psychologists } \\ & 143: 14 \\ & \text { psychology } \\ & 130: 13, \quad 130: 14, \\ & 130: 16, \quad 130: 20, \\ & 131: 21, \quad 196: 15 \\ & \text { psychometrics } \\ & 157: 20 \\ & \text { public } \\ & 3: 10, \quad 27: 11, \\ & 28: 13, \quad 37: 18, \\ & 78: 13, \quad 241: 5, \\ & 336: 3, \quad 336: 5, \\ & 351: 9 \\ & \text { pull } \\ & 22: 16, \quad 23: 3, \\ & 146: 14, \quad 344: 20 \\ & \text { pull-out } \\ & 48: 22, \quad 49: 8, \\ & 236: 2, \quad 236: 6, \\ & 236: 9 \\ & \text { pulled } \\ & 279: 3, \\ & \text { pupil } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| reconcile | reduce | refrain | regularly |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 32:5, 165:13, | 44:10, 232:21, | 123:16, 178:16 | 223:18, 226:5 |
| 208:17 | 264:1 | refresh | regulation |
| reconvene | reducing | 283:13 | 8:16, 169:8, |
| 46:22, 58:19, | 386:3 | refusal | 178:12 |
| 58:22, 361:18 | redundant | 49:11, 73:10, | reinforce |
| reconvened | 220:19 | 95:15, 96:12, | 244:4 |
| 361:20 | reevaluate | 236:12 | reinforcers |
| record | 135:16 | refuse | 57:10 |
| 13:13, 18:9, | reevaluating | 84:3, 239:15 | reiterate |
| 23:10, 30:14, | 10:7 | refused | 11:3 |
| 30:15, 127:19, | reevaluation | 20:11, 46:15, | reiterated |
| 183:14, 222:18, | 10:10, 62:13, | 46:17, 47:4, | 151:2 |
| 225:15, 250:9, | 135:13 | 47:10, 49:7, | relate |
| 263:6, 274:14, | refer | 84:1, 84:2, | 24:6, 74:19, |
| 302:17, 315:15, | 55:21, 176:21, | 84:5, 84:8, | 230:1, 234:9, |
| 335:22, 370:3, | 228:1, 270:7 | 102:15, 236:9, | 237:10, 323:7 |
| 396:5 | reference | 292:17 | related |
| record-keeping | 382:15, 384:21, | regard | 24:1, 27:18, |
| 258:16 | 386:3 | 17:6, 54:17, | 46:18, 46:21, |
| recorded | referencing | 70:2, 85:9, | 54:3, 152:15, |
| 396:5 | 94:7, 94:18, | 96:12, 99:1, | 258:19, 281:12, |
| recording | $122: 19,122: 20$ | 160:12, 170:4, | $282: 8, \quad 396: 9$ |
| 55:3, 92:14, | referral | 193:5, 203:20, | relates |
| $288: 16,312: 1,$ | 21:13, 37:15, | 242:9, 260:18, | 60:21, 114:10 |
| $312: 20, \quad 320: 3,$ | 37:17, 63:6, | $330: 6, \quad 341: 17,$ | relating |
| $396: 7$ | 63:7, 63:18, | $390: 11$ | 104:1, 312:7, |
| records | 80:19, 85:4, | regarding | 312:18 |
| 8:21, 30:15, | 242:6, 278:11, | 8:22, 15:21, | relation |
| 30:16, 196:16, | 314:5 | 20:14, 54:10, | 142:11, 272:6 |
| 238:5, 294:20 | referrals | 99:22, 113:5, | relationship |
| recount | 63:13, 223:15, | 169:8, 201:9, | $318: 9,343: 22,$ |
| 309:14 | 228:4, 242:4, | 202:13, 225:4, | $344: 1, \quad 367: 6,$ |
| recounted | 242:9, 242:10, | 229:10, 258:7, | $367: 7, \quad 379: 20$ |
| $242: 21$ | $242: 18, \quad 245: 10,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 279: 15, \quad 309: 5 \\ & \text { reqards } \end{aligned}$ | relationships |
| red <br> 76.10, 76.21 | $278: 10$ | regards $94: 1, \quad 170: 12,$ | $\begin{aligned} & 111: 17, \quad 317: 17, \\ & 210.6 \end{aligned}$ |
| $\begin{aligned} & 76: 10, \quad 76: 21, \\ & 78: 5,78: 9, \end{aligned}$ | referred $55: 12, \quad 104: 14$, | $186: 17, \quad 279: 1$ | $318: 6, ~ 373: 19$ release |
| $78: 11,78: 16,$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ll} 55: 12, & 104: 14, \\ 278: 4, & 313: 19 \end{array}\right.$ | registered | release $205: 21$ |
| 242:20, 277:22 | referring | $396: 15$ | released |
| redirect | 202:16 | registering | 255:4 |
| 4:18, 5:9, | refers | 171:20 | relevance |
| 127:21, 222:5, | 61:6 | regular | 8:7, 60:11, |
| 249:14, 272:20, | reflect | 23:22, 208:7, | 115:12, 294:16 |
| 272:22, 314:21, | 96:19, 259:15 | 208:8, 251:8, | relevant |
| $314: 22,367: 22$, $368: 2,394: 18$, | reflected | $262: 7,262: 10$, $262: 12, ~ 262: 20, ~$ | 11:16, 44:17, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 368: 2, \quad 394: 18, \\ & 394: 19 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 149:13 } \\ & \text { refocus } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 262: 12,262: 20, \\ & 373: 5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 53: 8, \quad 115: 16, \\ & 118: 1, \quad 146: 14, \end{aligned}$ |
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| ```294:22, 295:1 reliable 17:22 reliably 23:10 relied 301:18 relies 18:6 rely 8:14, 18:3, 18:14 remain 59:13, 357:14 remained 74:14 remains 17:5 remarkable 170:11, 374:19 remember 76:19, 88:18, 89:3, 106:22, 119:18, 161:14, 161:18, 180:4, 180:12, 224:13, 239:14, 247:3, 248:2, 257:3, 265:20, 265:22, 266:2, 266:5, 266:7, 266:9, 266:13, 268:6, 268:16, 269:4, 269:5, 269:6, 269:8, 269:16, 272:1, 273:16, 288:16, 289:2, 298:10, 298:13, 299:5, 300:22, 309:22, 311:5, 311:7, 324:20, 338:7, 338:10, 346:19, 349:20, 349:22, 350:3, 361:3, 377:6, 382:10 remembering 272:8, 350:1``` |  |  | ```389:19 represented 86:17, 376:20 request 7:20, 23:16, 31:4, 34:16, 55:4, 58:4, 58:6, 62:17, 73:19, 94:22, 95:13, 97:4, 103:22, 104:5, 106:3, 106:4, 106:18, 107:3, 107:6, 118:13, 119:22, 128:4, 176:11, 236:2, 236:6, 287:5, 287:7, 347:10 requested 46:17, 46:19, 47:5, 47:21, 62:16, 63:5, 81:5, 156:4, 239:22, 281:20, 312:6, 312:16, 368:4, 368:11, 368:13 requesting 48:22, 94:2, 95:9, 347:10 requests 21:13, 104:8 require 8:12, 19:14, 57:5, 64:20, 99:3, 101:14, 198:6, 237:17, 243:18, 351:6, 356:21 required 17:8, 23:19, 24:15, 59:17, 64:22, 70:12, 80:2, 100:22, 101:11, 102:6, 109:2, 299:8, 299:12, 301:15, 336:14``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | ```322:11, 326:14, 378:5, 381:16 scheduled 57:12, 92:2, 352:14 school's 13:4, 13:10 schoolophobe 195:12 schools 3:10, 23:21, 25:18, 27:11, 28:13, 78:13, 177:15, 241:5, 245:1, 279:22, 336:3, 336:5, 351:10, 372:9 schoolteachers 208:19 science 64:17, 65:10, 97:17, 238:4, 249:2, 274:20, 315:17, 316:3, 316:4, 316:6, 317:6, 317:8, 326:16 score 139:3, 140:19, 140:22, 157:15, 158:6, 167:12, 167:14, 167:19, 168:6, 171:12, 171:13, 171:14, 171:16, 172:10, 172:21, 173:10, 174:9, 174:22, 176:5, 179:14, 179:15, 185:17, 186:20, 192:14, 214:22, 233:6 scored 141:12, 149:10, 154:22, 168:4, 332:7 scores 44:3, 44:6, 71:5, 71:7,``` | ```94:3, 94:5, 136:2, 140:12, 141:1, 142:16, 142:20, 144:17, 144:19, 144:20, 155:1, 157:13, 157:16, 157:17, 157:19, 157:22, 158:2, 158:4, 158:10, 158:13, 158:15, 158:16, 162:12, 176:7, 177:5, 182:20, 186:19, 187:16, 187:17, 192:16, 202:19, 203:2, 203:3, 203:6, 203:7, 213:8, 215:2, 218:3, 218:11, 232:11, 326:19, 386:7 scoring 157:8, 157:9, 202:13, 219:1 scoyoc's 59:21, 89:4, 98:15, 103:11, 119:13, 244:8, 264:9, 286:5, 287:5, 325:11 scql 184:12, 185:4 screening 48:17, 49:7, 236:8 scroll 342:6, 342:12 scrunched 349:17 scrutiny 102:18 seamless 363:18 seat 382:3, 384:11, 384:18, 384:19, 385:2 seated 129:21``` | ```sebacco 92:22, 97:16 second 37:10, 76:17, 138:20, 150:5, 150:11, 154:1, 155:2, 195:2, 208:3, 208:8, 225:14, 228:8, 272:12, 276:1, 278:11, 285:5, 299:18, 300:3, 302:13, 328:15, 349:7, 349:9, 357:21, 375:19, 382:7 second-parties 164:7 second-to-last 54:2, 235:1 secondhand 122:7 section 43:4, 49:9, 104:8, 104:15, 104:16, 163:13, 173:16, 180:13, 182:21, 236:11, 244:5 sections 138:4 see 7:10, 15:4, 18:9, 20:4, 78:21, 102:10, 109:3, 115:16, 140:16, 159:4, 165:6, 165:21, 166:20, 173:11, 182:19, 182:21, 183:12, 185:16, 186:14, 188:5, 188:9, 190:22, 192:13, 196:18, 215:8, 226:4, 226:5, 226:14, 226:18, 229:2, 239:1, 241:12,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | $\begin{aligned} & 382: 13, \quad 383: 1 \\ & \text { seen } \\ & 11: 4, \quad 17: 14, \\ & 58: 2, \quad 88: 16, \\ & 102: 14, \quad 149: 4, \\ & 161: 13, \quad 167: 20, \\ & 167: 22, \quad 172: 2, \\ & 198: 7, \quad 204: 6, \\ & 247: 5, \quad 247: 20, \\ & 247: 21, \quad 277: 10, \\ & 282: 21, \quad 287: 19, \\ & 300: 18, \quad 329: 22, \\ & 333: 5, \quad 343: 8, \\ & 356: 9, \quad 374: 4 \\ & \text { sees } \\ & 91: 2 \\ & \text { segues } \\ & 168: 16 \\ & \text { seldom } \\ & 148: 14 \\ & \text { self-biting } \\ & 219: 18 \\ & \text { self-confidence } \\ & 178: 13 \\ & \text { self-consciousne- } \\ & \text { ss } \\ & 189: 14 \\ & \text { self-control } \\ & 144: 3, \quad 178: 11 \\ & \text { self-esteem } \\ & 149: 14, \quad 192: 3 \\ & \text { self-harm } \\ & 132: 9 \\ & \text { self-monitor } \\ & 143: 19 \\ & \text { self-monitoring } \\ & 144: 14, \quad 235: 10, \\ & 235: 15 \\ & \text { self-possessed } \\ & 145: 8 \\ & \text { self-rated } \\ & 142: 17 \\ & \text { self-regulate } \\ & 137: 2, \quad 145: 8 \\ & \text { self-regulation } \\ & 40: 2,143: 16, \\ & 144: 21, \quad 148: 20, \\ & 151: 12 \end{aligned}$ | ```self-regulations 145:5 self-report 186:22 semester 19:3, 226:11, 302:10, 302:16 send 59:8, 92:15, 219:1, 313:18, 352:4 sending 205:8 sense 151:6, 198:2, 198:4, 346:14, 392:3 sensitivity 183:7 sensory 162:19, 168:13, 183:7, 185:11, 186:4 sent 57:9, 59:9, 92:7, 217:21, 225:11, 256:19, 269:5, 269:17, 313:16 sentence 136:11, 149:1, 149:7 sentences 88:11, 149:8, 174:15, 209:13, 209:18, 209:21, 211:17, 211:18, 212:1, 212:11 separate 157:11, 252:21, 252:22, 369:1 separately 142:16 september 37:5, 42:8, 43:16, 80:22, 109:14, 230:2, 231:9, 249:5,``` |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| setting | settlements | 256:10, 262:18, | short-term |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20:10, 22:2, | 34:5 | 283:9, 299:14, | 235:12 |
| 28:19, 29:4, | settling | 299:16, 299:21, | shortcomings |
| 72:1, 91:2, | 84:6, 238:22 | 300:3, 300:4, | 149:19 |
| 91:17, 135:1, | seven | 304:18, 312:2, | shorted |
| 178:21, 197:19, | 176:22, 181:4, | $312: 3,312: 9$, | 75:20, 78:22 |
| 202:2, 282:22, | 251:9 | 324:20, $344: 5$, | shortened |
| 286:7, 288:14, | several | 367:18, 378:20, | 39:16, 333:1, |
| 307:10 | 47:10, 144:19, | 378:22, 379:2, | 378:9, 381:16, |
| settings | 156:12, 156:20, | 379:14, 379:18, | 384:22 |
| 209:5, 299:5, | 162:14, 166:11, | 379:19, 380:9, | shortly |
| 372:21 | 195:20, 195:21, | 380:14, 380:19, | 161:1 |
| settle | 243:9, 243:10, | 381:10, 381:14, | should |
| 5:3, 97:17, | 383:6 | 381:15, 381:18, | 7:3, 7:14, |
| 315:6, 315:12, | severe | 382:1, 382:5, | 19:5, 95:17, |
| 315:13, 315:16, | 366:13 | 382:8, 382:12, | $100: 20,129: 12,$ |
| 315:17, 315:21, | shake | 382:13, 382:20, | $139: 6,141: 15,$ |
| $316: 8,316: 10$, | 384:1 | 383:2, 383:6, | 158:12, 183:17, |
| 316:13, 316:15, | shall | 383:22, 384:9, | 194:9, 203:10, |
| 316:20, 317:3, | 232:1 | 384:14, 384:20, | 207:3, 215:14, |
| 317:7, 317:12, | share | 385:10, 385:18, | 217:7, 309:22, |
| 317:19, 318:8, | 104:21, 143:9, | $\begin{array}{lll}386: 1, & 393: 1, \\ 393: 2, & 393: 3,\end{array}$ | 347:17, 359:7, |
| 318:12, 318:19, | 175:19, 181:21, | $393: 2,393: 3$, $393: 5,393: 10$, | 385:11 |
| 319:7, 319:10, | 205:2, 233:13, | 393:5, 393:10, | shoulder |
| $319: 16, ~ 319: 18$, $319: 21, ~$ | 238:18, 242:21, | 394:13 <br> she's | 134:19 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}319: 21, & 320: 2, \\ 320: 18, & 320: 21\end{array}$ | 243:15, 244:2, | she's 114:17, 147:18 | shouldn't |
| 320:18, 320:21, | 263:15, 293:7, | $\begin{aligned} & 114: 17, \quad 147: 18, \\ & 313: 9, \quad 382: 6, \end{aligned}$ | $15: 22, \quad 350: 10$ |
| 321:1, 321:5, | $295: 19,303: 15,$ | $\begin{array}{ll} 313: 9, & 382: 6, \\ 386: 9, & 392: 18 \end{array}$ | show |
| $321: 9$, $323: 10,11$, $323: 13$, | $321: 3,331: 13,$ | 386:9, 392:18 <br> sheet | 9:7, 16:20, |
| $323: 10$, $323: 16,13$, $323: 19$, | $343: 6, \quad 359: 3,$ | sheet | 24:4, 138:21, |
| $\begin{array}{ll}323: 16, & 323: 19, \\ 323: 22, & 324: 4,\end{array}$ | 366:15, 376:16, | $\begin{array}{ll} 46: 6, & 48: 20, \\ 50: 3, & 57: 19, \end{array}$ | 186:17, 194:22, |
| $\begin{array}{ll} 323: 22, & 324: 4, \\ 324: 10, & 324: 13 \end{array}$ | 386:22, 391:8 | $\begin{aligned} & 50: 3, \quad 57: 19, \\ & 82: 22, \quad 83: 12, \end{aligned}$ | 202:18, 204:16, |
| $325: 6, \quad 325: 12,$ | shared $87: 18, \quad 297: 21$ | $\begin{array}{lll}82: 22, & 83: 12, \\ 180: 4, & 280: 11\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 213: 7, \quad 214: 2, \\ & 268: 22, \quad 299: 3, \end{aligned}$ |
| 325:15, 325:20, | $324: 8,349: 6,$ | sheets | $341: 9,342: 6,$ |
| $325: 22,326: 3$, | $387: 9, \quad 388: 1$ | 57:9, 85:22, | $356: 12$ |
| 326:10, 326:12, | she | 112:16, 355:15 | showed |
| 327:2, 328:12, | $9: 15,9: 16$ | shelby | 145:15, 171:11, |
| $\begin{array}{ll}328: 16, & 329: 7, \\ 329: 10, & 329: 14,\end{array}$ | $9: 17,9: 18,$ | $67: 3, \quad 97: 12,$ | 203:16, 260:13, |
| $329: 10, ~ 329: 14, ~$ $329: 18,329: 20$, | $60: 14, \quad 76: 18,$ | $352: 11$ | 269:18 |
| $329: 18, ~ 329: 20, ~$ $330: 1,330: 4$, | 77:21, 81:17, | shift | showing |
| $\begin{array}{lll}330: 1, & 330: 4, \\ 330: 7, & 330: 11,\end{array}$ | 82:13, 82:16, | 112:18, 143:22 | 64:13, 195:6, |
| 330:7, 330:11, | 87:13, 90:10, | shirley | 195:8, 242:3, |
| $\begin{aligned} & 331: 14, ~ 333: 3, \\ & 334: 4, \quad 334: 19, \end{aligned}$ | 90:14, 90:17, | 2:4 | 279:2, 320:14, |
| $334: 4,334: 19$, $335: 1,335: 5$, | 90:21, 116:4, | short | 358:22 |
| $335: 1$, $335: 11, ~ 390: 5$, | 128:18, 147:18, | 158:11, 180:18, | shows |
| $\begin{aligned} & 335: 11, \quad 390: 3 \\ & \text { settlement } \end{aligned}$ | 213:3, 248:2, | $180: 19,206: 5$ | 64:16, 155:3, |
| $33: 3$ | 248:19, 248:20, | 226:21, 316:21 | 176:18, 218:10, |
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| 357:17 | 384:12, 386:9 | sit | 171:12, 175:9, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| shut | silent | 7:6, 100:4, | 178:8, 180:6, |
| 7:22, 320:8, | 229:7 | 233:8, 342:5 | 181:5, 183:20, |
| 329:3 | silly | sits | 234:17, 252:10, |
| shuts | 239:3 | 272:6 | 267:12, 267:20, |
| 330:22 | similar | sitting | 273:10, 331:21, |
| side | 164:18, 171:20, | 89:9, 106:16, | 373:3, 373:7, |
| 141:3 | 179:8 | 147:21, 350:12, | 373:8, 374:3, |
| side-by-side | simple | 371:8, 377:7 | 374:9 |
| 183:11 | 11:19 | situation | slack |
| sign | simple-to-read | 11:17, 17:11, | 77:13 |
| 306:9 | 155:2 | 35:22, 55:18, | sld |
| sign-in | simpler | 162:7, 165:22, | 40:18 |
| 46:6, 48:20, | 13:2 | 172:1, 172:4, | slept |
| 50:2, 82:22, | simplest | 190:11, 272:4, | 148:1 |
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| ```181:12 star 358:19 start 21:18, 23:11, 37:1, 76:15, 77:17, 86:6, 194:1, 210:8, 220:5, 229:15, 276:18, 331:1, 346:13, 384:13 started 95:3, 144:5, 159:1, 211:13, 224:14, 239:3, 251:7, 268:18, 295:22, 341:18, 379:3, 380:16, 383:4 starting 32:6, 189:13, 249:7 starts 198:9, 212:2, 385:10 state 35:12, 36:6, 64:20, 77:20, 103:12, 154:3, 164:1, 194:7, 215:22, 216:2, 216:6, 216:8, 306:22, 352:7 stated 20:19, 120:16, 122:15, 126:18 statement 15:10, 21:16, 24:17, 24:18, 25:2, 74:11, 215:22 statements 4:3, 15:7, 90:15, \(90: 21\) states 89:14, 112:9, 115:6, 122:14, 123:21, 173:18,``` | ```196:9, 298:18, 308:4, 325:17, 350:15, 388:12, 388:13 stating 59:10, 108:4, 111:15, 116:3 statistical 209:1 statistics 209:7 status 165:18, 201:9 stay 10:14, 11:4, 11:14, 11:22, 47:3, 234:20, 347:17, 382:1, 382:6, 383:12 stay-put 55:22, 306:5 stayed 340:14 staying 126:15, 195:17, 195:22, 293:1 stems 273:6 step 16:17, 358:12 stephanie 50:22 stephen 97:16 stepped 324:14, 380:15 steps 15:16, 247:4 stereotype 168:9, 183:6, 185:9, 201:20 stick 317:22 sticking 205:19 stifled 377:5, 377:11 still 17:3, 23:8,``` | ```104:17, 241:19, 302:3, 306:8, 306:16, 308:14, 308:15, 353:20, 358:3 stipulated 6:3 stomach 246:2, 246:21 stood 247:6 stop 117:21 stopped 225:19, 239:6, 246:17 stopping 15:4 stories 254:10 story 253:17 straight 220:2, 255:16, 263:8 strain 272:7 strategies 64:21, 65:1, 191:19, 191:22, 268:22, 269:2, 270:13, 308:7 strategy 42:16, 230:16, 309:19, 310:16 stray 209:6 street 3:13 strength 255:16, 301:4, 301:11 strengths 255:13, 317:10 stress 189:17, 191:20, 331:8, 375:15, 375:16, 379:10,``` | ```386:3, 386:13 stressed 321:20, 375:12, 375:14, 384:1, 384:4, 386:1, 386:10, 386:11 strong 173:1, 174:9, 257:10 strongest 301:18, 330:9, 390:16 strongly 187:15, 369:4, 392:7 structured 204:17 struggle 271:16, 318:4 struggled 382:1 struggles 230:21 struggling 42:20, 382:6, 383:12 stuck 314:6 student 8:14, 21:16, 23:4, 23:8, 23:15, 23:18, 28:9, 38:21, 41:5, 45:7, 45:22, 53:2, 53:3, 63:11, 63:12, 80:7, 80:8, 80:9, 88:1, 123:7, 132:13, 135:18, 139:6, 149:8, 155:6, 215:7, 215:9, 220:14, 240:15, 241:12, 243:18, 247:10, 247:17, 255:17, 262:21, 276:9, 281:1, 291:20,``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  |  | ```345:9 sudden 246:10 suddenly 177:21 suffer 263:18 suffers 254:19 sufficient 84:21, 91:12 suggest 215:18, 215:20, 218:14 suggested 241:16, 267:2 suggesting 108:1 suggests 195:18 suicide 371:12 suit 173:1, 174:9 suite 3:6, 3:14 summarize 178:4 summarizes 175:4 summary 38:9, 50:12, 51:13, 51:22, 66:8, 67:15, 68:19, 71:19, 72:4, 73:18, 89:14, 123:21, 135:22, 150:22, 153:2, 153:10, 160:3, 160:5, 193:15, 203:19, 238:1, 260:9, 298:17, 325:17, 325:19, 350:15, 355:8, 355:14, 355:15, 385:8, 388:13 summed 71: 6``` | summer <br> 59:2, 224:14, <br> 224:15, 279:11 <br> summertime <br> 316:16 <br> super <br> 375:11, 375:13 superior <br> 171:16, 172:9, <br> 173:12, 174:7, 174:8 <br> superordinate $144: 9$ <br> supervisor <br> 50:19, 67:4, 97:13 <br> supplemental <br> 220:18 <br> supplementary <br> 24:2 <br> support <br> 45:6, 69:18, <br> 111:12, 194:17, <br> 196:6, 197:21, <br> 307:12, 337:13, <br> 337:14, 371:15, <br> 378:13, 385:12, <br> 385:14, 385:15 <br> supported <br> 204:12 <br> supporting <br> 396:7 <br> supports <br> 264:1, 264:4, <br> 264:5, 307:11, <br> 386:11, 386:15, <br> 390:16, 390:22 <br> suppose <br> 13:19 <br> supposed <br> 163:17, 187:17, <br> 326:21 <br> sure $\begin{aligned} & 12: 17, \quad 19: 8, \\ & 19: 10, \quad 41: 2, \\ & 78: 4, \quad 110: 5, \\ & 113: 19, \quad 119: 19, \\ & 120: 8, \quad 124: 15, \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 317: 4 \\ & \text { teenager } \\ & 191: 13 \\ & \text { tell } \\ & 12: 2, \quad 14: 4, \\ & 14: 6,15: 18, \\ & 26: 17, \quad 28: 22, \\ & 29: 20, \quad 34: 16, \\ & 111: 7, \quad 112: 6, \\ & 122: 11, \quad 141: 21, \\ & 181: 13, \quad 190: 1, \\ & 193: 2, \quad 210: 4, \\ & 253: 17, \quad 254: 10, \\ & 263: 6,268: 10, \\ & 322: 7, \quad 332: 9, \\ & 343: 5,364: 5, \\ & 383: 5, \quad 395: 13 \\ & \text { telling } \\ & 77: 17, \quad 143: 21 \\ & \text { ten } \\ & 87: 16, \quad 89: 6, \\ & 208: 18, \quad 350: 13, \\ & 378: 9 \\ & \text { tended } \\ & 147: 11 \\ & \text { tendency } \\ & 187: 12, \quad 201: 12, \\ & 356: 15 \\ & \text { tends } \\ & 317: 22 \\ & \text { tenor } \\ & 60: 2 \\ & \text { tension } \\ & 286: 9, \quad 367: 11 \\ & \text { term } \\ & 208: 13, \quad 219: 20, \\ & 220: 10 \\ & \text { terminate } \\ & 21: 12, \quad 104: 12 \\ & \text { terminating } \\ & 16: 11 \\ & \text { termination } \\ & 56: 13 \\ & \text { terms } \\ & 128: 1, \quad 128: 10, \\ & 137: 3, \quad 195: 15 \\ & \text { terrible } \\ & 148: 2 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```348:2, 349:4, 353:19, 369:16, 387:19 testify 18:22, 125:1 testifying 123:14, 394:13 testimony 15:15, 18:9, 20:7, 20:16, 100:14, 100:19, 104:3, 200:12 testing 40:1, 46:16, 47:5, 94:9, 95:10, 95:21, 96:15, 98:13, 124:7, 124:10, 132:7, 132:8, 146:16, 158:18, 158:19, 164:9, 165:5, 166:17, 169:6, 169:9, 170:3, 171:6, 178:17, 182:16, 189:5, 195:9, 200:5, 216:15, 219:6, 220:19, 221:6, 221:12 testings 162:3 tests 44:1, 44:3, 84:9, 136:4, 139:11, 145:12, 149:6, 150:9, 162:1, 162:8, 162:13, 162:17, 162:20, 165:3, 166:8, 172:17, 190:19, 191:2, 202:16, 269:1 th 43:16, 65:20, 67:20, 72:9, 82:5, 85:19, 85:20, 86:2, 86:7, 92:3,``` |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| therapy | 141:16, 142:7, | 263:19, 267:2, | 206:5, 218:17, |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27:18, 27:19, | 146:18, 152:14, | 267:16, 309:8, | 306:7, 365:21 |
| 62:4, 62:7, | 158:8, 159:7, | 314:7, 324:18, | thought |
| 236:8, 268:4 | 159:15, 164:18, | 331:7, 331:14, | 134:12, 162:4, |
| there's | 171:17, 172:5, | 333:13, 345:10, | 166:3, 182:2, |
| 15:1, 17:3, | 172:16, 176:19, | 347:2, 354:6, | 187:6, 228:18, |
| 30:15, 33:12, | 176:20, 176:22, | 368:22, 381:19, | 247:8, 310:22, |
| 36:12, 36:14, | 177:3, 179:17, | 381:21, 392:22 | 320:4, 324:17, |
| 89:1, 103:19, | 182:20, 185:1, | things | $367: 8,370: 1$, |
| 117:18, 128:18, | 185:16, 186:6, | 11:10, 12:15, | 381:17, 385:5 |
| 136:16, 141:10, | 189:10, 190:4, | 14:2, 105:13, | thoughts |
| 142:9, 146:19, | 191:7, 193:3, | 125:15, 125:21, | 144:8, 149:9, |
| 147:21, 157:18, | 193:9, 199:1, | 126:4, 134:2, | 168:12, 173:19, |
| 166:20, 167:11, | 205:22, 214:6, | 137:9, 139:8, | 175:19, 297:21, |
| 168:6, 176:17, | 230:1, 233:21, | 144:6, 149:20, | 324:8, 349:6, |
| 180:15, 181:13, | 234:8, 237:9, | 155:9, 156:12, | 364:16, 387:22 |
| 196:5, 196:6, | 242:9, 242:10, | 162:5, 165:13, | threat |
| 203:1, 246:8, | 249:9, 256:11, | 170:8, 177:3, | 371:12 |
| 247:9, 277:4, | 258:10, 258:18, | 179:10, 181:4, | threatening |
| 285:13, 287:6, | 264:5, 280:7, | 181:10, 183:20, | 118:5, 118:6 |
| 295:17, 322:18, | 281:12, 287:18, | 187:20, 188:13, | threats |
| 326:13, 355:12, | 288:1, 323:6, | 189:10, 191:5, | 35:12, 35:15 |
| 358:21, 374:22, | 323:7, 324:21, | 192:17, 192:20, | three |
| 375:12, 386:18 | 331:1, 333:9, | 196:17, 198:10, | 16:22, 63:16, |
| thereafter | 353:22, 354:1, | 212:21, 245:15, | 73:2, 86:3, |
| 271:8 | 358:10, 387:13 | 249:9, 255:3, | 107:1, 135:14, |
| therefore | they're | 255:6, 258:16, | 135:16, 137:4, |
| 8:11, 8:16, | 13:18, 102:19, | 263:19, 267:19, | 140:6, 140:7, |
| 310:2, 362:16 | 145:3, 146:13, | 270:18, 272:6, | 153:12, 169:6, |
| these | 161:15, 171:17, | 272:7, 273:13, | 182:9, 184:12, |
| 11:16, 19:13, | 245:3, 256:12, | 281:2, 330:14, | 185:1, 194:2, |
| 21:4, 22:1, | 300:1, 300:2, | 331:1, 333:9, | 228:4, 251:14, |
| 24:5, 30:12, | 306:12, 330:18, | 333:21, 334:10, | 251:18, 260:10, |
| 30:18, 37:4, | $331: 6,331: 16$, | 345:17, 363:15 | 278:9, 283:8, |
| 44:21, 46:5, | 334:13, 350:12 | thinking | 283:20, 297:13, |
| 53:1, 53:2, | thin | 144:11, 377:7, | 333:9, 343:14, |
| 58:2, 62:2, | 131:1 | 391:1 | 375:7, 390:20 |
| 62:11, 63:21, | thing | third | threshold |
| 71:5, 71:22, | 12:3, 14:13, | 81:18, 153:1, | $155: 17$ |
| 72:1, 72:4, | 27:19, 134:13, | 160:2, 251:10, | through |
| 84:14, 90:22, | 134:20, 147:7, | 260:10, 273:15, | 9:3, 12:3, |
| 91:7, 91:15, | 148:20, 150:11, | 278:13, 285:6, | 35:9, 51:16, |
| 91:16, 102:15, | 151:7, 155:20, | 322:3, 360:14, | 53:8, 68:4, |
| 102:19, 102:20, | 182:1, 189:20, | 375:7 | 69:21, 81:4, |
| 112:14, 112:19, | 190:2, 192:1, | thoroughly | 90:18, 101:5, |
| 116:19, 118:6, | 196:3, 205:9, | 90:3, 198:17, | 105:12, 108:2, |
| 137:19, 137:22, | 205:10, 215:3, | $387: 16$ | $130: 20,137: 13,$ |
| 141:4, 141:13, | 218:22, 243:3, | though 134:16, 145:1, | 143:18, 144:9, |
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|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 329: 4, \quad 329: 5, \\ & 393: 8 \\ & \text { took } \\ & 18: 15, \quad 120: 17, \\ & 121: 7, \quad 137: 20, \\ & 165: 3, \quad 179: 19, \\ & 223: 19, \quad 227: 3, \\ & 230: 2, \quad 238: 20, \\ & 242: 9, \quad 242: 10, \\ & 256: 18, \quad 290: 8, \\ & 292: 1, \quad 295: 13, \\ & 311: 7 \\ & \text { tools } \\ & 40: 2, \quad 41: 3 \\ & \text { top } \\ & 95: 17, \quad 141: 2, \\ & 167: 16, \quad 211: 6, \\ & 268: 7, \quad 338: 9, \\ & 377: 19 \\ & \text { total } \\ & 174: 4, \quad 174: 13, \\ & 265: 6 \\ & \text { touched } \\ & 153: 22, \quad 215: 13, \\ & 226: 2, \quad 382: 21 \\ & \text { towards } \\ & 326: 4 \\ & \text { track } \\ & 126: 15, \quad 337: 11, \\ & 342: 16 \\ & \text { tracy } \\ & 5: 6, \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| ```214:21, 262:2, 285:1, 287:11, 307:10, 330:6, 356:6, 371:11, 385:17 underlying 103:19 understand 32:22, 33:12, 35:16, 60:12, 77:3, 83:4, 83:15, 136:12, 156:1, 178:7, 212:17, 225:7, 225:9, 225:18, 225:21, 266:15, 305:14, 308:9, 364:7, 364:20 understanding 10:16, 10:17, 39:2, 42:18, 53:7, 55:2, 58:9, 68:21, 70:14, 73:18, 173:19, 178:14, 225:3, 225:6, 230:19, 253:1, 282:4, 339:1, 353:11, 389:7 understands 10:19 understood 33:18, 41:3, 353:5 unduly 169:14 unfinished 57:20 unfolds 269:20 unheard 221:8 university 130:15, 130:16, 250:21 unless 12:5, 13:21 unnecessarily 303:22``` | ```unresolved 150:1 unstructured 242:11, 300:1 unsuccessful 364:13 unsure 176:10, 314:12 untenable 17:11 until 94:2, 279:12, 395:19 unusual 148:20, 168:13, 186:4, 378:4 unusually 141:8, 393:11 up-to-date 202:1 update 133:18, 154:11, 154:14, 154:17, 156:1, 160:6 updated 68:7, 68:10, 71:2, 71:16, 73:1, 139:20, 139:21, 282:14 upon 381:14 upright 349:18 upset 290:17, 295:7, 322:5, 365:12, 365:22, 366:1 usb 313:4 use 49:10, 72:21, 75:22, 107:18, 108:5, 108:9, 111:19, 157:18, 167:21, 168:9, 168:11, 168:14, 175:18, 175:21, 180:15, 187:5,``` |  | uva <br> $336: 9, \quad 336: 14$ <br>  <br> valid <br> 98:20, 157:2 <br> validity <br> $141: 15, \quad 142: 5$, <br> $152: 5, \quad 183: 4$, <br> $218: 22, \quad 219: 3$, <br> $221: 10$ <br> value <br> $179: 19, \quad 192: 18$ <br> valued <br> $286: 18, \quad 286: 21$ <br> variable <br> $212: 13$ <br> variety <br> $30: 12, \quad 166: 5$ <br> various <br> $8: 6,17: 1$, <br> $209: 7, \quad 214: 11$, <br> $221: 8$ <br> vary <br> $337: 9$ <br> varying <br> $171: 11$ <br> vdoe <br> $17: 2, \quad 17: 8$, <br> $36: 16$ <br> vehicles <br> $359: 19$ <br> vein <br> $12: 19, \quad 129: 6$ <br> vengeance <br> $148: 10$ <br> verbal <br> $39: 20, \quad 138: 22$, <br> $175: 17$ <br> verbalization <br> $168: 12$ <br> verbally <br> $175: 10$ <br> verbatim <br> $116: 1, \quad 177: 13$, <br> $211: 7, \quad 251: 3$ <br> version <br> $142: 17$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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|  | ```video 246:6, 246:8, 246:22, 247:5, 291:22, 292:2, 345:6, 345:7 videos 243:1 videotaping 322:13 view 95:12, 187:13, 243:2 views 113:5, 143:2 violate 34:19 virginia 1:1, 1:14, 2:5, \(3: 7,3: 15,23: 7\), 26:5, 130:20, 250:18, 250:20, 250:21 virtually 165:6, 165:11 visibly 384:1, 384:4 visio-spatial 173:7 visit 65:17, 360:17 visits 360:8, 361:6, 362:18 visual 57:10, 175:16 visually 165:4, 301:12 visuals 271:1 vitae 26:15, 131:2 voice 270:3, 349:17 voicemail 311:19, 312:5, 312:19, 312:21 volume 1:12, 26:14,``` | $\qquad$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
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