

From: DMSchaefer@fcps.edu
To: [Strong, Jane](#); [Johnson, Teresa L](#)
Cc: [John Cafferky \(jcafferky@blankeith.com\)](#); [Pearson, Jay W.](#)
Subject: Fwd: IEE price cap question
Date: Sunday, September 29, 2019 9:30:14 PM
Attachments: [image003.png](#)
[ATT00001.htm](#)
[ATT00002.htm](#)
[ATT00003.htm](#)
[ATT00004.htm](#)
[ATT00005.htm](#)
[KTEA Suzanne Gowe list.pdf](#)
[ATT00006.htm](#)

FYI.

I'd like to treat this as a FOIA request (paragraph after the chart). We would need to pull invoices for two fiscal years and would need to redact specific student data on every single one.

Her berating of Jen over IEE rates is becoming ridiculous, and is simply further fodder for the VDOE complaint and/or OCR complaint she's getting ready to file over IEEs.

I'd like to shut this down but I know no matter what we do it won't end. She's advising multiple families so paying for whatever evaluation she wants won't do any good either.

Dawn Schaefer
Dmschaefer@fcps.edu
Fairfax County Public Schools
Coordinator, Due Process and Eligibility
571-423-4470

Sent from my iPhone

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Callie Oettinger" <**REDACTED**>
Date: September 27, 2019 at 1:37:45 PM EDT
To: "Krempasky, Jennifer" <JMKrempasky@fcps.edu>
Cc: "Pearson, Jay W." <jwpearson@fcps.edu>, "Schaefer, Dawn M" <DMSchaefer@fcps.edu>, "Massie, Jean A" <JAMassie@fcps.edu>, "Strong, Jane" <jestrong@fcps.edu>
Subject: RE: IEE price cap question

Jennifer,

The below are the numbers on the FOIA request provided to **REDACTED**

Note that the Psychoeducational evaluation fee went up in cost between 2015 and 2017, prior to FCPS changing its rate. Why, then, has FCPS not raised its rate since then? Note, too, that the number of assessments was highest for this category.

The next highest are of assessments was in SL. The evaluation fee for this, too, went up in cost between 2015 and 2017, prior to FCPS changing its rate. Why, then, has FCPS not raised its rate since then? Note, too, that the number of assessments was highest for this category.

For the other areas, it is a bit of a mix – but you have to take into consideration the total number of assessments. For example, FCPS did one Sociocultural and no audiologicals – and rather than researching the going rate in our area, it didn't change either rate.

Same thing for the other areas of assessments. FCPS had a low number of evaluations and, rather than assessing a substantial amount of data, it made its decision based on a small sampling.